

The Mandela Effect

Supernatural Bible Changes

&

**The Doctrine Of The
Preservation Of Scripture**

John Kirwin

Copyright © 2024 by John Kirwin
Kirwin Republic 321 LLC Publishing
All rights reserved.

The author and copyright holder offer this literary work for sale and as a free downloadable pdf. You are welcome to make and distribute free copies, but under no circumstances should the content be sold or exchanged for value by anyone except the author. Any modification, resale, or unauthorized use of this book is strictly prohibited. **All copyright infringements will be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.**

Printed in the United States of America (Republic)
For more information, or to book an event, contact:
John Kirwin at wakeuporelse@proton.me

The author has made a best effort to provide accurate information in regard to the subject matter covered. The author makes no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book. This information is for educational purposes only and should not be considered mental health or legal advice.

All statements by the author are for educational and entertainment purposes only.

Cover design by ollnart
ISBN - Paperback: 979-8-9882673-9-3
ISBN – E-Book: 979-8-9882673-6-2
First Edition: July 2024

Contents

CHAPTER 1- IS IT HAPPENING?	8
IT'S HAPPENING!	8
<i>Who will find this book valuable?</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>Weird Disclaimer</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>New giant names of places</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>New giant names of people</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>More unfamiliar names (partial list)</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>Mis-spelled word examples (KJV only)</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>Nonsense verses explained</i>	<i>19</i>
<i>Nonsense verse examples.....</i>	<i>21</i>
<i>Patriarch's names are altered.....</i>	<i>23</i>
<i>Noah vs Noe.....</i>	<i>26</i>
<i>Glitch in the Lexicon.....</i>	<i>27</i>
<i>Punctuation errors explained</i>	<i>28</i>
<i>Punctuation errors examples</i>	<i>28</i>
YES, IT IS, NO IT ISN'T	32
<i>This can't be happening.....</i>	<i>34</i>
<i>God has done this before</i>	<i>35</i>
<i>The Bible says so, therefore it is</i>	<i>36</i>
<i>The Bible can't change</i>	<i>37</i>
<i>Pastors don't know it's happening.....</i>	<i>40</i>
<i>Biblical paradoxes and new doctrines.....</i>	<i>41</i>
<i>Proof texts for Satanic sermons</i>	<i>42</i>
<i>We are not confused.....</i>	<i>43</i>
<i>It's not caused by modernizations.....</i>	<i>44</i>
<i>It's a bad translation.....</i>	<i>51</i>
<i>Biblical paradox examples - (Universal).....</i>	<i>56</i>
<i>Innuendo as a primary tool of deception.....</i>	<i>65</i>
THEOLOGICAL PARADOXES - KING JAMES ONLY	67
THEOLOGICAL PARADOXES - UNIVERSAL	72
I AGREE, WE ARE HERETICS	74
<i>A brief statement of faith.....</i>	<i>76</i>
<i>My awakening.....</i>	<i>77</i>
<i>A warning if you decide it's true</i>	<i>78</i>
CHAPTER 2 – SEVEN TYPES OF PROOF	80
# 1 TESTIMONIAL EVIDENCE.....	81
#2 BIBLICAL PROPHECY	81
<i>Revelation 22:18:</i>	<i>82</i>
<i>Daniel 12:4:.....</i>	<i>84</i>
<i>Daniel 7:25.....</i>	<i>89</i>
<i>Amos 8:11</i>	<i>97</i>

2 Thessalonians 2:9.....	100
Revelation 13.....	102
Revelation 16:14.....	104
#3 FLIP-FLOPS.....	105
#4 RESIDUAL EVIDENCE.....	106
#5 STATISTICAL PROBABILITIES	108
National Pastor Survey.....	110
Pastor Survey Results Summary.....	111
# 6 NOTABLE FIGURES	116
Major companies.....	116
Renowned Bible Scholars.....	118
Large Ministries	118
Major Magazines.....	118
Scientific Community.....	118
# 7 SIMILAR TO ME.....	119
CHAPTER 3 – WHY WOULD GOD ALLOW IT?	120
ABRAHAM’S TEST.....	120
God can appear unrighteous to test us.	121
God is doing the Abraham thing again.....	122
Ever learning.....	125
Miracle cancellation doctrines.....	127
It’s a heresy hurricane.	129
Not everything that God will do is in the scriptures	130
You had me at hello	134
“Do you know me, or do you just know the book?”	137
Is your name Nicodemus?	139
Is your name Martha?	141
Walking with Jesus without a Bible	145
Biblical Idolatry	147
Shrines and tabernacles	148
Idols in the heart.....	150
Systemize this.....	156
CHAPTER 4 – DOCTRINE OF PRESERVATION	160
WHAT IS THE DOP... REALLY?	160
The Word of God as a category	161
Where is it preserved?	166
Rhema vs. Logos.....	169
The law of first mention.....	170
God is a veritable chatterbox	175
The titles Scripture gives itself.....	177
Terms used to describe the different gospels	179
Bible, Scripture, Word of God.....	180
THEOLOGICAL NECESSITY	182

<i>I don't have a Bible and I'm okay</i>	182
<i>Accuracy, inerrancy, infallibility and immutability</i>	183
<i>It's photoshopped, it has to be</i>	185
<i>Inspiration ≠ Preservation</i>	186
<i>Inspired things that expired</i>	188
<i>2 Timothy 3:16 - Every, not all</i>	189
<i>The Linear Scale of Revelatory Authority</i>	192
<i>I don't raise the dead; I just read about it</i>	192
<i>Self-appointed exclusive arbiters of truth</i>	193
<i>Inspiration mixed with humanity</i>	194
<i>Explaining the way more accurately</i>	195
<i>DOP detractors</i>	196
SUBJECTIVE INSPIRATION & VULNERABLE SCROLLS	196
<i>The Ten Commandments written on stone</i>	198
RETURN TO AN ORAL TRADITION	199
<i>Oral history timeline</i>	200
<i>It pleased God for man to grope</i>	200
<i>Please point me to Jesus</i>	202
<i>Scripture-centric Christianity as a substitute</i>	203
<i>Oral tradition Scriptures</i>	205
CHAPTER 5 – DOP SCRIPTURES REFUTED	210
THE WORD	210
<i>The Word can't change because it's inspired</i>	211
<i>The Word can't change because God doesn't change</i>	211
<i>The Word can't change because God can't lie</i>	212
PROMISES THAT GOD DOESN'T CHANGE:	213
PROMISES THAT GOD CANNOT LIE:	213
PRESERVATION SCRIPTURES REFUTED	214
<i>John 10:35</i>	214
<i>Psalms 12</i>	216
<i>Matthew 5:17-18</i>	222
<i>Psalms 119:89</i>	225
<i>Isaiah 59:21</i>	227
<i>1 Peter 1:23</i>	229
<i>Isaiah 40:8</i>	232
<i>Review</i>	232
CHAPTER 6 - NEW DOCTRINES	234
NEW RULES FOR A NEW DISPENSATION	234
<i>New doctrines</i>	234
THE DOCTRINE OF INACCESSIBILITY	235
<i>Amos 8:11 establishes a precedent</i>	236
<i>Inaccessibility does not equate to unrighteousness</i>	241
THE DOCTRINE OF SCRIPTURE MODIFYING SCRIPTURE 242	
<i>Examples of Scripture modifying Scripture</i>	244

<i>Scriptures that modify preservation promises</i>	251
<i>Man's response to scripture modifying scripture</i>	253
THE DOCTRINE OF RESCINDED PROMISES	254
<i>New Covenants</i>	256
PROMISES CAN HAVE TIME LIMITS.....	257
DOCTRINE OF CONSENSUS.....	260
<i>Reality bubbles & time tributaries</i>	261
THE DOCTRINE OF PEDIGREE – THE BOOK OF ELI.....	262
<i>Bible Advisory Group</i>	263
THE ABANDONMENT VS. ADHESION DOCTRINE	264
<i>The Doctrine of Abandonment</i>	265
<i>Doctrine of Adhesion</i>	266
DOCTRINE OF SPIRITUAL AUTHORITY.....	268
<i>More examples of power encounters to resolve disputes</i>	270
IS PERCEIVING THE CHANGES A SALVATION ISSUE?	271
<i>Level 1 – Not noticing</i>	271
<i>Level 2 – Being shown but still denying it</i>	273
<i>Level 3 – Co-conspirator church leaders</i>	274
IMPACT TO DOCTRINE BECAUSE OF BIBLE CHANGES....	275
CHAPTER 7 – MISREMEMBERING.....	277
WE'RE NOT MISREMEMBERING...OK?	277
<i>How I trained my puppy</i>	277
<i>Where is Fabio when you need him?</i>	278
VAGUE MEMORIES ARE NOT VIVID MEMORIES.....	279
<i>Misremembering and dementia are not the same.</i>	279
<i>If your name is Joe</i>	280
TWO APES FIGHTING.....	281
THE CURSE CAUSELESS DOES NOT COME.	282
CHAPTER 8 – HUMAN MEMORY	284
REMEMBERING.....	284
SUBTOPICS TO MISREMEMBERING INCLUDE:.....	284
MEMORY 101.....	284
<i>Human certainty</i>	286
<i>How vivid memories are created</i>	289
<i>Are you the great Kreskin?</i>	290
<i>The brain is awesome</i>	290
<i>It doesn't matter if they are savants</i>	291
<i>Hyperthymesia,</i>	293
<i>World Memory Championships</i>	293
EVIDENCE THAT THE MEMORY IS RELIABLE	294
<i>Long term memory study</i>	294
<i>Study indicates negative bias against memory</i>	295
<i>10 pilots, 10 doctors, 10 pastors</i>	296

<i>Memory admissible in court</i>	302
<i>Memory is highly indelible</i>	302
<i>God commands us to remember</i>	303
CHAPTER 9 – PREPARING FOR THE QUIZ	304
ALTERNATIVES TO OUR TESTIMONY	304
<i>Demonic delusion</i>	305
<i>So, what’s the cause?</i>	306
<i>How does the delusion work?</i>	306
<i>Government psyop</i>	312
SUBTOPICS TO MISREMEMBERING	315
<i>The Human memory is unreliable</i>	316
<i>Implanted thoughts</i>	316
<i>Confabulation</i>	317
<i>Misprints from publishers</i>	318
<i>Misquotes from pop culture & the telephone game</i>	319
CHAPTER 10 – THE QUIZ	325
<i>Estimating the number of Mandelites</i>	325
<i>Rules of engagement</i>	325
WE ARE NOT MISREMEMBERING	325
<i>The cause can’t be natural and supernatural.</i>	327
<i>Certainty of Memories</i>	332
<i>Final Question #1</i>	333
<i>Final Question #2</i>	334
WE ARE NOT DELUSIONAL	334
VARIOUS QUESTIONS:.....	335
<i>Dictionary definition of evidence</i>	337
<i>Final question:</i>	339
CHAPTER 11 - SUMMARY OF ALL THE PROOFS	340
THE BIBLE CAN’T CHANGE, AND THAT’S FINAL!	343
<i>Where do we go from here?</i>	344
<i>The characteristics of those in Hebrews 11</i>	345
CHAPTER 12 - MANDELA BIBLE QUIZ	349
UNIVERSAL QUIZ	349
CHANGES APPEAR IN MOST VERSIONS	349
KING JAMES ONLY QUIZ.....	351
<i>Questions</i>	351
<i>Cut and paste jobs in the Bible</i>	358
CHAPTER 13 - THE VISION	360
CONTACT	360
THE VISION – “THE PEOPLE NEED TO KNOW.”.....	360
CHAPTER 14 - RESOURCES	365
OUR SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS	365
EMAIL.....	365
PODCAST	365

Chapter 1- Is it happening?

It's happening!

Yes, the Mandela Effect is a real phenomenon, and the things that are changing in our reality include the Bible. Every paper Bible on every shelf in the world is being supernaturally altered. Every version, including Grandma's Gutenberg in the attic, now states in Isaiah 11:6 that "the wolf also shall dwell with the lamb." There are changes throughout, including new names and new places. There are names with eighteen letters. There are word switches, new countries, missing words, altered names, and spelling, grammar, and syntax errors galore; changed stories, new stories, biblical paradoxes, cut-and-paste duplicates, Ebonics, emojis, gibberish, and nonsense verses.

We now see duplicated words, massive run-on sentences, modern words, unexplained use of capitalization and italics, blasphemy, sexual innuendo, ungodly themes, and a lot of very unfamiliar content that is leaving millions of Christians scratching their heads.

Certain versions contain changes not present in the KJV, while, at other times, changes appear only in the KJV. The fact that not all changes appear in all versions seems to be another strategy to inject confusion into this debate.

We also see changes appearing in one part of the New Testament but not in another. Some changes are in the Old Testament but not in the New Testament, and vice versa. Some changes are in one Gospel but not in any others, while other changes appear in all four Gospels. Certain changes dramatically impact doctrine, while others are relatively benign.

You can see the same Greek or Hebrew word represented by two different English words in the same paragraph.

There are changes that only occur one time, while other passages change, and then change again. We also see changes on major Bible websites like Biblehub.com that do not appear on other sites like Biblegateway.com or Bible.com in the same versions. Eventually they are all synchronized, but during the transition there can be discrepancies.

Another type of change that seems to trick a lot of people is the multiplication of something that was always in the scripture. An example of this is a reference to cannibalism that was the result of the judgment of God. This is something that most Bible believers remember being in their Bibles and we don't recognize it as a change. However, red flags are raised when we subsequently find that there are now nine references to cannibalism in our Bibles. (See below)

Another example of this multiplying of existing passages is the term "pisseth against the wall." I have a vivid memory of finding that in my Bible over thirty years ago, but I also remember noting that there was only one reference to it in the Bible at that time. Now, however, there are six such references: 1 Samuel 25:22, 1 Samuel 25:34, 1 Kings 14:10, 1 Kings 16:11, 1 Kings 21:21, 2 Kings 9:8.

I have seen two different physical Authorized KJV Bibles of the same edition that did not have the same text. There are even examples of whole paragraphs being copied and pasted into entirely different books in the Bible. And yes, I will give you examples.

Who will find this book valuable?

This book is for the convinced and the unconvinced. It is written for those who believe that the Mandela Effect is a real phenomenon and those who believe it is foolishness.

It is for those who know their Bible is supernaturally changing and those who say that could never happen.

Having said that, if you have conceded that this is unfolding, please understand that the majority of this book will be directed at the unconvinced. You need to hear what I have to say to them anyway, but understand that you are going along for that ride. Since the majority of the entire body of Christ is either unaware that this is happening or is vehemently opposing it, I must direct the bulk of my efforts at addressing this audience. As you are reading, you may feel put off by my tone. You may think, 'why is he angry, why does he keep talking to me like that? Doesn't he know I agree with him?'

Understand that I am overjoyed that you are going with me on this journey, but I am attempting to speak directly to one thousand years of dogma and established doctrine. This will not be accomplished by mincing words. Most church leaders will brand anyone as a charlatan and deceiver if they suggest the Bible is supernaturally changing. I am simply front-running all of that opposition, so I must speak plainly at times. Please bear with me as I do. Much of what I will say will be addressed to church leaders. This includes the board members of denominations, Bible colleges, Christian media, and Bible publishing houses, as well as pastors, theologians, influencers, and the intelligentsia within Christendom. This book is for all Christians and for anyone who wants to understand if this is happening, how it could be happening, why it is happening, and what the ramifications of this event will be for doctrine and the church.

Church leaders know that if this gets out, it will be one of the most disruptive events in the entire church age. As a result, there has been a wall of silence and opposition to even discussing this event. We have testimony from pastors that their denominations told them that this was happening but that they didn't want the pastors talking about it.

Others just don't want it to be true so badly that they are engaged in the most heinous willful ignorance I have ever witnessed. This book is available for free on my websites, wakeuporelse.com or alteredbible.com, so that anyone who wants to hear our evidence can do so and decide for themselves.

I am a fundamentalist, born again, spirit-filled Bible believer. I believe the Bible literally from cover to cover. I would agree with many of the complex and comprehensive statements of faith that I have read on many orthodox Christian denominations' websites.

As I have studied the writings of these patriarchs, I have been humbled by the breadth and depth of their understanding. I was overwhelmed by the unimaginable efforts and sacrifices of so many who have attempted to understand and codify the divine perfections of this awesome God that we know and love.

I will not attempt to provide a comprehensive theological framework for all of the points that I will make in this book. Instead, I will provide a layman's viewpoint of what is obvious to many, but seems strangely obscured from the most learned ones.

-
- **John 3:10:** “Jesus answered and said to him, ‘Are you the teacher of Israel, and do not know these things? Most assuredly, I say to you, We speak what We know and testify what We have seen, and you do not receive Our witness.’”

There are few with letters after their names to take up this cause, so it is left to the feeble and unlearned like myself to sound an alarm. The Bible is being supernaturally changed to fulfil end-times prophecy!

Weird Disclaimer

As I have been writing this book, I have had to swap out several of my scriptural citations because when I went back to check them again, they had changed. This means that any scripture reference that I cite in this book could be incorrect by the time you look it up. There does appear to be an acceleration of changes and more occurrences of things changing and then changing again to something different. I fully expect that some scriptures that I cite as examples will change by the time you read my book. It won't be many of them, but there may be a few.

I'm not negligent or sloppy; I'm just telling you the reality that we're dealing with so you can be looking for it. I'm triple-checking every reference to make sure that it's correct and that it's in the version that I say it's in. I have included a date stamp when I display lists of scriptures indicating the date that I verified them against a physical copy and online versions.

If you look into this long enough, you will experience this for yourself, so don't think this is some kind of trick or that I'm just making excuses for sloppy quality control.

Definition of Mandela Effect

The Mandela Effect is not one person remembering one thing that no longer exists. It is many people vividly remembering many things across many categories. However, the things that they are remembering have never existed the way they vividly remember them.

Vivid memories are things like your name, the color of grass, or the color of the sky. These are not things that can just be brushed aside with the suggestion that we are just misremembering.

The reaction that people have to this experience is unbridled astonishment, not quirky misremembering.

Those claiming this experience will tell you that they have never experienced anything like this in their life. This is like getting run over by a train, not forgetting where you put your keys. Many report that it is turning their lives upside down, causing divorce, church splits, persecution, loss of lifelong friends, estrangement from children, and extreme isolation.

I have received hundreds of posts from listeners telling me that their spouses have divorced them and their children don't talk to them because of this. I have also received hundreds of similar posts regarding encounters that people had with their pastors. Typically, churchgoers who approach their pastors receive a good talking to. The meetings get cut short. They talk down to us, and we are soundly rebuked. We are told we have a demon or that we should seek medical attention. Many are threatened into silence or simply excommunicated.

This is the basis of my ministry. I have a burden for the Christian truther because I realized that the world persecutes you when you accept Jesus, but the church persecutes you when you begin to question OfficialDUM.

I finally wrote a book called *The Conspiracy Theorist Survival Guide: A Guidebook for Persecuted Truthers*. The book addresses the relational conflicts that arise when anyone begins to question official narratives.

I was in full-time ministry for fifteen years and part-time ministry for twenty years as a youth pastor and a worship leader, so I have some insight into the world of pastors and the church system that we are all presented with.

What is it, and how widespread is it?

It's hard to know exactly how many people now admit that this is happening, but it's got to be in the millions.

When I first started reporting on this in 2017, the narrative from the unconvinced was that this was just a few crazy people in their moms' basements being amplified by the internet. That suggestion now would be laughable. There are hundreds of channels across all the social media platforms that report on the Mandela Effect. Some of the larger Mandela Effect YouTube channels have as many as two hundred fifty thousand subscribers each.

Facebook has close to three hundred groups dedicated to the Madela Effect. Some have over one hundred fifty thousand members.

There are movies about the Mandela Effect. Major magazines like *Reader's Digest*, *Popular Mechanics*, *Good Housekeeping*, and *Parade Magazine* have all done full-page spreads covering the phenomenon. Fox News has covered it, and Google Analytics shows a huge uptick in searches for the term Mandela Effect around July 2017. Oddly enough, that is the same month and year that CERN announced they were turning up their Hadron Collider to full strength for the first time.

(Verified as of 7/23/24)

Best 3 Examples of Changes

If I were going to provide you with my top three examples of scriptures that I thought had changed, they would be:

- **Matthew 7:1 (KJV):** “Judge not lest ye be judged.”
- **Job 1:21 (KJV):** “The Lord giveth and the Lord taketh away.”
- **Isaiah 11:6 (All versions):** “And the lion shall lay down with the lamb.”

None of these exact renderings have ever existed in any translation in any century. There are no exceptions. Be sure to look them up in Grandma's Gutenberg in the attic.

This is what you remember, and don't try to suggest otherwise. You know it, and I know it. This worldwide catastrophic memory failure would be impossible, of course. Your only hope to explain this impossibility is to suggest that one billion people are simply confused by misquotes from pop culture or something akin to the telephone game, which is laughable.

(Verified as of 7/23/24)

Best 3 Examples of Biblical Paradoxes

Biblical paradoxes are unfamiliar, but they also introduce false or evil doctrines into the text. Here are three to get the party started. Keep in mind, there's always a backstory; you can always commentary your way out of it if you really want to.

- **Jihad Jesus**

- **Luke 19:27** : “But those mine enemies, which would not that I should reign over them, bring hither, and slay them before me.”

- **Isaiah Engaging in Fornication with Prostitutes**

- **Isaiah 8:3** : “Then I made love to the prophetess, and she conceived and gave birth to a son. And the Lord said to me, 'Name him Maher-Shalal-Hash-Baz.'”

- **People drinking their own piss and eating their own dung**

- 2 Kings 18:27 - "But Rabshakeh said unto them, Hath my master sent me to thy master, and to thee, to speak these words? hath he not sent me to the men which sit on the wall, that they may eat their own dung, and drink their own piss with you?"

Here is a small sampling of elongated names and places that seem wildly unfamiliar to many who have spent decades in the Word. Most of us remember Mephibosheth, but we do not remember these. (Verified as of 7/23/24)

New giant names of places

Num 33:46 Almondiblathaim.

Num 33:16 Kibrothhattaavah.

Josh 18:24 Chepharhaammonai

1 Sam 1:1 Ramathaimzophim

1 Sam 23:28 Selahammahlekoth

2 Sam 2:16 Helkathhazzurim

2 Kgs 15:29 Abelbethmaachah

(Verified as of 7/23/24)

New giant names of people

Judges 3:8 Chushanrishathaim

2 Kgs 20:12 Berodachbaladan

Isa 8:1 Mahershalalhashbaz

Ezra 4:9 Apharsathchites

Isa 39:1 Merodachbaladan

1 Chron 5:6 Tilgathpilneser

Judges 3:8 Chushanrishathaim

Gen 41:45 Zaphnathpaaneah

Num 11:34 Kibrothhattaavah

Gen 23:2 Kiriatharba

Acts 27:2 Adramyttium

Gen 10:26 Hazarmaveth

Joshua 15:27 Hazargaddah

(Verified as of 7/23/24)

More unfamiliar names (partial list)

Genesis 22:21 | Buz

Ruth 1:2 | Chilion

2 Samuel 19:31 | Barzillai

Numbers 26:33 | Hoglah

Numbers 27:1 | Zelophehad

Numbers 13:11 | Susi

1 Chronicles 6:19 | Mushi

Acts 27:7 | Cnidus

Romans 16:5 | Epaenetus

Romans 16:7	Andronicus
Romans 16:10	Aristobulus
Romans 16:12	Tryphena
Romans 16:15	Philologus
Romans 16:15	Nereus
Romans 16:21	Sosipater
Colossians 4:15	Nymphas
Colossians 4:17	Archippus
2 Timothy 4:21	Pudens
Luke 8:3	Chuza

We now find many words that are clearly misspelled, especially in the KJV version. You cannot suggest that this is just how the old KJV English spelled them because you can look these words up in other books written in the KJV vernacular, and they are spelled as you would expect. I'm here to tell you that you are in the middle of a phenomenon.

(Verified as of 7/23/24)

Example # 1 Shakespeare (to morrow vs tomorrow)

Shakespeare's plays and sonnets were written in Early Modern English. It is from the same period as the KJV and shares many linguistic features. Here is how the word "tomorrow" is spelled in Shakespeare's *Macbeth*, Act Five, Scene Five.

- "She should have died hereafter; There would have been a time for such a word. **Tomorrow, and tomorrow, and tomorrow**"
- Compare to Exodus 8:23 (KJV) – "**to morrow**" "And I will put a division between my people and thy people: **to morrow** shall this sign be."

To morrow?" Really? Are you really going to go with that?

Example # 2 Pilgrim's Progress (Vail vs veil)

Another book written in that period in the KJV vernacular is *Pilgrim's Progress*. At the very end of the book, in the section called "The Conclusion," you have the word "veil," not "vail."

- “Put by the curtains, look within my **veil**, Turn up my metaphors, and do not fail, There, if thou seekest them, such things to find, As will be helpful to an honest mind.”
- Compare to Exodus 34:33 “And till Moses had done speaking with them, he put a **vail** on his face.”

Vail is not KJV, it’s AI.

Example # 3 (for ever vs forever)

The American Revolution: Written in the Style of Ancient History is a 1793 account of the American Revolution written by Richard Snowden. It is written in the King James old English style.

- On Page 9 we read; "In death's eternal deep **forever** bound, Breathlefs, they lie extended on the ground.”
- Compare to 1 Chronicles 16:34 “O give thanks unto the Lord; for he is good; for his mercy endureth **for ever.**”

Example #4 Thoroughly vs throughly.

Another example of this kind of completely irrational, unexplainable, inexcusable, and wildly unfamiliar anomaly appearing in the Authorized KJV text is:

- Exodus 21:19 (KJV) "If he rise again, and walk abroad upon his staff, then shall he that smote him be quit: only he shall pay for the loss of his time, and shall cause him to be **thoroughly** healed."

Strong's H 7495 raw-faw' Transliteration rāpā'

- Job 6:2 “Oh that my grief were **thoroughly** weighed, and my calamity laid in the balances together!”

The word “thoroughly” doesn’t exist. It’s not just KJV old English; it was made up by the Devil to mock you and wear down the saints. Increasingly, the “Bible can’t change” crowd is going to have to formulate one absurd defense after another in an effort to make sense of things like this. The context tells you that the meaning of these two words is identical. We are told by some that we need our heads examined for suggesting this, but I think it may be the other way around.

Not convinced yet? How about this little beauty?

Example #5 Astonished vs Astonied

- Daniel 8:27: " And I Daniel fainted, and was sick certain days; afterward I rose up, and did the king's business; and I was **astonished** at the vision, but none understood it.
- Daniel 5:9: "Then was king Belshazzar greatly troubled, and his countenance was changed in him, and his lords were **astonied**."

“Astonied” seems like a word that just flew in from another planet. The KJV uses “astonished” in Daniel 8:27 and “astonied” in Daniel 5:9. Technically, they come from different original-language words—one Hebrew and one Aramaic—but both convey the same general idea of being stunned or disturbed. So the issue is not whether the original words are different. The issue is why the English rendering suddenly becomes this bizarre, unfamiliar word: “astonied.”

These two words are both in the Book of Daniel. I’m appalled at the ease with which otherwise stalwart men and women of God are being overwhelmed by this buffoonery. “Astonied” is ridiculous; it’s not old English. The Devil is laughing at you, continually pushing the boundaries of what he thinks gullible Christians will accept.

I picture him in some command bunker as he pushes different levers and buttons. I envision him saying, “Oh, this one is hilarious! I wonder if they will fall for ‘Astonied’?”

- **Astonished Strong's H7672 to perplex to disturb**
- **Astonied Strong's H8429 to be amazed**

Some will say, “See, it’s two different words from two different languages; that’s why it’s translated differently.” My response is, “OK, if you say so. How ridiculous is it going to have to get before you will give up trying to defend this stuff?”

(Verified as of 7/23/24)

Mis-spelled word examples (KJV only)

Isaiah 31:9 - strong hold	stronghold
Deuteronomy 15:15 - to day	today
2 Cor 3:7 – ministratation	administration

Ephesians 2:20 - corner stone	cornerstone
Hebrews 9:10 - divers	diverse
Hebrews 12:19 - intreat	entreat
2 Thessalonians 2:17 - stablsh	establish
1 Chronicles 16:34 - for ever	forever
Leviticus 25:13 - jubile	jubilee
Exodus 25:22 - mercyseat	mercy seat
2 Peter 3:17 - stedfastness	steadfastness

I don't expect to convert readers with any single example that I have provided, but the gathered power of them in the aggregate is going to become harder and harder to defend.

Nonsense verses explained

I hope you realize the Devil wants to humiliate you. He wants you to defile yourself publicly to offend God. And what better way to do that than to get devout men and women of God to read what I'm about to show you with a straight face?

The mindset of many church leaders is that they will defend these anomalies to the death, but in doing so, they become the emissaries of deception. I think the ease with which he has been able to get pastors to humiliate themselves from their pulpits every Sunday has amazed even him.

Cults get followers to believe things so extreme that they will commit suicide. Freemasons in lab coats have gotten us to accept scientism, which is simply premeditated lies or conjecture. Controlled media has bamboozled us with green screen lies and lesser magic.

In a similar way, most Christians are unaware that our Bible colleges and church structures have been infiltrated. We have been slow-boiled into accepting the congregational format, overreaching church boards, seeker-sensitive tactics, nightclub-style worship, and an intellectualized gospel message devoid of miracles.

Masonic operatives have corrupted everything that is dear to us, and if you do not snap out of the trance that you are in, the most spectacular theological contortions will be required of you to maintain the illusion that the Bible isn't changing.

You will try to convince yourself that you can explain all of this as just more of those pesky "thees" and "thous" that you find in that outdated King James language. But more and more of those under your care are beginning to be confused about what they are finding in their perfect, flawless, preserved, delivered, authorized, inspired 1769 KJV Oxford edition Bibles.

The jig is up, and you won't be able to cover this up any longer. Not on my watch. Your people are starting to sense that there is something rotten in Denmark, but they are looking at you and thinking, "I must be confused. I'm sure if there were something wrong with our Bibles, the pastor would have the discernment to know that and would tell us." But you don't. Unfortunately for most churchgoers, the majority of pastors' discernment is so impaired on this issue that it seems like their heads are encased in stone. And God help you, pastor, if you know this is happening but you're keeping a lid on it. We will get to that later.

The universal incompetence of church leaders to perceive these atrocities and the obvious departures from known rules of grammar, punctuation, syntax, content, and coherence is so egregious that it is causing many to lose faith in their leaders, and they are leaving the church. I know this because that's what they are telling me as they start following YouTube ministries like mine.

If you do not set aside all of your preconceptions about how this realm is structured, the reality that you live in, your doctrine about the Bible not changing, and your belief that God would never allow the Devil to do things like this, you will continue to be deceived and to deceive others.

This is how the trauma-based mind control works against you. You know very well that if you start publicly embracing conspiracy theories, you're going to lose everything, and that terrifies you. My wife divorced me, and my kids barely talk to me, so you should definitely brace for impact. Good luck trying to convince the district to allow you to keep your pulpit after you inform them that you are telling your congregation that the Bible is supernaturally changing.

It is the fear of persecution that is at the core of your inability to even consider what I'm showing you. And your fears are well-founded. This would certainly cause an upheaval or an unraveling of any ministry that goes public with this. But like Tony Soprano said, "Wut are you gonna do?"

(Verified 7/23/24)

Nonsense verse examples

Filledst, digged, diggedst, plantedst

- Deuteronomy 6:11-12 "And houses full of all good things, which thou filledst not, and wells digged, which thou diggedst not, vineyards and olive trees, which thou plantedst not; when thou shalt have eaten and be full;"

Naughty figs

- Jer 24:2 One basket had very good figs, even like the figs that are first ripe: and the other basket had very naughty figs, which could not be eaten, they were so bad.

Magnifigal

- 1 Chron 22:5 "And David said, Solomon my son is young and tender, and the house that is to be builded for the Lord must be exceeding magnifical,"

Awaked

- Psalm 78:65 "Then the Lord awaked as one out of sleep,

Crew

- Matt 26:74 KJV "Then began he to curse and to swear, saying, I know not the man. And immediately the cock crew."

Cheek-teeth

- Joel 1:6 KJV . . ."whose teeth are the teeth of a lion, and he hath the cheek teeth of a great lion."

Ravin

- Gen 49:27 KJV Benjamin shall ravin as a wolf

Every Cow

- Amos 4:3 KJV “And ye shall go out at the breaches, every cow at that which is before her; and ye shall cast them into the palace, saith the LORD.

Jewry

- John 7:1 After these things Jesus walked in Galilee: for he would not walk in Jewry, because the Jews sought to kill him.

Craved

- Mark 15:43 Joseph of Arimathaea, an honorable counselor, which also waited for the kingdom of God, came, and went in boldly unto Pilate, and craved the body of Jesus.

Goodlier

- 1 Sam 9:2 “And he had a son, whose name was Saul, a choice young man, and a goodly: and there was not among the children of Israel a goodlier person than he: (Wake the heck up! This is ridiculous!)

Goodly castles

- Numbers 31:10 “And they burnt all their cities wherein they dwelt, and all their goodly castles, with fire.

Dukes

- Genesis 36:15 “These were dukes of the sons of Esau:

Gat

- 1 Kings 1:9 “Now king David was old and stricken in years; and they covered him with clothes, but he gat no heat.

They would none

- Prov 1:30 They would none of my counsel: they despised all my reproof. (Grammatically incorrect.)

Wotteth

- Genesis 39:8 “But he refused, and said unto his master's wife, Behold, my master wotteth not what is with me in the house.”

This is very Christ

- Acts 9:22 "But Saul increased the more in strength, and confounded the Jews which dwelt at Damascus, proving that this is very Christ.”

“This is very Christ” is not old English; it is gibberish. Santa Claus isn't real, there is no monster under the bed, and this is not old English. Stop believing that this is old English. I know it means that the foundation of your faith is falling out from underneath you, but it's going to be OK. Jesus will catch you; I promise!

We also see bizarre derivatives of familiar names appearing in our Bibles.

(Verified as of 7/23/24)

Patriarch's names are altered

Noah (Genesis 6:9)	Noe (Matthew 24:37)
Jeremiah (Jeremiah 1:1)	Jeremy (Matthew 2:17)
Asher (Genesis 30:13)	Aser (Luke 2:36)
Hosea (Hosea 1:1)	Osee (Romans 9:25)
Nebuchadnezzar (2 Kings 24:1)	Nebuckadrezzer (Jer 21:2)
Judah (Genesis 29:35)	Judas (Matthew 1:2)
Shem (Genesis 5:32)	Sem (Luke 3:36)
Gideon (Judges 6:11)	Gedeon (Hebrews 11:32)
Nephtali (Genesis 30:8)	Nepthalim (Matthew 4:15)
John the Baptist (Matthew 3:1)	John Baptist (Matt 14:8)
Elijah (1 Kings 17:1)	Elias (Matthew 17:3)
John (Matthew 4:21)	Joses (Matthew 13:55)
Isaiah (Isaiah 1:1)	Esias (Matthew 3:3)

Timothy (1 Timothy 1:2)	Timotheus (Acts 17:14)
Manasseh (2 Kings 21:1)	Manasses (Matthew 1:10)
Ephraim (Genesis 41:52)	Joseph (Luke 3:30)
Zebulun (Genesis 30:20)	Zebulon (Matthew 4:13)
Boaz (Ruth 2:1)	Booz (Matthew 1:5)

If these malapropisms don't put your jaw on the floor, then I'm afraid for your soul. I know for certain that, for many, this is the first time these names have ever come to your attention. Do you understand the absolute impossibility of that? Do you think it's possible to be in the word for forty years, and just find out today that these unfamiliar spellings of names are in your authorized KJV Bible? Really?

I know it means that your whole world will come crashing down around you, but you have got to get a hold of yourself. If you dig in your heels on this, you will be like the president of the buggy whip factory once the first model Ts started coming off the assembly line. You'll be racking your brains on how you can salvage everything you have built, but you know in your heart that there is no way to salvage the status quo if this is really happening.

What you have to understand is that there is always a backstory with this phenomenon. If we wake up one morning and find that the word "judgement" has now always been spelled "judgment," we are told, "You're just confused because that's how they spell it in Europe, and it came over to America in the seventies."

Similarly, many like myself, after forty years in ministry, are just discovering for the first time that nineteen different Bible characters' names now have an evil twin in the New Testament. This is something we were never aware of, which seems logistically impossible. When we research the reason for this newly discovered anomaly, we are told that it is rendered that way because it's a transliteration from the original language.

Think of it like this. Suppose you have lived in the same house for forty years. One day, you walk into the living room and the walls are suddenly blue. You say to your spouse, "Honey, I didn't know you painted the living room." She replies, "I didn't. It has been blue for ten years."

You would immediately say, “That’s impossible. This room was brown. I have lived here for forty years. I know what color my own living room was.”

But then she says, “No, you’re just confused. Blue living rooms became popular in Europe in the seventies, and that style eventually came over here.”

That explanation would not answer the problem. It would be irrelevant. You are not asking whether blue living rooms exist somewhere else. You are saying that your own living room has changed, and you know it because you have lived with it for decades.

The problem with this kind of rationale is that I have a relationship with myself, and I don’t like being gaslighted. I don’t like being told, “You don’t remember what you remember.” That’s like someone using my toothbrush without my permission.

If you jam an ice pick into my arm and I scream, “Ouch! Why did you do that?” and you say, “I didn’t jam an ice pick into your arm; you’re confusing that with a bee sting you must have gotten when you were at camp as a kid,” I’m going to say, “What are you talking about, you lunatic? You just stuck an ice pick into my arm. I’m quite sure of that.”

Okay, so listen carefully. We don’t care about your little backstories. Respectfully, if you keep offering that kind of explanation for hundreds of things to millions of people, then you don’t know what you are talking about. Most people that blurt that out haven’t lifted a finger to look into this, but they are strangely comfortable talking down to those who have been researching it fulltime for almost a decade. We are dedicated researchers, not buffoons that can’t tie their own shoes.

I want to make this as clear as possible. There is something happening. It is happening to you, and it is happening to me. This is a new experience for all of us. It’s clearly affecting all of humanity, and nothing like this has ever happened before in recorded history. We are not misremembering or delusional. It has no naturalistic explanation. It is a phenomenon.

Many of the unconvinced are noticing it, but it’s happening below the threshold of their conscious awareness. We, on the other hand, have keyed in on it. We’ve decided it’s real and that it matters a great deal.

Most people don't want it to be true, so they have turned a blind eye to it. This has led many to bear false witness against their brothers and sisters. We are being called crazy or, worse, liars and charlatans.

Well, we can't both be right, and this book is an ice pick in its own right. I'm going to stick it directly into your little red balloon called "The Bible can't change," and the entire world is going to hear it go kaboom!

I do not consent to you reaching into my soul and dictating by decree what is transpiring in the secret places of my heart and mind, especially when there are millions of other people who are just as freaked out as I am. We've all had the unconvinced lecture us in a condescending tone about the backstory that seems to explain everything. But we have actually done our research and found that many times there is a backstory that has been edited into the new timeline to keep the masses on the hook. We may misremember some things, but we're not misremembering hundreds of things. And we're certainly not misremembering hundreds of things the exact same way. Come on man, snap out of it. Your doctrine needs a little adjustment but it's going to be better than before, I promise.

Roll your eyes if you must, but we are not the ones who are deceived. We have done extensive research into this event, but most of the arguments of the unconvinced betray the fact that they haven't done their homework.

Even if the unconvinced are correct and we are all just misremembering, there is still an unexplainable phenomenon that is causing people to think reality is morphing when it isn't. That event by itself is unexplainable. And if it's unexplainable, it can't have a naturalistic explanation like misremembering and be a phenomenon at the same time.

(Verified as of 7/23/24)

Noah vs Noe

And so, for many Mandelites, we don't care what your little backstory is; we don't remember Noah being called Noe, and that's the end of it. And if you were completely honest, neither do you, especially when it's spelled Noah in 2nd Peter 2:5 and Noe in Matthew 24:37. You have this taking place in the same New Testament and in the same version.

-
- Matthew 24:37-38 "But as the days of **Noe** were, so shall also the coming of the Son of man be. For as in the days that were before the flood, they were eating and drinking, marrying and giving in marriage, until the day that Noe entered into the ark,"

Strong's G 3575 no'-eh Transliteration noe

- 1st Peter 3:20 "Which sometime were disobedient, when once the longsuffering of God waited in the days of **Noah**, while the ark was a preparing, wherein few, that is, eight souls were saved by water."

Strong's G 3575 no'-eh Transliteration noe

If it's the same word in the original language, which it is, and the Blue Letter Bible says that the transliteration is exactly the same for both words, which it does, then why would the translators translate it as Noe in the Gospels and Noah in the Epistles? The answer is they wouldn't. It's been supernaturally changed.

I consulted a renowned Bible scholar, and he said that the two words, which are translated differently into English, derive from the same word in Hebrew and the same dictionary. They both have the same transliteration, so there's no rational explanation why it would be transliterated differently in the same New Testament and in the same version.

It's also unfounded to suggest that the unfamiliar spelling of Noah as Noe could be explained by suggesting that the translators did this because the author was addressing to different ethnic groups. How could you possibly know that, and even if you did how do you know the translators would change the rendering to Noe. What rule do you base that on. That's just SMU, stuff made up. These bizarre name anomalies represent some of the many empirical proofs that are unrelated to memory, that strongly indicate the supernatural alteration of our Bibles.

Glitch in the Lexicon

Here's another glaring, unexplainable anomaly. Why does the Gesenius Hebrew-Chaldee Lexicon show "woe to the sinful nation" as a verified text quote for Isaiah 1:4 when the 1769 and all KJV versions say "Ah sinful nation"?

The introduction in the lexicon assures us that every scripture citation is checked and double-checked for accuracy, with "every scripture reference being verified." This lexicon has been around for over one hundred years and has undergone some revisions.

Do you believe that students and Bible experts who have studied the text for more than a century would not have recognized this obvious error and asked the lexicon writers to amend it?

We even have images related to this alleged change in the lexicon taken from videos we did seven years ago that have changed from what they are today. An image from 2018 depicts the transliteration of that word "Ah" H1945 as "howy," but now it is "hoy." This is unequivocal proof that reality is changing.

Punctuation errors explained

Another anomaly that we're finding in our King James Bibles is punctuation errors. One can attempt to make the case that this is just how the old English punctuation was handled, but I would have to disagree for the following reasons:

1. The first is that you can compare the punctuation in other books that are written in the King James vernacular, and you'll find that these books do not contain any of these anomalies.

2. Secondly, these punctuation errors appear inconsistently within the text. As I will show, in certain contexts you will have a comma followed by a word with a capital letter, while in other passages that are in the same context, you will have a comma that is not followed by a word with a capital letter.

If these punctuation errors were simply old English style punctuation, they would be consistent throughout the text.

Verified 7/23/24

Punctuation errors examples

Example #1

- Luke 4:28 KJV "And all they in the synagogue, when they heard these things, were filled with **wrath, And** rose up, and thrust him out of the city, and led him unto the

brow of the hill whereon their city was built, that they might cast him down headlong.

Error: The word “wrath” in verse 28 is followed by a comma. The next word, “And,” however, is capitalized. It’s common to see a capitalized word after a comma when the sentence following the comma is a quote. Here, it is not, so the word “and” should not be capitalized. This capitalization error appears in the 1769 Oxford edition, so it’s not caused by a modernization. If you continue reading into verse 29, you will see the next sentence has the word “city” followed by a comma. As you can see, the next word is not capitalized. Both of these sentences are in the same context. Neither of them is a quote, but one has a comma followed by a capitalized word and one does not. It’s not a misprint or a rogue version; it’s in your KJV Bible as well. And if you have it in an electronic version, any spell-check system will flag these punctuation errors.

I asked Chat GBT to correct any spelling, grammar, punctuation or syntax errors in this verse and this is what it gave me.

- Luke 4:28 (Corrected) "And all they in the synagogue, when they heard these things, were filled with wrath. And they rose up and thrust him out of the city, and led him unto the brow of the hill whereon their city was built, that they might cast him down headlong."

Example #2

- Luke 4:34 “Saying, Let us alone; what have we to do with thee, thou Jesus of Nazareth? art thou come to destroy us? I know thee who thou art; the Holy One of God.

Error: The word “Nazareth” is followed by a question mark. The next word, “art,” is not capitalized. In the same sentence however, the word “us” is followed by a question mark, and the next word, “I,” is capitalized.

We should handle both words in the same way since the entire verse is a quote. Clearly, this cannot be explained away as just the way old English punctuation is done. This is irrational, inconsistent gobbledygook. Wakeuporelse.

Here's the corrected version after passing it through spell and grammar check.

- Luke 4:34 (Corrected) Saying, “Let us alone; what have we to do with you, Jesus of Nazareth? Have you come to destroy us? I know who you are—the Holy One of God.”

To get these two examples, I flipped open my King James Bible to Luke Chapter Four and started reading. You can do this yourself and find these types of errors on almost every page.

We also notice that there are words presented in all caps or italics for no reason. We are also seeing the incorrect usage of colons and semicolons everywhere. The worst aspect of the changes are the horrendous syntax and grammar errors that make reading the Bible very, very difficult nowadays. If you pay attention, you will notice when reading the KJV Bible that it is often difficult to get the words out. It used to flow and roll off the tongue with a noble quality, but now it is as angular and jagged as broken glass.

These observations represent strong corroborating evidence that our vivid memories are accurate. Our memories tell us that something is very wrong, and we are finding plenty of proof that we are correct. You also have a huge number of reputable people with no history of mental illness, all testifying that they don't remember these types of things like Noah and Noe ever being in their Bibles. The sheer number of people testifying to this experience seems impossible to just brush aside as foolishness.

Another indication that we are on to something is the existence of residual evidence.

You can search the data-sphere for traces of proof where the identical verse, which calls him Noe, is spelled Noah in previous sermons and articles. These remnants show us the passage as we remember it, and we are further emboldened to trust our memories. Residual evidence would be almost non-existent if this were simply run-of-the-mill everyday misremembering.

Instead, we find remnants of the timeline, experience, consciousness, or the universe that we were in, which confirms that our memories are functioning perfectly. Somehow, the realm has changed and shifted to reflect this new reality, but our memories retain our experiences from a different past.

We are not confused; we are correct. These changes appear on audio and video recordings as well. There doesn't seem to be any geographic location, storage medium, or encryption that is exempt from this event.

The reason you can see preachers from fifty years ago quoting "the wolf shall also dwell with the lamb" is not because the video has been supernaturally altered, but because the time stream itself has been altered.

It appears we are having alternate timelines edited into our present timeline. The fact that we cannot fully explain how or why it's being done is not proof that it isn't happening.

One theory of how this could happen involves the concept of superposition and quantum entanglement. According to Wikipedia.com, quantum entanglement is described as:

- "The phenomenon of a group of particles being generated, interacting, or sharing spatial proximity in such a way that the quantum state of each particle of the group cannot be described independently of the state of the others, including when the particles are separated by a large distance."

One theory is that the technology and sorcery being used to accomplish this phenomenon can leverage quantum entanglement in relation to human consciousness. Thus, if my name were targeted to be changed, every occurrence of my name in any place or format would be changed because of quantum entanglement. Wherever and however my name is touched by human consciousness, it will change. The scientific experiment known as the double-slit experiment demonstrated the truth of this concept. Look it up for yourself.

The only thing that is unaffected is the minds and memories of all mankind. All commentaries, lexicons, and everything in between are slowly and methodically being altered to reflect a host of ungodly themes that are inconsistent with the known nature and character of God. It is clear from Scripture that it is God allowing it and the Devil that's doing it.

What we see appears to be new timelines being updated into our reality where everything we have known in the past is now different from what millions unanimously remember.

Could it be that Daniel 7:25 predicted that the beast would seek to change space-time and the Bible? Maybe Enoch was shown this event and described it in Enoch Chapter 80 when he said in the last days, "all things on the earth would alter and be out of their time."

Perhaps you believe that one billion people will answer "lest" because of misquotes from pop culture, but we don't.

- Matthew 7:1 "Judge not _____ ye be judged,"

The unconvinced may be able to convince themselves that this never existed, but we can't.

- Job 1:21 "The Lord giveth and the Lord taketh away."

The Bible changes are overt, yet subtle enough for them to go unnoticed until God sprinkles pixie dust on your head and opens your eyes. The most baffling aspect is that the changes that everyone remembers go back to the beginning of time. It's as if time and space are changing beneath our feet as we are hurled from one parallel universe to the next. We don't know what it is; we just know what it isn't. It's not misremembering.

Yes, it is, no it isn't

The unconvinced very often issue decrees when attempting to refute our testimony. They make declarative statements that really should be offered as hypotheses. They will say, "You are misremembering." My response is, "Really, how do you know I'm misremembering?"

Are you stating a fact or an opinion? Do you possess the eyes of God that can peer into my soul and see what I see in my mind? Do you have access to the Akashic Records? Unless you can make that claim, you need to come off your high horse and stop lecturing millions of people about what is going on in the deepest recesses of their hearts and minds."

This proclamation style of communication from the unconvinced creates an impasse that I call "Yes, it is; no, it isn't." We say the Bible is changing, and ninety percent of Christians say, "No, it isn't." And we say, "Yes, it is." And you say, "No, it isn't."

And so, I would like to submit for the record that we are right and you are wrong. The Bible is changing, along with just about everything in our reality. If you fail to recognize the obvious, then you are bewitched. How do you like that? How do you like being told what is and isn't, because that is what many are doing to us?

You have millions of people all saying that this is happening, and everyone else just keeps saying, "No, it isn't." Well, our response is, "Yes, it is."

My point is, no matter how emphatic you are in your rebuttal, no matter how pious you sound or how eloquent and creative your scathing rebukes are, it's not convincing us.

The unconvinced are evasive, and their arguments are unresearched. It seems clear to us that they have an agenda. They do not appear as someone that wants to get to the truth of this matter. A pastor can misremember ten out of ten simple Bible quiz questions and then slough it off as if it's not a catastrophic memory failure that would normally elicit a dementia diagnosis. These are the behaviors of people involved in a cover up.

In Chapter Seven I will comprehensively respond to the idea that all this can be explained by misremembering. I submit that if you are unable to answer the direct questions that I will offer you in the quiz, then you will have no further grounds to claim that we are misremembering. Once your objection has been overruled, our testimony will be entered into the court of public opinion. The entire world will ask, "If it's not misremembering, then what could it possibly be?" Then the entire world will conclude that the only thing left is our testimony. And then the world will know... Yes, it is!

Here's a common post from one of my listeners named Renate. She tried to share her belief that the Bible was changing with her pastor, and she was excommunicated.

Quote from pastor to congregation member:

"You believe that the words of the Bible have been supernaturally changed by Satan/man and that God has permitted this and even prophesied it. These beliefs put you outside the camp of historic orthodox Christianity, in my opinion. I have spoken to you on more than one occasion that this belief is false.

I warned you again on Sunday 28th August it's not true and none of the elders are in agreement with it. According to the "Word of God" you are to be marked and avoided. You are going round churches stirring up division and teaching destructive heresies.

I urge you to repent and turn to Christ for forgiveness. In the meantime, we cannot have you attending our fellowship any longer while you hold to this teaching."

Those of us who know that this is happening are adults. We have all experienced misremembering, and this is not that.

We have such a high level of certainty across so many different memories that it has placed us in a position where the unconvinced will be forced to produce a different argument than misremembering if they are to persuade us.

Things that no longer seem to be the same to us include movie lines, people's names, events in history, human anatomy, spellings of words, new animals, new inventions, titles of books and plays, massive changes in geography, and of course, Bible scriptures.

This can't be happening

If you scoff at this idea because your natural mind concludes that it's impossible, then please explain the physics of a miracle. Please explain how Philip was deconstructed and translated thirty miles down the road. Explain the exact sequence of events from a scientific perspective of how Moses and Pharaoh's magicians could turn wood into a complex organism like a snake. If you cannot provide that information, then I suggest you humble yourself and be instructed.

Dismissing millions of people's testimonies as foolishness is a greater foolishness, especially without an examination of the evidence.

- Proverbs 18:13 "He who answers a matter before he hears it, It is folly and shame to him."

"The mark of an educated man is the ability to consider a matter without embracing it." Aristotle.

I am going to serve up a giant can of rational, and if you have the audacity to stay with me to the end, it's going to turn your life upside down.

The human mind cannot fathom how this could be possible, but it's happening nonetheless. New histories are being continually introduced into our timeline, and it's being done with God's permission. It is an end-times sign and wonder that was clearly foretold in scripture, and it's secretly happening right under the nose of ninety percent of the body of Christ.

I have included a Bible quiz at the end of the book that contains just some of the scriptures that many believe have changed. There are two versions: one encompasses all versions, including the KJV, and one is KJV only.

God has done this before

As I was putting this together, I was surprised to discover that God frequently withdrew Himself or His word as a judgment throughout history. Looking at these events can help us better understand what God wants from us in this adventure.

Nowhere else in the Bible is something like this so clearly described as it is in Amos 8:11. Stop and think about what God is saying here. Imagine that He is saying it to you right now, because He is. This scripture is fulfilled in your hearing.

- Amos 8:12 “They shall wander from sea to sea, And from north to east; They shall run to and fro, seeking the word of the LORD, But shall not find it.”

By establishing this precedent, the argument that the Devil isn't powerful has been eliminated. If anything like Amos 8:11 is happening in modernity, then the Devil is only God's errand boy.

In order to properly understand how this could happen, we need to consider some definitions. It is our contention that the terms that are being relied on to defend scripture against changes are being mishandled.

Outside of a vision, the greatest theologians of the church age could not have discerned from the scriptures that God would have allowed such a thing. Humanity would have to first experience this phenomenon before being able to go back in and understand its meaning.

It's possible that this is what Daniel 12 is alluding to. Was Daniel telling us that the understanding of this phenomenon would be shut-up until the end days when it was actually happening? The understanding of Daniel 7:25 that predicts the beast seeking to change times and laws would be shut up from men's understanding until it was in full swing.

Many of us said, “God! If this is happening, you're going to have to show me in your word.” We all believed that it couldn't change just like you do. The only problem we had was that it was changing. So, we determined, if it's changing, it must not be teaching that it can't change, because God is not a man that He should lie. His divine perfections of righteousness are immutable.

To our surprise and relief, we found a few scriptures that seemed to indicate that this might have been foretold.

But as we looked more closely, we found this event was predicted with stunning accuracy.

We will explore these passages in another section, but for now, I will list them for you.

- Daniel 7:25
- Amos 8:11
- 2nd Thessalonians 2:9
- Revelation 13:9
- Revelation 22:10-18

And so, biblical prophecy laid the foundation for a systematic theology in a post-canonized scripture church era.

This gave us some basis of scriptural permission to make this claim. From there, we found five additional forms of evidence that we explore in the next chapter. These corroborating evidences were so irrefutable that we were forced to reluctantly concede that this was actually happening. Our beloved scriptures were being supernaturally changed in contradiction to all orthodox theology.

The Bible says so, therefore it is

Those who carry the message of the Gospel understand that it is a non-negotiable, take-it-or-leave-it offer. Ministers of the Gospel are not offering theories or possibilities; we are warning from a place of absolute certainty and absolute authority. The Gospel is to be delivered in the format of proclamation. Here are a few of the consequences of rejecting the message:

- Matthew 10:14-15: Rejecting the gospel brings severe judgment.
- Mark 16:15-16: Belief in the gospel leads to salvation; unbelief leads to condemnation.
- John 3:18: Belief in Jesus prevents condemnation; unbelief results in condemnation.
- John 14:6: Jesus is the only way to the Father.
- Acts 4:12: Salvation is found only in Jesus.

-
- Galatians 1:8-9: Preaching a different gospel results in being cursed.

Unfortunately, for most Christians, this concept of the unassailable nature of Scripture is being misapplied in this situation. I have seen this flawed reasoning play out in many conversations that go something like this:

I am told; “John, my Bible teaches that the Word of God is preserved and that neither God nor His eternal Word will ever change. God said it, and that’s the end of it. No Devil in hell is going to be able to undo the decrees of Almighty God, bless God!”

Well, that might be true, except for two things. First, people looking at this for the first time will often blurt out that the Devil doesn’t have enough power to overcome God, but it hasn’t dawned on them yet that God may be the one calling for this. It seems as though God has given the Devil permission to do this in the same way God gave the Devil permission to trouble Job, chapters 1 and 2.

And secondly, if words mean anything, God never promised to preserve the scriptures, He’s only promised to preserve His word and they are not the same thing.

You believe they are because everyone from the front of the room has been telling you that for your entire Christian life. And because of that, it’s never even crossed your mind that these two terms are being carelessly conflated to mean the same thing. That is, until now.

The Bible can’t change

This is why the idea of an external attack, like the Mandela Effect, is anathema to most Christians. It is right to view the Scriptures as the final authority for man and his duty to God. We were not given the Ten Suggestions, and when we are converted, we are able to see that “it is the fool who says in his heart, ‘There is no God.’” (Psalm 14:1)

We learn things in the Scriptures that strike fear in our hearts about the nature of this God. We are told things like, “I am the Lord, and besides Me there is no other.” (Isaiah 45:5) (Note: I quoted it as I remember it, not as it is now.)

But unfortunately, most Christians are viewing the unquestionable authority of Scripture as a reason why God would never allow it to be changed. This reasoning is flawed and can be easily dispelled by simply considering the prophecy of Amos 8:11: It was God that said, “I will send a famine on the land... not a famine of bread, but of hearing the words of the Lord.” And by the way, He said that 250 years after He promised to preserve the word in Psalm 119:89.

Whether this is a past, present, or future prophecy is irrelevant. It clearly shows that God is perfectly willing to withdraw His “inspired” Word as a judgment whenever He chooses. So, don’t use your theology to make yourself smarter than God. He has done this before, as we will see, and I’m here to tell you He’s doing it again.

We have all been taught to view the entirety of the Scriptures as being fixed and permanent. But in order to rightly divide the Word on this matter, we will need to be more granular in our analysis of what this wondrous book is actually telling us about its ability to endure the tests of time.

This very adamant position about its permanence is based on a long held and loosely understood philosophy typically referred to as The Doctrine of the Preservation of Scripture or The Doctrine of Preservation (DOP).

One of the goals of this book will be to examine the claims of this “doctrine” and to provide the reader with an unvarnished examination of its teachings. It will be the first time for many Christians to really consider this “doctrine” and its claims.

This review will be all the more interesting, however, as we are approaching it from the perspective that the Bible is supernaturally changing, which represents a tectonic shift in theology if it’s true.

In addition to a close examination of the DOP, we will look at how the Bible being supernaturally changed could happen from a theological standpoint. Why would God allow this, and how can we reconcile this with what we believe about the Scriptures right now?

In addition to providing a theological framework for how this could happen, we will present a variety of empirical evidence and rationale to support its authenticity.

Another important aspect of this book will be to explore the theological ramifications of this event upon doctrine. We will examine the various responses that the Mandela Effect community is instinctively taking in response to this phenomenon. Just as new rules emerged during the transition from the Old Testament to the New Testament, we will explore the new rules and doctrines that are emerging in a post-canonized Scripture Church era.

Finally, we will devote a considerable amount of time refuting the idea that simple misremembering or delusion can be relied upon to explain away the testimony of the Mandela Effect community.

The quiz at the end of the book will guide any thinking person to the only logical conclusion: that we are not misremembering or delusional.

If I am successful in sufficiently dismantling these high places in the reader's mind, then the only plausible explanation that will remain will be the testimonies of those claiming that this is a genuine phenomenon.

For convenience, I will refer to those who have conceded that this is a genuine phenomenon as the “Mandela affected” or “Mandelites.” I will refer to those who demand a more naturalistic explanation as the “unconvinced.”

The immediate reaction to this, for most people, is to relegate it to the heresy category without really giving it much thought. But for those who know that it's happening, these writings will be a much-needed roadmap for how we are to conduct ourselves as these events unfold. What I will share in this book are the things that God has shown our community over the last seven years.

I have been a content creator on YouTube under the name Wakeuporelse since 2017, providing a biblical analysis of the Mandela Effect. We are a Christian fellowship for the Truther community. The lessons we have learned over time are gleaned from many live streams, polls, subscriber posts, guest speakers and correspondence. I trust that the things that I will share with you will lighten your load and give you a sense that God is always in control of our lives and our destiny. As it is written:

- John 16:33 “In the world you will have tribulation but do not fear for I have overcome the world.”

Pastors don't know it's happening

The fact that this is happening without most church leaders even realizing it is more of a phenomenon than the phenomenon itself. This has to be one of the most significant events in the church age, and it will continue to be a growing source of division within the Church.

We are certainly in uncharted territory, and it would seem that God is taking a wrecking ball to our theology for a reason. Perhaps it's a redemptive judgment to expose the biblical idolatry of intellectualism.

When Jesus came down and walked among us, the Pharisees were not able to recognize that God was standing right in front of them. In a similar way, but in reverse, the clear voice of God is departing from the written pages of the Holy Bible, and the same pharisaical church cannot recognize that He's leaving.

Perhaps it is God's intention to draw His bride out of endless Bible studies to a more visceral heart relationship, and He's working to draw us out by allowing the Bible to be changed. Many professing Christians in America are similar to someone who buys a new car, parks it in the driveway and never drives it. All they do is continually read the manual. Their leaders aren't emphasizing encountering, so they just keep studying the Bible.

But God is longing for a deeper relationship with His children, so He is allowing the Bible to be changed to get our attention. He wants us to stop reading the manual and get in the car and drive somewhere, anywhere. By allowing the supernatural Bible changes to take place, God is attempting to draw us into a deeper walk with Him. He has done things like this before, so we shouldn't be surprised. One example is Luke 24:28:

- **Luke 24:28:** "So they drew near to the village to which they were going. He acted as if he were going farther."

The reason Jesus acted as though He was going further was to see if the disciples would pursue Him. If a young lady likes a young man, she will often play hard to get to make him prove his resolve.

It seems like we are at the end of all things, and this is God's last call for those who will choose to truly follow Him.

It's a redemptive judgment that seeks to inspire us to cast off the self-reliance of head knowledge that we value so much. Being on fire for God is not complicated; it just costs you more.

Examples of redemptive judgments:

- **Jeremiah 29:10:** God promised redemption after seventy years of Babylonian exile for Israel's disobedience.
- **Jonah 3:4-10:** God relented from judgment when Nineveh repented, showing His mercy and forgiveness.
- **2 Kings 17:6:** The Assyrian conquest of Israel was divine judgment, with a promise of restoration for repentance.
- **2 Kings 25:21:** The Babylonian conquest of Judah was divine judgment, yet God promised eventual restoration.
- **Jeremiah 31:31-34:** God promised a new covenant for forgiveness and close relationship, offering redemption.

Biblical paradoxes and new doctrines

I will briefly introduce the reader to a sample of passages that seem to introduce new doctrines. These are passages that are not only wildly unfamiliar but also seem to be biblical paradoxes. These passages will make it increasingly more difficult for the unconvinced to suggest that we are misremembering. The problem with these passages is not just that they are unfamiliar; they don't belong in our Bibles at all.

Providing you with what seems to be a long list of heinous, indefensible passages puts you in a very unenviable position.

If you can absorb this juggernaut of filth into your soul as the inspired "Word of God," then you will be forced to defend them and use them to glorify a holy God on Sunday morning.

I don't think anyone with an ounce of discernment should be able to get past these next few pages without being converted. But if you are like the British guards who just keep looking forward, I can only assume that you aren't willing to follow the truth wherever it leads you. If you do not repent of your willful ignorance after reviewing this mountain of feculent refuse that appears in the KJV and most versions, then you will be forced to defend it and embrace it as the "Word of God." And my observation there is good luck with that.

Proof texts for Satanic sermons

What I am about to show you are proof texts for Satanic sermons that anyone can preach. Your explanations for this slurry of Wombat vomit will no doubt include;

- It's a bad translation
- You are taking it out of context
- It's a modernization
- It's a bible translation confusion

Let's just set aside for a moment that these passages are wildly unfamiliar to most devout Christians. Let's just put out of our minds the searingly obvious empirical evidence that if I was to quiz 100 pastors on any of these passages, that in most cases they would be unfamiliar to them.

When I interview pastors on this topic, they will often admit that these passages were unfamiliar to them. They will agree that it is the first time they have ever come across these passages in their Bible.

For instance, do you remember when Jesus made mud out of spit and then used it to heal a blind man? Yes? Do you remember Jesus spitting directly into a man's eyes to heal him? No? Check out Mark 8:23 in most translations.

How could a content expert like a pastor be in the Word so intensely for decades and never know that something like that was in his Bible? How is it possible that I could do that with ten out of ten pastors with multiple passages? The answer is, it's not possible. It's as likely as a seasoned pilot getting ten out of ten simple instrument questions wrong or a surgeon getting ten out of ten simple anatomy questions wrong. It's not improbable; it's impossible. And for everyone to get them wrong the same way is very impossible.

I can go into any pastor's office, especially that of a KJV-only pastor, and give him a simple Bible quiz with ten or fifteen questions and have him get almost every single one of them

wrong. These are not obscure passages from the book of Ezekiel. We're talking about things like:

- Job 1:21 “The Lord giveth and the Lord taketh away.”

This rendering does not exist in the King James Bible or any Bible. And if you do your research, you find that it has never existed. It actually says, “The Lord gave, and the Lord hath taken away.” If that doesn't knock you off your chair, then you must be strapped in. Everyone in the world knows it as “giveth and taketh,” but if they do, how did they learn it that way?

- Matthew 7:1 “Judge not lest ye be judged.”

This rendering has never existed in the King James Bible or any Bible either. I will respond to those who suggest that this can all be explained by misremembering in a later chapter, so I won't provide a detailed explanation here. Needless to say, it is unlikely that everyone in the world has misremembered these two iconic passages in the same way.

We are not confused

Misquotes from pop culture or something akin to the telephone game cannot account for virtually all of humanity misremembering so many iconic scriptures in unison. I have watched this astonishingly ridiculous hypothesis fall from the lips of the most learned men and women of God I have ever met. Bible school presidents and the intelligentsia of the body of Christ have regularly tried to proffer this impossible scenario to me in an attempt to respond to what seemed to be an unanswerable question.

This suggestion defies the scientific discipline of probabilities so egregiously that it proves to me that the purveyors of this response are not at all interested in the truth.

Billions of people are not all misremembering a large number of Bible passages and hundreds of things in pop culture in unison due to something akin to the telephone game. If billions were confused, they would not all be misremembering the same way. It's the unconvinced who are confused by a lifetime of substituting head knowledge for a heart relationship with the King of Glory.

You are like the people who were praying for Peter's release from prison, and when Rhoda came and told them that something supernatural had happened, they dismissed her as crazy. But Rhoda was correct! (Acts 12:15)

It's not an implanted thought, confabulation or whatever other psychobabble concept you may have heard on a TED talk. It's supernatural, it's big, and it's happening to your Bible.

It's not caused by modernizations

The modernization, or translation confusion hypotheses, are also irrational, because these dark themes are appearing in all Bibles and revisions and the original source texts have the same renderings that we have today. Clearly, the voice of a stranger is being permitted entrance into the sacred texts as a judgment against a church that has neutralized the power of God through intellectualism.

- Mark 7:13 ...“making the word of God of no effect through your tradition which you have handed down. And many such things you do.”

All the examples that I am about to provide are in all translations, so it's not a translation confusion.

When you discover these egregious foreign invaders residing in the familiar sections of your Bible, you will recoil and be shocked. Your mind will immediately seek an escape route that will provide some comfort from the scenario that this book is outlining.

Most people will simply convince themselves that they have somehow gotten a hold of some obscure, polluted version you are not familiar with.

But everything I'm about to give you, every single passage I'm about to deliver to you, is in the Bible that you have read your entire life. Every rendering I will give you will be identical to what is found in virtually every translation, so there is no way that it results from me cherry picking obscure passages out of some rogue translation that you have nothing to do with.

These examples are biblical paradoxes and blasphemy, so if the Bible you have was “modernized,” these passages would have been removed in the last update, not added in.

Everything I will show you is in the 1611 and every modernization since then, so how can it be a modernization?

So, if you cannot reconcile these passages to what you know about God and your Bible, then the only conclusion you can come to is that the Bible has been changed supernaturally.

Here is a record of most modernizations

- 1629 Cambridge Revision:
- 1638 Cambridge Revision:
- 1762 Cambridge Revision:
- 1769 Oxford Revision:
- 1873 Cambridge Paragraph Bible:

After a thorough review, I was able to confirm that all the passages that I will share with you are present in the original 1611, the 1769 and everything in between

It's also important to point out that the changes these revisions made in the texts were fairly insignificant and would not account for words and themes like these being added so that the text would be more in line with modern vernacular.

And because these passages are laced with sexual innuendo and contain bizarre, confusing, and ungodly themes, this modernization hypothesis would only be possible if the transcribers were incompetent perverts who had no grasp of modern vernacular.

The subtle changes in the revisions primarily involved spelling, punctuation, and minor textual corrections rather than substantial word and textual changes.

In order for the modernization argument to be valid, you would need to have the indefensible scriptures I'm about to share with you absent from the 1611 Cambridge version.

But this is not the case. You will find all these unnatural aberrations in your 1611 Cambridge version and every revision after it.

As a result, those clinging to the vestiges of normalcy through the modernization hypothesis, will find no comfort there.

You cannot put the troubled ocean at your back and sail peacefully into this calm cove of rationality. You will rack your brains in search of an exit ramp, but you will not find it.

The scriptures tell us that during the time of Christ, there was an unusual place called the Pool of Bethesda that was visited periodically by an angel.

The scriptures tell us that the angel would trouble the waters once a year, and whoever got into the water first would be healed. I am declaring to all those who have ears to hear that God is once again troubling the waters.

And it will not only be miraculous healings that will break forth on every side, but a great deliverance will be wrought as devout believers everywhere awaken to the nobility they have been squandering.

I have shown this evidence to many pastors, only to have them insist that it is still somehow caused by a naturalistic explanation, such as modernization. You are going to have to pay attention, focus, and decide that you really want to know the truth, no matter where it takes you, if you are going to come to the correct conclusion.

Many have told me that “this can all be explained without having to suggest some harebrained idea that we are shifting between different universes.” I have been told, “I can clear this up for you. This is just the result of modernizations that have been released into bookstores.” Others will emphatically suggest that “it’s just a poor wording choice of a translator in some obscure version of the Bible.”

No, it is not. Not what I’m about to present to you. And anyone who attempts to advance that idea after reading this research either hasn’t read this research or is a liar. The scriptures that I am about to present are in your authorized KJV Bible and are in every single authorized KJV Bible that has ever existed in any century. They are also in virtually every other translation that anyone uses. These are universal Bible changes.

That means that I have unequivocally eliminated the modernization and translation confusion arguments as the reasons why you have these universally unfamiliar, and inexcusable anomalies in your Bible.

These verses are even in your Grandma's Gutenberg in the attic. Don't take my word for it; go get it and see for yourself.

So, when you see what I'm about to show you, and you are horrified, you now know that it's not there because of modernizations, variants or confusion because of varied renderings in different versions.

Are we clear on that? I'm not just being verbose. I have repeated myself over and over for a reason. I have unequivocally shut that door for you. If you are rational and have integrity, then those two things are no longer an option for you to escape to. I have painted you into a corner. I have checkmated your rational reasoning mind that is fighting my empirical evidence with all its might. If you continue to assert that these changes are caused by modernization or a simple translation confusion, then you are just delusional.

Definition of delusion

"A false belief or judgment about external reality, held despite incontrovertible evidence to the contrary."

The "external reality" in this case is that these passages are in virtually all Bibles and all revisions in every century with no exceptions. So, if you continue to hold to that position despite having incontrovertible evidence to the contrary, then you will fit the dictionary definition of delusional.

With those two possibilities out of the way, the only plausible argument that remains to explain this cavalcade of inappropriate edits into the text is to suggest that it is a bad translation. But that's the same as the modernization argument.

These passages appear in the 1611 and all revisions, so it can't be a bad translation; otherwise, the 1611 would be a bad translation. The same would be true with the "misprint" argument.

You can't find a Bible anywhere that is free from these passages, so how can it be a bad translation or a misprint?

But even if you wanted to suggest the "bad translation" idea, how many times can you resort to that option before even that becomes suspect? Five or ten?

What if I gave you fifteen scriptures that you found really disturbing?

Would that open you up to the possibility that there was something unexplainable happening? Well, I'm going to give you thirty-five!

Thirty-five universal doctrinal nightmares, appearing in virtually every Bible, that any devout follower of the Lord Jesus should find indefensible. Let me write that word three times for you: indefensible, indefensible, indefensible!

I say that because that's exactly what most church leaders are going to do as I present these to you. Instead of being willing to absorb the sting of having to consider a more nuanced understanding of the doctrines of preservation, you will avert your gaze as though you are doing so to protect God's honor. Your zeal to defend God's honor is noble, but it's misplaced in this case, I assure you.

After that, I will give you twenty-seven more that are in the KJV only. That's 62 doctrinal paradoxes. They will be unfamiliar. Many will be forced to admit that it is the first time they have become aware of them, but they will certainly seem out of place. They will seem unacceptable, like when you find a small twig in your oatmeal when you're eating in a restaurant. Some will seem downright evil, and your mind will look for some escape route that you can latch onto, but there is none.

The Watchtower's New World Translation or the LGBTQ Bible is a bad translation, but the KJV is not. We are not talking about a few cherry-picked obscure passages that are difficult to accept as presented. We're talking about a veritable avalanche of salacious innuendo and biblical paradoxes.

The KJV and all versions are not filled with passages that are bad translations; they are filled with supernatural changes.

How can you suggest the KJV is sacrosanct, immutable, preserved, inspired, received, authorized, flawless, and perfect and then respond to thirty-six nightmare passages by saying it's a bad translation?

I submit that the culprit in this controversy is you dear soul.

We are being accused of sowing doubt and attacking the sanctity of the scriptures, but what's actually taking place is that you are attacking God himself.

By denying the mountain of evidence being presented, you are forced to defend these rancid aberrations so you can silence your screaming conscience.

By doing so, you force yourself and your followers to align with themes that disparage the very nature and character of God.

By defending the indefensible, you make yourself a co-conspirator with God's enemy and will continue to defame God's character and honor.

Sermons are already being written and published to explain why Jesus is spitting in people's faces to heal them.

We see articles like: "Why Did Jesus Put Spit in His Eyes? There is Beauty in the Details" by Erik Raymond | December 14, 2010.

No, Mr. Raymond, there is no beauty in the details. There is a beady-eyed pile of filth staring out at you from the shadows. He is laughing his unsanctified head off because you have taken the bait hook, line, and sinker. He has tricked you into writing sermons that defend this subterfuge, and I wonder how long it will be before you realize what you are defending. How dark and blatant will these themes have to get before you say, "Wait a minute, this can't be God"?

The LGBT theologians are already seizing on the fact that there are two men in a bed, and one gets raptured in the KJV in Luke 17:34. I have watched pastors visibly shaken as they read this passage. It was clear from their response that it was unfamiliar to them, and they felt obligated to address the obvious innuendo that it represented.

The LGBT community is seizing on the fact that men appear to be cross-dressing, wearing aprons, bonnets, lace, and girdles (Genesis 3:7 – aprons; Exodus 28:37 – lace; Exodus 28:40 – girdles and bonnets).

Islam is seizing on the fact that "Jihad Jesus" is in the Bible, instructing His followers to bring those who refuse to be His disciples and slit their throats in front of Him (Luke 19:27).

The fact that it's a parable is irrelevant. The fact that it was never in your New Testament is what you have to absorb into your soul. And if you bark decrees at me that it was always there, I'll call you a liar, because I can go onto any Christian podcast with 20,000 listeners, and 95% will admit that it was the first time they

had ever heard that it was in their Bibles. I do it all the time and every time.

Thieves are seizing on the fact that Paul is robbing churches. (2nd Cor 11:8) I'm not sure what distorted group would seize on the fact that the Bible instructs God's people to sacrifice turtles (Lev 12:8 KJV only) and female sheep, (Lev 4:32) but I'm sure there's a group out there that will take advantage of that biblical paradox and run with it.

If you happen to be preaching on 2 Corinthians 11:8 one morning, and one of your first-time visitors is a professional second-story guy, you will be thrust onto the horns of a dilemma.

Because the passage you are reading tells him that Paul robbed the churches, he concludes God must be OK with the idea that God helps those who help themselves.

He had actually come there to get right with God, but since you are so oblivious to these Bible changes, you are forced to defend them.

And so instead of converting a man from being a thief, you solidify him in his wicked ways.

When you have your altar call and he comes forward, he will tell you how delighted he is that he's going to be able to go to heaven and keep stealing for a living. He will tell you how relieved he is to find out that Paul was a thief, just like he is. He will tell you how excited he is that he is going to be able to add Jesus to his life and keep on stealing.

Forget about the impossibility that this would be the first time that this kind of perception would have ever come up in your entire ministry before today. Of course, you are obliged to offer an explanation to this unlearned chap for this misunderstanding, but your explanation is going to leave him scratching his head and wondering if this book actually means what it says.

So, let me introduce you to a phrase that you will need to become very familiar with if you remain in the "Bible can't change" camp.

This phrase is something that you will need to rely on very heavily in the years to come, as devout believers continue to come to you with astonished, disturbed looks on their faces because of what they are finding in their Bibles.

You will have to tell people repeatedly, “Well, the Bible doesn't really mean that.”

When you tell the thief that, he's going to say, “What do you mean, that's what it says?”

And you're going to say, “I know it says he robbed churches, but it doesn't mean that.” You'll tell him that in order to really understand this passage, you will need to go into the original language to see what it's really saying.

However, if you do that, what you'll find is that the original language means that he robbed, stole, and took what wasn't his.

When the thief points out that the English words say he stole and the original language says he stole, he's going to keep asking. And if you don't use the old, “well, you have to consider the full counsel of God” response, you will certainly go to your only option left.

It's a bad translation.

So let me now introduce you to the second phrase that you're going to have to become very familiar with. This is another one of your exit ramps you will need if you are going to remain in the “Bible can't change” camp.

The phrase is: “Well, it must be a bad translation.”

Even I would have enough sense not to use such a colorful metaphor in such important correspondence, like an instructional letter to a church, so you can be sure that a learned wordsmith like Paul or any of the translators would not use it either.

All parties involved would have enough wisdom to know that people might use that to justify stealing. Wouldn't you? If you were writing an instructional letter to a church that you had planted, would you try to express how much they blessed you by saying, “I robbed other churches”? Wouldn't you say to yourself, “You know, I'd better not say that; someone is liable to take that the wrong way.” But this is your journey because you are determined to cling to this sacred cow called the DOP. As we will see, the DOP is not a doctrine at all; it is a philosophy, combined with a variety of passages that are taken out of context or forced into a meaning that was never intended.

So it's not me that needs to be rebuked; it's you, dear soul. You have as much discernment as a bag of hammers if you cannot tell that what I'm about to show you should not be in your Bible.

The use of Innuendo to deceive

Before providing the examples, it is important to point out that as overt as some of these examples are, there is still a significant reliance on innuendo to corrupt the scripture without most people noticing.

Innuendo makes subtle or blatant suggestions that are sordid or evil while preserving the speaker's plausible deniability. In order to accomplish this, the speaker alludes to a meaning rather than stating it directly.

If I'm at a party and Sally walks away from our group after saying she was late because she had to walk all the way around the block, everyone will know what I mean if I say, "Yeah, Sally has been around the block, all right." In that scenario, I didn't come right out and say it, but I clearly insinuated that Sally has a reputation for being promiscuous. That's how innuendo works. You say things without really saying them. In a public setting like this example, your tonality, facial expression, and body language will either accentuate or downplay what it is you are trying to suggest.

I can make it very obvious by combining a gesture of elbowing someone as I say: "Nudge, nudge, wink, wink, know what I mean?" If I do that, I might as well have come right out and said, "Sally is known to be promiscuous." In the case of the Bible changes, Mandelites see the changes as blazingly obvious, but they are still subtle enough to slip under the radar if you have a strong bias against this happening.

Let's not forget the fact that Satan is very clever.

- Genesis 3: 1 "Now the serpent was more crafty than any beast of the field that the LORD God had made."

The Devil wants these changes to go under the radar, to get your compliance in aligning yourself with ungodly principles. And let's not forget that "It's the glory of God to conceal a matter." God is also allowing it to be subtle because He is looking for something from you in this hour. He has a question for you that He wants you to answer. The question is:

“Do you know me, or do you just know your Bible?”

Jesus spoke in parables to draw men out.

- Matthew 13:13 “This is why I speak to them in parables: “Though seeing, they do not see; though hearing, they do not hear or understand.”

It’s not that He doesn’t want you to see, He wants you to see, so that you will be able to see that you haven’t been seeing. Wow! Did I just say that?

- John 9:41 “Jesus said to them, “If you were blind, you would have no sin; but now you say, “We see.” Therefore, your sin remains.”

The Bible changes are not random. There are many who see very clear prophetic correlations in the changes to things that are happening in the present day. We also see the changes and things being added into the text that seek to introduce new narratives or support existing ungodly narratives like blasphemy, illicit behavior, Islam, LGBT, or sexual immorality.

In this set of examples that I am about to provide, it appears, for instance, that there have been many scriptures added to the text that now describe cannibalism. The fact that it may be couched in the context of the judgment of God is irrelevant. That is the strategy of the enemy to get you to accept the innuendo.

Politicians have always relied on the idea of “plausible deniability” in case their initiative is exposed or goes sideways. If things blow up in their face, they can always say it wasn’t them that ordered it.

Our attempt to discern if something is a Bible change relies on our ability to combine our memories of what was in our Bibles with the consensus of the community. This is the only way to confirm that a claim is not being made based solely on one person’s memory.

Sometimes, we can also compare the apparent meaning of the passage with the nature and character of God that we all hold in unison. In other words, a passage may be wildly unfamiliar, but it doesn’t necessarily introduce a biblical paradox into the text. An example of this would be the account given by Mark 14:30 of Peter’s denial of Christ.

If you find a passage that seems wildly unfamiliar, and ninety-five percent of one hundred Christians say they don't remember the cock crowing twice before Peter denied Him thrice, then we collectively identify that as a Bible change, but it doesn't really have any effect on doctrine.

If, on the other hand, you have the same ninety-five percent of one hundred Christians not remembering Jesus giving direction to slay those that don't follow Him, that does affect doctrine.

If there was one passage in the Bible that talked about people eating flesh, we would not include it in this list. But when there are ten such passages, we begin to suspect that it may be a Bible change.

When ninety-five percent of a group made up of Bible believers testify, they don't remember ten references to cannibalism in their Bible, we become suspect.

Many times, we can further confirm our suspicions by going out to the data sphere and find residual evidence of these passages in old sermons that support our memories. This would not be possible if we were simply misremembering.

We are not just pulling these conclusions out of thin air. We know that this is taking place, and therefore we are not naïve to accept Bible changes just because they are not 100% explicit. The Devil will not work that way. He will not change John 3:16 to "God so loved the world that He sent His only begotten daughter..."

If you woke up tomorrow and every translation said, "God sent his only begotten daughter," it would be game over. If that happened, everyone would have to admit that the Bibles are changing, because that change would be so overt that even the most ardent received, preserved, perfect, flawless, KJV only Baptist would have to admit that the Bible was being supernaturally changed.

So please don't be naïve and try to smooth over these offensive intruders with your in-depth commentary on why it belongs there. It doesn't belong there and you know it.

We are making every effort to rightly divide the word, and to provide thorough research that will back up our claims. We often attempt to verify a suspected change through the community using polls, consensus, and comparative analysis.

We are unmoved by the complaints of the unconvinced that object to us relying on our memories over the authority of scripture.

We are not exalting our memories above the authority of Scripture; we are fully aligned with the authority of Scripture. We stand on the authority of biblical prophecy and a more orthodox interpretation of the terms “Bible,” “Scripture,” and “Word” to make our claim.

It is the unconvinced that are violating a litany of clear directions from God to “Prove all things; and hold fast to that which is good” (1 Thessalonians 5:21).

I understand your objection. The problem is your objection is based on a superficial understanding of what the text is actually promising. To understand our position, visit alteredbible.com and go to the “Educational docs” section. Download the document called “26 theological arguments for accepting supernatural Bible changes.”

I can produce many passages to support the position that the text and only the text are the only reliable source to preserve the decrees of God, but this profound truth is not a promise that God would not allow them to become inaccessible through a judgment.

I could point to passages like Luke 16, where the Rich man asked for a supernatural specter to go to the realm of the living to convince his brothers so they would avoid hell. But the answer that Abraham provided was, “They have Moses and the prophets; let them hear them.”

The clear testimony of scripture is that the medium that has the highest authority for God to communicate his will to man is the written scripture. The person returning from the dead could be lying or exaggerating, but the testimony of the inspired text is sure and beyond reproach.

But using this and other passages to object to those relying on memory to conclude that the Bible is supernaturally changing is coming from someone that doesn't believe it's changing.

We, on the other hand, do believe it's changing. We don't think it's changing; we know it's changing. And therefore, we have no other option but to rely on our memories.

We didn't create this event; we are only responding to what God is allowing in His infinite wisdom.

I've already addressed the concept of "yes, it is, no, it isn't" in the very first paragraphs of this book. The Bible is supernaturally changing; therefore, the only recourse that we have to walk with God in this hour is to rely on the Bible as it is now, our God-given faculties, and determination to forge a new, vital relationship with the Holy Spirit.

Remember, these next twenty-two examples appear in every major version of the Bible, so it cannot be sloughed off as something that is simply caused by confusion between what you normally read and other rogue versions.

Think about it. If you don't read those other versions, and these unfamiliar things are in your Bible, then how did they get in there?

These things have been in your Bible since before you were born, so if you find them completely outside of what you remember and outside of anything that should be in your Bible, you will have to either admit that all of humanity is under a spell, or your Bible has changed.

Biblical paradox examples - (Universal)

Jihad Jesus slaying any who refuses to follow Him

- Luke 19:27 "But those mine enemies, which would not that I should reign over them, bring hither, and slay them before me."

As I have stated, it doesn't matter that this is just a parable about judgment in the afterlife. You know, and I know, that this was never in your Bible.

Isaiah engaging in fornication with prostitutes

- Isaiah 8:3 "Then I made love to the prophetess, and she conceived and gave birth to a son. And the Lord said to me, 'Name him Maher-Shalal-Hash-Baz. '"

If you're not convinced these are supernaturally changed passages, just ask ten people, "Hey, do you remember Isaiah ever having a child with a prophetess?" If they all say, "No, I've never heard of that," then you may be looking at a phenomenon.

Smashing babies against rocks makes you happy

- Psalm 137:9 - "Happy shall he be, that taketh and dasheth thy little ones against the stones."

Paul, stopping at the tavern for a pint

- Acts 28:15 And from thence, when the brethren heard of us, they came to meet us as far as Appii forum, and the three taverns: whom when Paul saw, he thanked God, and took courage.

Jesus Spitting in people's faces to heal them

- Mark 8:23 - "And he took the blind man by the hand, and led him out of the town; and when he had spit on his eyes, and put his hands upon him, he asked him if he saw ought."

Sacrificing Female Sheep

- "Leviticus 4:32 - "And if he bring a lamb for a sin offering, he shall bring it a female without blemish."

Paul Robbing churches

- 2 Corinthians 11:8 - "I robbed other churches, taking wages of them, to do you service."

People drinking their own piss and eating their own dung

- 2 Kings 18:27 - "hath he not sent me to the men which sit on the wall, that they may eat their own dung, and drink their own piss with you?"

Jesus touching babies

- Luke 18:15 - "And they brought unto him also infants, that he would touch them: but when his disciples saw it, they rebuked them."

Genitals like donkeys

- Ezekiel 23:20 "There she lusted after her lovers, whose genitals were like those of donkeys and whose emission was like that of horses."

Female Angels or women with wings

- Zechariah 5:9 - "Then lifted I up mine eyes, and looked, and, behold, there came out two women, and the wind was in their wings; for they had wings like the wings of a stork: and they lifted up the ephah between the earth and the heaven."

Streaking (Running naked)

- Mark 14:51-52 - "And there followed him a certain young man, having a linen cloth cast about his naked body; and the young men laid hold on him: And he left the linen cloth, and fled from them naked."
- John 21:7 "Therefore that disciple whom Jesus loved saith unto Peter, It is the Lord. Now when Simon Peter heard that it was the Lord, he girt his fisher's coat unto him, (for he was naked,) and did cast himself into the sea.

God Himself is killing the firstborn of Egypt

- Exodus 12:23 "For the LORD will pass through to smite the Egyptians; and when he seeth the blood upon the lintel, and on the two side posts, the LORD will pass over the door, and will not suffer the destroyer to come in unto your houses to smite you."

False teachers are to be tolerated

- 2nd Corinthians 11:4 "For if he that cometh preacheth another Jesus, whom we have not preached, or if ye receive another spirit, which ye have not received, or another gospel, which ye have not accepted, ye might well bear with him.

The Ten Commandments combined with ceremonial and covenantal laws unique to Israel.

Most of us will remember the Ten Commandments being listed in only two places in the Bible:

- Exodus 20:1–17
- Deuteronomy 5:6–21

However, Exodus 34:11–28 is being called a "renewed covenant", and verse 28 even says: "*he wrote upon the tables the words of the covenant, the ten commandments.*" But the content of Exodus 34 is significantly different from the traditional Ten Commandments in Exodus or Deuteronomy.

Only three to four of the traditional Ten Commandments (no other gods, no idols, Sabbath, possibly coveting) appear in Exodus 34. The rest are replaced with ceremonial and covenantal laws unique to Israel. Is this the first time it's ever come to your attention that there is text that contains some of the Ten Commandments mixed in with ceremonial law? Yes or no?

Extra biblical books that many have never heard of

We all remember this one...

- Is it not written in the book of Jasher (Joshua 10:13, 2nd Sam 1:17)

But have you ever heard of these?

- The book of Shemaiah the prophet (2nd Chronicles 12:15)
- Iddo the seer concerning genealogies (2nd Chronicles 12:15)
- The book of Nathan the prophet (1st Chronicles 29:29)
- The book of Gad the seer (1st Chronicles 29:29)
- The book of the acts of Solomon (1 Kings 11:41)
- The book of the chronicles of the kings of Israel. (1 Kings 14:19)

Cannibalism in the Bible

We know from whistleblowers in government, intelligence agencies, and Hollywood, from testimonies of those that have come out, from researchers and court documents, that the elite of this world use and rely on Adrenochrome to stay young and vital.

They are Luciferian blood-drinking pedivores, and Adrenochrome is their nectar. It is the blood derived from child sacrifice. It is filled with adrenaline and is a fountain of youth.

The fountain of youth gives you youth because it's filled with the blood of youth. It is literally the fountain of youth.

The Bible changes are inserting ungodly themes like this so that the elite can use the Bible to draw many into child sacrifice, pedophilia, and Satanism in the last days.

These are the closest passages I could find that forbid cannibalism:

- Leviticus 17:10: “And I will set my face against any Israelite or any foreigner living among them who eats blood, and I will cut them off from the people. For the life of a creature is in the blood.”
- Deuteronomy 12:23: “But be sure you do not eat the blood, because the blood is the life, and you must not eat the life with the meat.”

Cannibalism Scriptures:

- Leviticus 26:29: “You’ll eat the flesh of your sons and the flesh of your daughters.”
- Deuteronomy 28:53: “Because of the suffering your enemy will inflict on you during the siege, you will eat the fruit of the womb, the flesh of the sons and daughters the Lord your God has given you.”
- 2 Kings 6:28-29: “Give up your son so we may eat him today, and tomorrow we’ll eat my son.

So we cooked my son and ate him. The next day I said to her, ‘Give up your son so we may eat him,’ but she had hidden him.”

- Jeremiah 19:9 (Most versions) "I will make them eat the flesh of their sons and daughters, and they will eat one another’s flesh because their enemies will press the siege so hard against them to destroy them.”
- Lamentations 2:20 (Most versions) "Look, Lord, and consider: Whom have you ever treated like this? Should women eat their offspring, the children they have cared for? Should priest and prophet be killed in the sanctuary of the Lord?"

-
- Ezekiel 5:10 (Most versions) "Therefore in your midst fathers will eat their children, and children will eat their fathers. I will inflict punishment on you and will scatter all your survivors to the winds."
 - Ezekiel 5:8-10 (Most versions) "Therefore in your midst fathers will eat their children, and children will eat their fathers. I will inflict punishment on you and will scatter all your survivors to the winds."
 - Micah 3:2-3 (Most versions) "you who hate good and love evil; who tear the skin from my people and the flesh from their bones; who eat my people's flesh, strip off their skin and break their bones in pieces; who chop them up like meat for the pan, like flesh for the pot."
 - Isaiah 9:19-20 (Most versions) "On the right they will devour, but still be hungry; on the left they will eat, but not be satisfied. Each will feed on the flesh of their own offspring."

I don't know how many times I've read the Bible from cover to cover. If you told me that there were nine passages describing anyone eating the flesh of anyone else, I would have said, "Get out of here!" No way!" But there they are. Nine different references to people eating people in your Bible. It doesn't matter why they are eating people. There's just a whole lot of people eating going on in my Bible these days, and "I'm not happy Bob.... not happy."

Remember, there's always a backstory. At what point is the number of people eating other people going to reach a threshold with you? Is it twenty? Would you admit that something had changed if there were forty references to people eating other people in the Good Book?

Nonsense verses

- 2nd Corinthians 12:10 "Therefore I take pleasure in infirmities, in reproaches, in necessities, in persecutions, in distresses for Christ's sake: for when I am weak, then am I strong."

There seems to be a lot more of man on man kissing in the Bible.

It never bothered me that the Bible said to “greet one another with a holy kiss.” I accepted it as the custom of the day.

I and others remember that being in our Bibles going back forty years. But it sure seems like there is a lot more man-on-man kissing going on these days. Here are passages of men kissing men that appear in KJV, NKJV, NIV, ESV, NASB.

Men kissing men

- Genesis 27:26–27 – Jacob (disguised as Esau) kisses his father Isaac as he comes near for the blessing.
- Genesis 29:13 – Laban runs to meet Jacob, embraces him, and kisses him.
- Genesis 33:4 – Esau runs to meet Jacob, embraces him, and kisses him on the neck.
- Genesis 45:15 – Joseph kisses all his brothers and weeps upon them.
- Genesis 48:10 – Israel (Jacob) kisses Joseph’s sons (Ephraim and Manasseh) and embraces them.
- Exodus 4:27 – Aaron meets Moses in the wilderness and kisses him.
- 1 Samuel 10:1 – Samuel anoints Saul king and kisses him.
- 1 Samuel 20:41 – David and Jonathan kiss one another and weep together.
- 2 Samuel 14:33 – King David kisses his son Absalom when he comes before him.
- Matthew 26:48–49 – Judas identifies and betrays Jesus by kissing Him.
- Mark 14:44–45 – Judas again identifies and betrays Jesus with a kiss.
- Luke 15:20 – The father of the prodigal son runs to him, embraces him, and kisses him.

-
- Acts 20:37 – The elders of Ephesus weep, fall on Paul’s neck, and kiss him.
 - Romans 16:16 - Salute one another with an holy kiss. The churches of Christ salute you.
 - 1 Corinthians 16:20 - All the brethren greet you. Greet ye one another with an holy kiss.
 - 2 Corinthians 13:12 - Greet one another with an holy kiss.
 - 1 Thessalonians 5:26 - Greet all the brethren with an holy kiss.
 - 1 Peter 5:14 - Greet ye one another with a kiss of charity. Peace be with you all that are in Christ Jesus. Amen.

Strong sexual inuendo between Johnathan and Daivid

It seems very foreign to me that Johnathan is described as stripping naked in front of David,

- 1 Sam 18:3 “Then Jonathan and David made a covenant, because he loved him as his own soul. And Jonathan stripped himself of the robe that was upon him, and gave it to David, and his garments, even to his sword, and to his bow, and to his girdle.”

Even if he wasn’t naked in this scenario, they first pledge their bromance to each other, and then Jonathan starts peeling off what appears to be all of his clothes. There is more than enough fodder here if you want to insinuate that there was some shady business going on.

A lot of Christians don’t remember this whole scenario at all, myself included. All many of us remember is one statement of commentary that stated that “the love that David had for Jonathan was greater than the love of women.”

I remember thinking when I read this account for the first time forty years ago, “OK, these guys were complete bros, but there’s nothing sordid going on here.” But I also remember thinking, if the gay community wanted to run with that idea, they would only have that one statement to work with. Well, now there is a lot more than one statement.

- 1st Samuel 20:41 And as soon as the lad was gone, David arose out of a place toward the south, and fell on his face to the ground, and bowed himself three times: and they kissed one another, and wept one with another, until David exceeded. NOTE: “David exceeded” is a KJV only rendering.

So now besides that little vignette from 1 Samuel 18:3, we now also have David described as falling on Jonathan “in passion.”

The term “face” offers the Strong’s definition from “H599; properly, the nose or nostril; hence, the face, and occasionally a person; also (from the rapid breathing in passion).”

If you look at the word face in the phrase “he fell on his face to the ground,” you see that the word “face” seems to carry a meaning that includes “rapid breathing” and “passion.”

It doesn’t say that David fell on Johnathan’s face, but it does say that they kissed each other, which seems quite different from greeting one another with a holy kiss, especially with everything that is going on between these two.

If I kiss you, that is one thing, but if we kiss each other, that becomes an entirely different story. The text clearly says, “they kissed one another.” Do you ever remember David and Johnathan kissing each other? The only thing I could find kissing each other in the Bible was righteousness and peace.

- Psalm 85:10 Mercy and truth are met together; righteousness and peace have kissed each other.

From there, we are told that David “bowed himself three times.” There is nothing in the original meaning of this word that would indicate that there is anything unsavory taking place. It would seem if he is bowing three times, it is simply a custom of the day to pay homage or honor to a soulmate such as this.

However, in the context of all of this, and considering the next thing that it says, I will point out that the term “bowing” is used as a euphemism for sex in the Book of Job 31:9.

- Job 31:9-10 “If my heart has been enticed by a woman, Or if I have lurked at my neighbor’s door, then let my wife grind for another, and let others bow down over her.

So, in wrapping this encounter up with a pretty bow, let me point out what seems to be some additional fodder for the innuendo onslaught the size of Texas that is going on here.

The cherry on top of all this is found in the Strong's H1431 definition of the term “David cried all the more.” The KJV renders it “David exceeded.” The sentiment of this phrase includes “to make large or grow as in various senses including the body.”

גָּדַל *gâdal*, *gaw-dal'*; a primitive root; properly, to twist (compare H1434), i.e., to be (causatively make) large (in various senses, as in body, mind, estate, or honor, also in pride):

So, we're all adults here. And I believe to the pure all things are pure, just like the next guy. My mind isn't in the gutter as I unpack this as I am. If I wasn't convinced that the Bible was changing, I certainly wouldn't be providing this analysis the way I am. But let me just recap all of this and have you tell me if you think what I'm suggesting is baseless and outrageous.

In a previous encounter, we have Jonathan stripping naked in front of David. They are pledging their love for each other, and they are described as kissing each other. From there, we have David falling on his face in passion with rapid breathing, along with bowing three times, which may be a euphemism for sex.

They are weeping together, and finally, you have a term that describes what could easily be construed as an erection: “Make large in various senses, as in body.”

There's enough plausible deniability here that if you want, you can still shake this off and receive this as the inspired “Word of God,” but if I'm in the LGBT camp, I could have a field day with this.

Some may want to assign me to the seventh circle of hell for suggesting this, but at least I don't have to try to defend this questionable material.

Innuendo as a primary tool of deception

As I mentioned, the enemy is relying heavily on the use of modern words and innuendo to muddy the waters without the masses catching on. An example of this is a passage that is only found in the American Standard Version:

- Isaiah 27:12 (ASV Only) "And it shall come to pass in that day, that Jehovah will beat off his fruit from the flood of the River unto the brook of Egypt; and ye shall be gathered one by one, O ye children of Israel."

Most people would immediately recognize this as off-color and be shocked that there is something in their Bible that seems to indicate something that I will not even mention.

I can tell you with one hundred percent certainty that if this were the sermon text on Sunday morning in most American churches, the moment you read this, there would be gasps, people would look up from their Bibles, and the youth group that's sitting in the left section of the sanctuary would begin giggling, if not laughing out loud.

You can try to suggest that this was the common vernacular of the day, and the translators wouldn't have recognized it as off-color, but we disagree. You can try to explain the innocence of this by pointing out that it's just a metaphor for God gathering His people together again, but we stand unconvinced. How many of these dark sayings will you have to encounter in your Bible before you start questioning these absolutes that you hold so dearly?

My response is that you might be able to cling to that position if this were an isolated incident, but look at this partial list of modern words that now appear in your 1611 KJV Bible. These can't be modernizations if they appear in the 1611, the 1769, and every revision in between.

Modern words (KJV only)

Verified 8/3/24

Accounting (Heb 11:19)	Aliens (Heb 11:34)
Apes (1 Kings 10:22)	Bank (Luke 19:23)
Bonnets (Exod 28:40)	Bottles (Gen 21:14)
Brakes (Judges 7:19)	Coupling (Exod 26:4)
Damsel (Gen 24:14)	Doctors (Luke 2:46)
Dwarf (Lev 21:20)	Engines (2 Chron 26:15)
Fashion (1 Cor 7:31)	Ferry Boat (2 Sam 19:18)

Garlick (Num 11:5)	Girdles (Exod 28:40)
Gay Clothing (James 2:3)	Gross (Acts 28:27)
Looking Glass (Exod 38:8)	Matrix (Exod 13:12)
Media (Isa 21:2)	Mufflers (Isa 3:19)
Napkin (Luke 19:20)	Navy (1 Kings 9:26)
Needlework (Exod 26:36)	Ouches (Exod 28:11)
Peacock (1 Kings 10:22)	Pen (Jer 8:8)
Pilots (Ezek 27:28)	Penny (Matt 20:2)
Pollute (Ezek 20:30)	Presidents (Dan 6:2)
Press (Luke 19:3)	Publish (Ps 68:11)
Pavilion (Psalm 27:5)	Satyr (Isa 13:21)
Sailors (Rev 18:17)	Science (1 Tim 6:20)
Scum (Ezek 24:6)	Senate (Acts 5:21)
Shipmen (1 Kings 9:27)	Sporting (2 Pet 2:13)
Street (Gen 19:2)	Stuff (Gen 45:20)
Theatre (Acts 19:29)	Tires (Isa 3:18)
Townclerk (Acts 19:35)	Traffick (Ezek 28:5)
Unicorn (Num 23:22)	Wimples (Isa 3:22)
Wizards (Lev 19:31)	Passengers (Ezek 39:14)
Woof (Lev 13:48)	

As promised, here are some additional paradoxes that you will find in the authorized KJV.

Theological Paradoxes - King James Only

- **Leviticus 11:29 — Sacrificing turtles forbidden**
 “These also shall be unclean unto you among the creeping things that creep upon the earth; the weasel, and the mouse, and the tortoise after his kind...”

- **Leviticus 12:8 — Sacrificing Turtles** — “And if she be not able to bring a lamb, then she shall bring two turtles...”
- **Song of Solomon 2:12 — Singing turtles** — “The flowers appear on the earth; the time of the singing of birds is come, and the voice of the turtle is heard in our land;”
- **Jeremiah 8:7 — Turtles flying south for the winter** — “Yea, the stork in the heaven knoweth her appointed times; and the turtle and the crane and the swallow observe the time of their coming; but my people know not the judgment of the LORD.”
- **Isaiah 49:23 — Nursing fathers** — “And kings shall be thy nursing fathers, and their queens thy nursing mothers: they shall bow down to thee with their face toward the earth, and lick up the dust of thy feet; and thou shalt know that I am the LORD: for they shall not be ashamed that wait for me.”
- **Isaiah 60:16 — Sucking the breasts of kings** — “Thou shalt also suck the milk of the Gentiles, and shalt suck the breast of kings: and thou shalt know that I the LORD am thy Saviour and thy Redeemer, the mighty One of Jacob.”
- **Job 21:24 — Men with breast milk** — “His breasts are full of milk, and his bones are moistened with marrow.”
- **Luke 17:34–35 — Two men in a bed / One gets raptured**
 - **Luke 17:34** “I tell you, in that night there shall be two men in one bed; the one shall be taken, and the other shall be left.”
 - **Luke 17:35** “Two women shall be grinding together; the one shall be taken, and the other left.”
- **Revelation 1:13 Jesus has female breasts like Baphomet** - "Son of man, clothed with a garment down to the foot, and girt about with the '**paps**' with a golden girdle."
 - Paps = mas-tos; from the base of G3145; properly, female breast. **Did not use stethos - male breast**

-
- **Titus 1:8** — **A bishop must be a “lover of good men”** — “But a lover of hospitality, a lover of good men, sober, just, holy, temperate;”
 - **Isaiah 45:14** — **There is no God** — “Thus saith the LORD, The labour of Egypt, and merchandise of Ethiopia and of the Sabeans, men of stature, shall come over unto thee, and they shall be thine: they shall come after thee; in chains they shall come over, and they shall fall down unto thee, they shall make supplication unto thee, saying, Surely God is in thee; and there is none else, **there is no God.**”
 - **Luke 1:35** — **Jesus is called a “holy thing”** — “And the angel answered and said unto her, The Holy Ghost shall come upon thee, and the power of the Highest shall overshadow thee: therefore also that holy thing which shall be born of thee shall be called the Son of God.”
 - **Hebrews 6:1** — **Leaving the principles of Christ (elementary removed)** — “Therefore leaving the principles of the doctrine of Christ, let us go on unto perfection; not laying again the foundation of repentance from dead works, and of faith toward God,”
 - **Revelation 1:6** — **God the Father has a father** — “And hath made us kings and priests unto God and his Father; to him be glory and dominion for ever and ever. Amen.”
 - **John 21:7** — **Peter running around naked** — “Therefore that disciple whom Jesus loved saith unto Peter, It is the Lord. Now when Simon Peter heard that it was the Lord, he girt his fisher’s coat unto him, (for he was naked,) and did cast himself into the sea.”
 - **James 2:3** — **We should respect people with gay clothing** — “And ye have respect to him that weareth the gay clothing, and say unto him, Sit thou here in a good place; and say to the poor, Stand thou there, or sit here under my footstool:”
 - **Exodus 28:40** — **Girdles & Bonnets** — “And for Aaron’s sons thou shalt make coats, and thou shalt make for them girdles, and bonnets shalt thou make for them, for glory and for beauty.”
 - **Revelation 15:6** — **Seven angels with golden girdles** — “And the seven angels came out of the temple, having the

seven plagues, clothed in pure and white linen, and having their breasts girded with golden girdles.”

- **Exodus 28:37 — Blue lace on the mitre** — “And thou shalt put it on a blue lace, that it may be upon the mitre; upon the forefront of the mitre it shall be.”
- **Genesis 3:7 — Fig leaf aprons** — “And the eyes of them both were opened, and they knew that they were naked; and they sewed fig leaves together, and made themselves aprons.”
- **Deuteronomy 22:5 — Warning against cross-dressing** — “The woman shall not wear that which pertaineth unto a man, neither shall a man put on a woman’s garment: for all that do so are abomination unto the LORD thy God.”
- **Proverbs 26:10 — God rewards fools and transgressors** — “The great God that formed all things both rewardeth the fool, and rewardeth transgressors.”
- **Acts 12:4 — Easter celebrated** — “And when he had apprehended him, he put him in prison, and delivered him to four quaternions of soldiers to keep him; intending after Easter to bring him forth to the people.”
- **2 Corinthians 11:4 — False teachers are to be tolerated** — “For if he that cometh preacheth another Jesus, whom we have not preached, or if ye receive another spirit, which ye have not received, or another gospel, which ye have not accepted, ye might well bear with him.”
- **Acts 28:15 — Three Taverns** — “And from thence, when the brethren heard of us, they came to meet us as far as Appii forum, and The three taverns: whom when Paul saw, he thanked God, and took courage.”

Warning against cross dressing

- Deuteronomy 22:5 "The woman shall not wear that which pertaineth unto a man, neither shall a man put on a woman’s garment: for all that do so are abomination unto the LORD thy God.”

Girdles & Bonnets

- Exodus 28:40 “And for Aaron’s sons thou shalt make coats, and thou shalt make for them **girdles, and bonnets** shalt thou make for them, for glory and for beauty.”

-
- Revelation 15:6 "And the seven angels came out of the temple, having the seven plagues, clothed in pure and white linen, and having their breasts girded with **golden girdles**."

Lace

- Exodus 28:37 "And thou shalt put it on a **blue lace**, that it may be upon the mitre; upon the forefront of the mitre it shall be."

Aprons

- Genesis 3:7 "And the eyes of them both were opened, and they knew that they were naked; and they sewed fig leaves together, and made themselves **aprons**."

Linen breeches

- Exodus 28:42 "And thou shalt make them **linen breeches** to cover their nakedness; from the loins even unto the thighs they shall reach."

Skirts

- Deuteronomy 27:20 "Cursed be he that lieth with his father's wife; because he uncovereth his father's **skirt**. And all the people shall say, Amen."

Thongs

- **Acts 22:25** "And as they bound him with **thongs**, Paul said unto the centurion that stood by, Is it lawful for you to scourge a man that is a Roman, and uncondemned?"

Corn in the Bible

- Psalms 65:13 "The pastures are clothed with flocks; the valleys also are covered over with **corn**; they shout for joy, they also sing."

Corn, was native to the Americas and was unknown in the Old World before Columbus' voyages in 1492. It could not have existed in Israel in biblical times. Historical evidence shows that maize spread to Europe, Africa, and the Middle East in the 16th

and 17th centuries, long after the Bible was written. The existence of the word corn in the Bible is evidence of supernatural changes.

Corn is mentioned 102 times in the Bible

- John 12:24 "Verily, verily, I say unto you, Except a **corn** of wheat fall into the ground and die, it abideth alone: but if it die, it bringeth forth much fruit."

Take up your couch? Really?

- Luke 5:24 "But that ye may know that the Son of man hath power upon earth to forgive sins, (he said unto the sick of the palsy,) I say unto thee, Arise, and take up thy **couch**, and go into thine house." (Note Cambridge 1611 says couch so it's not a "modernization")

The word '**Couch**' appears to come from Jay Wellington Couch who invented the couch in the year 1895. It wasn't invented when they published the 1611 KJV

Was Daniel a president?

- Dan 6:2 "And over these three **presidents**; of whom Daniel was first: that the princes might give accounts unto them, and the king should have no damage."

Easter celebrated

- Acts 12:4 "And when he had apprehended him, he put him in prison, and delivered him to four quaternions of soldiers to keep him; intending after **Easter** to bring him forth to the people."

Condoning sin

- Proverbs 26:10 "The great God that formed all things both rewardeth the fool, and rewardeth transgressors."

Theological Paradoxes - Universal

- **2 Kings 6:28–29** — "Give up your son so we may eat him today..."
- **2 Kings 6:28** "And the king said unto her, What aileth thee? And she answered, This woman said unto me, Give

thy son, that we may eat him to day, and we will eat my son to morrow.”

- **2 Kings 6:29** — “So we boiled my son, and did eat him: and I said unto her on the next day, Give thy son, that we may eat him: and she hath hid her son.”
- **Isaiah 8:3** — **Isaiah engaging in fornication with “a prophetess”** — “And I went unto the prophetess; and she conceived, and bare a son. Then said the LORD to me, Call his name Mahershalalhashbaz.”
- **Luke 19:27** — **Jihad Jesus slaying any who refuses to follow Him** — “But those mine enemies, which would not that I should reign over them, bring hither, and slay them before me.”
- **Psalms 137:9** — **Smashing babies against rocks makes you happy** — “Happy shall he be, that taketh and dasheth thy little ones against the stones.”
- **Mark 8:23** — **Jesus spitting in people's faces to heal them** — “And he took the blind man by the hand, and led him out of the town; and when he had spit on his eyes, and put his hands upon him, he asked him if he saw ought.”
- **Leviticus 4:32** — **Sacrificing female sheep** — “And if he bring a lamb for a sin offering, he shall bring it a female without blemish.”
- **2 Corinthians 11:8** — **Paul robbing churches** — “I robbed other churches, taking wages of them, to do you service.”
- **2 Kings 18:27** — **People drinking their own piss and eating their own dung** — “But Rabshakeh said unto them, Hath my master sent me to thy master and to thee to speak these words? hath he not sent me to the men which sit on the wall, that they may eat their own dung, and drink their own piss with you?”
- **Luke 18:15** — **Jesus touching babies** — “And they brought unto him also infants, that he would touch them: but when his disciples saw it, they rebuked them.”
- **Ezekiel 23:20** — **Genitals like donkeys and emissions like horses** — “For she doted upon their paramours, whose flesh is as the flesh of asses, and whose issue is like the issue of horses.”

- **Zechariah 5:9 — Female angels or women with wings** — “Then lifted I up mine eyes, and looked, and, behold, there came out two women, and the wind was in their wings; for they had wings like the wings of a stork: and they lifted up the ephah between the earth and the heaven.”
- **Mark 14:51–52; John 21:7 — Streaking (running naked)**
- **Mark 14:51** “And there followed him a certain young man, having a linen cloth cast about his naked body; and the young men laid hold on him:”
- **Mark 14:52** “And he left the linen cloth, and fled from them naked.”
- **John 21:7** “Therefore that disciple whom Jesus loved saith unto Peter, It is the Lord. Now when Simon Peter heard that it was the Lord, he girt his fisher’s coat unto him, (for he was naked,) and did cast himself into the sea.”
- **Exodus 12:23 — God Himself is killing the firstborn of Egypt** — “For the LORD will pass through to smite the Egyptians; and when he seeth the blood upon the lintel, and on the two side posts, the LORD will pass over the door, and will not suffer the destroyer to come in unto your houses to smite you.”

I agree, we are heretics

Definition of heresy;

- “A belief or opinion contrary to orthodox religious doctrine.” Dictionary.com

A heretic holds an opinion profoundly at odds with what is generally accepted.

We are heretics in that we hold a theological position that is out of the mainstream. But we are not enemies of Scripture or the kingdom of heaven by any stretch of the imagination.

Let us not forget that the people who are now calling us heretics have no doubt given honor to many martyrs from church history in their sermons. The heretics of today are the heroes of church history tomorrow.

We are not espousing extra-biblical revelation or suggesting that we depart from the Scriptures. We are simply reporting on what we see clearly taking place and working together to formulate a response.

We are not seeking to tarnish God's reputation or undermine people's faith. We are not charlatans or deceivers. We are devout, born-again, Spirit-filled followers of the Lord Jesus Christ, and our ranks are swelling exponentially.

We are all with one intractable, implacable voice testifying that we are not misremembering. Most, including myself, do not consider the inability to perceive these changes a salvation issue, but we do acknowledge that this event is dividing the church.

We do not consider ourselves more enlightened, but we find it intolerable to watch pastors stumbling over these passages from the pulpit Sunday after Sunday.

We think, "How is it possible for him to be unaware that what he just said is not what is written in his Bible?"

It's like watching someone stepping over dead bodies in the parking lot of the supermarket on their way to the car. They are so focused on getting to the car that they don't even recognize what they are stepping over.

We are, however, being dismissed as biblically illiterate boobs and accused of every manner of deceit and evil. Being able to properly evaluate the evidence is not a matter of how well you know the Bible; it has everything to do with integrity, because acknowledging this will certainly bring persecution. It is amazing what you can overlook if you don't want to see it badly enough. It is something that is spiritually discerned.

Here is part of a response that I received from a minister with approximately sixty-five thousand followers on YouTube.

Quote from Pastor # 1

- "This conversation does absolutely nothing to build the body of Christ. It's heretical and I won't have anything more to do with it. Please don't write me regarding this. I have spoken on it numerous times and have come to the conclusion that people who believe this are delusional. There is absolutely no way Satan has got the power to change time."

Here is another Pastor that we reached out to on this topic.

Quote from Pastor # 2

- “Your list tells me you have never once even read through the entire King James Bible, and do not know the Scriptures or how to spell any better than the last deceiver I debated on this issue. The list of charismatic, fake tongue-talking, ecumenical, and Hebrew Roots churches you say you have served in tells me that you are very weak in doctrine, if you are a Christian at all.”

The Pharisees were very learned but were blinded by a rigid set of laws of conduct that had very little to do with love, grace, relationship, mercy, and humility. They were ruthless thugs who were narrow-minded, power-hungry, greedy control freaks who had long departed from walking with God.

This book is meant to be a bulwark against the unconvinced church leaders who are consistently launching superficial drive-by attacks on those of us who are reporting on this event.

It seems that many simply watch one Mandela Effect debunked video to confirm what they already believe and then start lashing out with scathing rebukes from whatever pulpit they operate from.

I do not speak for anyone except myself. However, after interacting with thousands of people, taking polls, and conducting interviews, I can say that many in this community share the thoughts that follow.

A brief statement of faith

The original autographs of the Old and New Testaments, prior to being changed by the Mandela Effect, were directly inspired by God and were the revelation of God to man. We believe the original autographs were the infallible, authoritative rules of faith and conduct given to man to be obeyed and followed.

We believe that the commands, revelations, and intent of what was given in the original autographs are impervious to the mechanism that is causing the words on the page to be changed.

Therefore, we conclude that although all of mankind is still required to be subject to the specific commands of the original communications, we are forced to use our memories, consensus,

and residual evidence to parse what the original messages were so that we can continue to obey them.

We are fully persuaded that all versions of the original autographs are being systematically and supernaturally altered with the intent to change the meaning of what was originally given. Scriptures that we hold to be true when talking about the original autographs are 2 Timothy 3:15-17, 1 Thessalonians 2:13, and 2 Peter 1:21.

My awakening

My name is John Kirwin. I was born again in a little Assemblies of God church in Newark, New Jersey, in 1983. Because I played guitar and sang, I ended up in ministry almost immediately. When David Wilkerson opened Times Square Church in New York City, I started attending from the beginning.

I ended up being hired there and served full time for ten years in many capacities.

My primary role was working as the youth pastor and a worship leader of the youth group, but I had many roles. After that I spent another twenty years in lay ministry as a worship leader in various churches. I traveled with Rob Stearns doing worship conferences and with Jason Alvarez doing music ministry in many different churches. This allowed me to be exposed to the inner workings of different ministries and many different church cultures.

I married a beautiful wife and had four children. But after we had been married for about seventeen years, I began discovering the myriads of rabbit holes that many are now discovering. My entry into the rabbit hole was discovering that the Federal Reserve wasn't federal. This caused me to ask the question, "If that's not true, what else isn't true?" And this began the slide into madness, as my wife put it.

I soon concluded, as many have, that the moon landing, the ISS, and everything about NASA were fake. September eleventh was fake. There are chemtrails; there are underground bases; the Earth is flat; and the Mandela Effect is real. I was a full-blown conspiracy theorist kook, nut job, and I became a pariah in my own home.

The cumulative effect of those discoveries and my intent to do something about them brought my beautiful wife to the place where she asked me for a divorce.

I'm telling you this because it could have been different for me. I was essentially given an ultimatum to discontinue my involvement in any type of activism regarding these topics outside the home and was required not to speak about anything negative or controversial in the home.

I agreed not to speak to her or the children, which I did for over two years, but I continued creating videos on the topic of the supernatural Bible changes because I felt that this issue was of such importance that nothing could justify my being silent. If I had walked away from this, I believe I would still be married today. I would have never left my wife. I begged her not to push me away. I didn't choose to leave; I was asked to leave.

The first two videos I posted on YouTube received over three hundred thousand views. Posts from subscribers began pouring in, telling me that their spouses had divorced them and their children weren't speaking to them either.

I realized that there were many people like myself who had conceded that this was happening and were suffering dearly. This need within this community created a burden within me for this little corner of God's kingdom. With so many people experiencing the same thing I was, I began to feel a sense of destiny that had been laid before me.

The apostles had a sense of the unusual destiny that they were privileged to be a part of, and so they walked around with a notepad and pen, recording everything they saw Jesus do and say. Since I am convinced that the Bible is changing, I believe I find myself in one of those rare moments when an unusual destiny visits someone and you must respond. This book is my attempt to record what I have seen regarding the Bible changes and their impact on the church and God's people.

A warning if you decide it's true

I'm telling you about my journey because you need to be warned. If you are going to accept the obvious empirical evidence that this is taking place, it will almost certainly cost you dearly.

If you are a pastor and have any type of oversight from your denomination, you will almost certainly lose your pulpit.

If you have the slightest hint of the rich young ruler in your heart, you will not give yourself permission to accept this. It doesn't take long to figure out the kind of pain storm that this will bring upon every aspect of your life. If you're not willing to go wherever the truth takes you, then you will have eyes and see not.

Integrity demands that you go wherever the truth takes you, no matter what the cost, so get ready to lose everything to accept the evidence. I would encourage you to take a moment and pray this prayer:

“God, if this is true, if my Bible is supernaturally changing, I ask You to show me. And I commit to You that I will follow the truth wherever it takes me, no matter what the cost. In Jesus' name, amen.”

The unveiling of all those conspiracies definitely helped me to be more open to the idea that something like the Mandela Effect could even be possible. When I prayerfully examined the evidence, it was easy for me to discern that it was happening.

I developed a burden for those like me, who were being rejected by the most important people in their lives for simply discovering the truth. I ministered on live streams and created content for this little corner of God's kingdom: the Christian Truther community. But what really changed my perspective was the discovery that most of the body of Christ was unaware that their Bibles were changing. This seemed impossible to me.

I felt a calling to devote my life and ministry to this one topic of providing a biblical analysis of the Mandela Effect. For seven years, I have studied this and talked about it in three-hour messages on YouTube at Wakeuporelse.

The good news is that this event does not diminish God's divine perfections. The Bible is changing, yet God is not a liar. It's complicated, so you'll have to stay with me to find out how that could be.

Chapter 2 – Seven Types of Proof

I hope you don't think that an untold number of devout followers of the Lord Jesus Christ have tectonically decided that our Bibles are supernaturally changing based solely on our memories.

We all questioned our memories just as you have, but our integrity demanded that we look further. As we began searching for ways to support what we suspected, we were relieved to find additional evidence that was very compelling. In this chapter, we will explore the six additional types of proof that we uncovered, that forced us to reluctantly concede that this was, in fact, happening. The seven proofs are:

1. Vivid memories (Admissible in court)
2. Biblical prophecy (Nothing to do with memory)
3. Flip-flops (Nothing to do with memory)
4. Residual evidence (Nothing to do with memory)
5. Statistical probabilities (Nothing to do with memory)
6. Notable figures agree (Nothing to do with memory)
7. Shared experiences (You're having the same memories)

Taken together, these verifiable observations represent an insurmountable argument that, at the very least, confirms that our testimonies are substantive. Can the intelligentsia please throw me a bone and admit that if I am providing this level of comprehensive, detailed research, it is irrational to categorize us as delusional?

The prognosis of delusion is being misapplied and betrays the unconvinced's lack of understanding of what they're even saying. When the unconvinced accuse us of being delusional, they are saying that what we believe is wrong, and we are resistant to facts.

But is it rational to suggest that I am resistant to facts if I have assembled 480 pages of research, and I am inviting the scrutiny of the greatest minds in Christendom to refute my positions?

Am I resistant to facts if after compiling this information, that I then conduct regular live streams where I invite anyone with an opposing view to call in and make their case in opposition to my positions?

I would love to be wrong, and remain open to any insights that anyone can provide that would show me the deception that I may be operating under. This behavior is clearly not characteristic of someone who is delusional. What the unconvinced are actually suggesting is that we are psychotic, which means we are seeing things that are not there. This is an entirely different accusation, and the unconvinced need to get their story straight if they want to appear rational.

I don't think anyone can categorize me as someone who is just seeing things or making things up either. Our community is posing direct questions, and we demand direct answers, or your words fall to the ground.

1 Testimonial evidence

The most compelling and primary evidence that the Bible is supernaturally changing is the testimony of an untold number of devout believers.

The remaining six pieces of evidence serve only to support our statements. Later in the chapter, I will go into great depth on testimonial evidence. Make no mistake about it, testimonial evidence is evidence. For now, let me discuss the other examples that act as corroborating evidence.

#2 Biblical Prophecy

Most Christians who were confronted with the possibility that the Bible was supernaturally changing had the same reaction. They said, "God, if this is really happening, I'm going to need you to show it to me in the Scriptures."

And much to our surprise, we found that God foretold this event with stunning accuracy. You wouldn't know it unless you were looking for it, but what we found when we went looking was quite compelling.

If you were trying to find a prophecy about the Bible being changed, I don't think you could find one that more specifically references that than the following passage:

Revelation 22:18:

- Revelation 22:18 “For I testify unto every man that heareth the words of the prophecy of this book: If any man shall add unto these things, God shall add unto him the plagues that are written in this book: And if any man shall take away from the words of the book of this prophecy, God shall take away his part out of the book of life, and out of the holy city, and from the things which are written in this book.”

It doesn't indicate whether the change would be supernatural or not. It's just a warning not to add to or take away from the prophecy. And because it doesn't strictly forbid the supernatural interpretation, it cannot be discounted.

I've read the commentaries, the context, and the original meaning of the words. There's no way anyone can say with absolute certainty that this could not be a warning about the supernatural Bible changes; especially when you notice the judgments associated with this warning.

The punishments listed here are as severe as they could be: loss of salvation and all the plagues in the book. This seems to indicate that this warning does not target Bible publishing houses that are producing different versions of the Bible but would be for those involved in something much more nefarious, such as utilizing fallen angel technology to induce the Mandela Effect and alter the Bible.

And what is meant by the term, “the prophecy”? I believe I will be able to show convincingly that Revelation 22 is referring to the Daniel 12 prophecy, which in turn is referring to the Daniel 7:25 prophecy.

Revelation 22 isn't talking about the “Word of God” or “the scriptures”; it's talking about removing the seal from the Daniel 7:25 prophecy that was sealed in Daniel 12. I will show that what God revealed to Daniel in the seventh chapter of Daniel is the supernatural Bible changes that would come in the last days.

Let's first look at the context of Revelation 22. To do this, we need to go all the way back to Revelation chapter 21. Chapter 21 seems to be clearly talking about the time after the Great White Throne judgment because it's a time that is described as having no sea and the New Jerusalem has come down.

This is clearly a period after the Millennial reign and after Satan's little season when he's released again to deceive the nations.

And it seems that this time frame covered in chapter 21 spills over into the first five verses of chapter 22.

He's still describing that vision up to verse 5. But then it seems clear that God lets John know, "Okay, I'm going to switch gears on you now, and I'm going to talk about events that are going to take place before the White Throne judgment." We pick up the narrative in verse 6.

- Verse 6: "Then the angel said to me, "These words are faithful and true. The Lord, the God of the spirits of the prophets, has sent His angel to show His servants what must soon take place."
- Verse 7: "Behold, I am coming soon. Blessed is the one who keeps the words of prophecy in this book."
- Verse 8: "And I, John, who heard and saw these things. And when I had heard and seen them, I fell down to worship at the feet of the angel who had shown me these things."
- Verse 9: "But he said to me, "Do not do that! I am a fellow servant with you and your brothers, the prophets, and with those who keep the words of this book. Worship God!"
- Verse 10: "Then he told me, "Do not seal up the words of prophecy in this book, because the time is near. Let the unrighteous continue to be unrighteous, and the vile continue to be vile; let the righteous continue to practice righteousness, and the holy continue to be holy."

So, let's recap:

- Revelation 22:1–5 is a vision of paradise. But in verse 6, he changes to a warning of what is coming soon. He is told that he will be shown "things which must shortly be done."
- Verse 7: What is it that was shown to John? He was told in verse 6 that whatever it was, it was going to be soon.
- Then in verse 7, the angel tells him again that Jesus is coming and it would be a good idea to keep the sayings

of the prophecy. The end of all things has not happened yet, so Revelation 22 can apply to the days that we live in right now.

We're told in verse 7 that whoever "keeps" the sayings of the prophecy will be blessed. In light of the Mandela Effect, I believe there is a dual meaning here.

The first meaning would be obedience, but if the angel is revealing an end-times event that includes the Bible being supernaturally changed, the "whoever keeps" statement could mean "whoever has memorized" the Scriptures, because that's how they are going to be preserved.

The word translated "keep" is Strong's G5083: "to guard from loss or injury, properly by keeping the eye upon." It's not a stretch to interpret that as memorizing, because if the Bible is going to come under an Amos 8-type judgment, people who have the word stored or kept in their hearts are going to be at a great advantage.

- Verse 8: We are told that John the Revelator falls down to worship the angel because of what the angel shows him. We are not told what the angel showed him that caused him to fall down and worship, but perhaps what was shown to him is revealed in the next verse when we read these words:
- Verse 10 "And he saith unto me, Seal not the sayings of the prophecy of this book: for the time is at hand." Maybe he fell and worshiped the angel because he was shown that the great prophecy of Daniel 7:25 would be unsealed and what that would mean.

Daniel 12:4:

- Daniel 12:4 "But thou, O Daniel, shut up the words and seal the book, even to the time of the end: many shall run to and fro, and knowledge shall be increased."

John realized that if the angel declared that it was time for the seal to come off, then the changing of times and laws, as described in Daniel 7:25, could commence.

When John realized God would lift the hedge around His decrees and allow the beast to change times and laws, he said, “I heard and saw these things.”

I believe the scriptures are conveying how impactful this was by saying, “he heard and saw.” He was blown away!

He understood the ramifications of this judgment and the time of testing that it would bring upon the church. His strength left him, and he grabbed onto what was closest to him. But the angel said, “Don’t worship me; worship God.” That’s why many believe that God is allowing this. God will purify our worship of Him, and we are going to need to cling to Him.

Of course, if this is what’s actually playing out in this passage, it would give a whole new meaning to the next verse, which says:

- Verse 11: “He that is unjust, let him be unjust still: and he which is filthy, let him be filthy still: and he that is righteous, let him be righteous still: and he that is holy, let him be holy still.”

Why would the unsealing of the prophecy cause men to be fixed in their spiritual condition if it was not that the scriptures would be taken from them?

There seems to be a direct correlation between the fact that the seal is being lifted and that man would find themselves fixed in whatever state they were in. This would certainly be the case if the removal of the seal meant the Bible would be changed. And remember, all of this comes right before the warning not to change the Bible. Let me say that again.

The decree of judgment for anyone who tries to change the Bible in Revelation 22:18 is the culmination of all of this that I am unpacking now.

It seems to me that it’s all connected, especially when that directive is then followed by the statement, “for the time is at hand.” There are many similarities to indicate that these two Bible characters were shown the same event. Daniel 12:4 and 9 says “seal” while Revelation 22:10 says “Seal not.” This seems like bookends for the same vision. The commentaries sure think so:

Ellicott's Commentary for English Readers states:

The angel in contrast to the injunctions given to Daniel (Daniel 12:9-13) bids the prophet; "Seal not the words of the prophecy'": the reason is added "for the time is near."

Such is ever the difference between the prophecy of the old and the prophecy of the new dispensation."

The similarities between Daniel and John's visions are unmistakable. Daniel was told to seal up the prophecy, and John was told to unseal the prophecy. Both prophecies describe a time where there will be a continuance of people acting wickedly as we see in these next two passages.

- Daniel 12:10 says, "Many shall be purified, made white, and refined; but the wicked shall do wickedly: and none of the wicked shall understand; but the wise shall understand."
- Revelation 22:11 says, "Let the unrighteous continue to be unrighteous, and the vile continue to be vile; let the righteous continue to practice righteousness, and the holy continue to be holy."

Both Daniel and John saw the events of this vision unfolding over a three-and-a-half-year period. Daniel predicted a monarch would emerge from the kingdom, the fourth beast, and "persecute the saints of the Most High." Daniel 7:25 states that the saints would "be given into his hand for a time, times, and half a time" or three-and-a-half years.

John seems to be giving an account of the same event in Revelation 13:4-7 when he states, "and power was given unto him to continue forty and two months," or three-and-a-half years. The parallels are unmistakable. Undoubtedly, both Daniel and John were given glimpses of the same future event.

This dramatically increases the chances of my interpretation being accurate. If I'm correct, the Bible being covertly and supernaturally changed would certainly be significant enough to qualify as the object of the Daniel 7:25 prophecy.

The term "seal" has some interesting connotations that may help shed more light on what this passage is telling us. If you look at the original meaning of the word, which is Strong's #G4972, it describes a stamp that provides security or preservation.

The outline of biblical usage which draws on a variety of other sources includes this insight:

Outline of biblical usage

“To set a seal upon, mark with a seal, to seal **for security: from Satan**”

Another indication that this passage directly refers to the supernatural Bible changes is that one of the broader meanings of the underlying word translated “seal” is that it specifically protects from Satan: “to set a seal upon, mark with a seal, to seal for security: from Satan.”

If the seal comes off the Daniel 7:25 prophecy, then it is game on for Satan to begin doing what is described in Daniel 7:25, which is changing “times” and “laws.” Is it not fair to then say that if you modify that seal (that mark that protects from Satan) with the word, “not,” then it seems to indicate that it is time to stop protecting the prophecy from Satan?

If this statement refers to the Daniel 7:25 prophecy, then this passage would be saying it is time for the prophecy of Daniel 7:25 to be fulfilled, which means it’s time for the Bible to be changed. Is Daniel literally saying, “do not protect the Bible from Satan?”

The understanding is what is sealed up

It’s clear that when Daniel 12 talks about sealing up the words; it is talking about the ability to understand what the Daniel 7:25 prophecy is predicting.

Daniel 12:

- Verse 8: “I heard, but I did not understand. So I asked, My lord, what will be the outcome of these things?”
Verse 9: “Go on your way, Daniel,” he replied, “for the words are closed up and sealed until the time of the end.”

Outside of a vision, it would have been impossible for Bible scholars to discern what these passages were referring to prior to the event asserting itself. The only way the prophecy could be, “unsealed”, or understood was once the Daniel 7:25 prophecy had commenced.

The understanding of the prophecy became possible only after the Bible started changing. It was only then that we could go in and reverse-engineer these prophecies.

- Daniel 12:4: “But thou, O Daniel, shut up the words, and seal the book, even to the time of the end: many shall run to and fro, and knowledge shall be increased.”
- Verse 9: “And he said, Go your way, Daniel, for the words are closed up and sealed till the time of the end.”

The time of the end is not the end of the age after everything is wrapped up; it is right now dear soul. Now that we have tied Revelation 22 to Daniel 12, can we tie Daniel 12 to the Daniel 7:25 prophecy?

After much studying and seeking, it seems there is no way to be dogmatic about it. Daniel 7:25 is not specifically mentioned in Daniel 12:4 or 12:9, but the connections between these passages, both thematically and contextually, indicate that the “sealed words” mentioned in chapter 12 probably include all the prophecies in Daniel 7:25 and the rest of the book as well.

Are there any indications in Daniel 12 that it’s talking about the Mandela Effect and the supernatural Bible changes?

In Daniel 12:7, we see the result of something the enemy does that will cause it to “scatter the power of the holy people.” The Bible changes are certainly having that effect. Daniel 12:10 also seems to indicate that because the word will be preserved and protected from Satan, you will have the following result:

- Daniel 12:10: “Many shall be purified, and made white, and tried; but the wicked shall do wickedly: and none of the wicked shall understand; but the wise shall understand.”

But notice you have the opposite result in Revelation 22:10 where it is said that the Bible will not be protected.

- Verse 11: “He that is unjust, let him be unjust still: and he which is filthy, let him be filthy still: and he that is righteous, let him be righteous still: and he that is holy, let him be holy still.”

The sealing results in a prediction that many will be purified and made white, while the unsealing results in fixing man in whatever condition he is in at the time.

Daniel 7:25

So what is this prophecy that both Revelation 22 and Daniel 12 are pointing to? It is Daniel 7:25.

- Daniel 7:25: “And he shall speak great words against the most High, and shall wear out the saints of the most High, and think to change times and laws: and they shall be given into his hand until a time and times and the dividing of time.”

The commentaries all provide a fairly naturalistic explanation of Daniel 7:25. They render this “to alter the forms and constitutions of kingdoms and the customs and usages of them; yea, to set up and pull down kings at pleasure; or to change the use of times and seasons, by setting apart days as holy for canonized saints; and appointing such days in a week and such a season in the year for abstinence from meats; and even to change the laws of God and man by dispensing with both and making new ones of his own.”

They are definitely not suggesting that this is a mind-bending prediction that in the last days Satan would be able and permitted to alter the space-time continuum and the Bible.

But if you take Daniel 2:21 into consideration, you have Daniel using a similar phrase that refers to God’s awesome ability to create and control the natural elements. It states:

Daniel 2:

- Verse 20: “Blessed be the name of God forever and ever, for wisdom and power belong to Him.”
- Verse 21: “He changes the times and seasons; He removes kings and establishes them.”

The Beast is trying to act like God

The Beast in Daniel 7:25 is trying to be like the God that Daniel was talking about in Daniel 2.

- Daniel 2:21: God - “He changes the times and seasons.”

- Daniel 7:25: Devil - “And think to change times and laws.”

See any similarities? Let’s not forget that Satan longs to be like God.

- Isaiah 14:13: “For you have said in your heart: I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of God; I will also sit on the mount of the congregation, on the farthest sides of the north; I will ascend above the heights of the clouds. I will be like the Most High.”
- Genesis 1:1: God created time. “In the beginning, God created the heavens and the earth.”

If Satan seeks to be like God, attempting to manipulate time could be part of his ambition.

- Ecclesiastes 3:1: God appointed times. “To everything there is a season, a time for every purpose under heaven.” If Satan seeks to be like God, he would certainly attempt to disrupt the divine order of appointed times and purposes established by God.
- Revelation 12:12: Satan is running out of time. “Woe to the inhabitants of the earth and of the sea! for the Devil is come down unto you, having great wrath, because he knoweth that he hath but a short time.”

Note: Many people in the Truther community testify that time seems to be speeding up. Some are doing tests by timing hourglasses, and the results they get are changing significantly over the course of a month. Time seems to be speeding up.

God is changing times and seasons (Daniel 2), and Satan is changing times and laws (Daniel 7:25). God is removing and setting up kings, (Dan 2:21) and Satan is wearing down and thereby removing the saints who are kings. (Dan 7:25) God giveth wisdom, (Dan 2:20) and Satan tries to show that he can do the same by speaking great swelling words. (Dan 7:25)

It’s not unreasonable to suggest that Daniel is drawing from this word picture in Daniel 2 to try to explain the mad scientist-type activity that the enemy would seek to engage in. Is he saying in Daniel 7 that the enemy will seek to be like God and try to change nature itself?

Is it possible to show a more supernatural interpretation than what the commentaries are showing? I think it is.

In order to establish a more exotic interpretation for this passage, I will need to first be able to define the words “times” and “laws” as meaning “space-time” and “the Bible,” not calendars and natural laws. If that becomes a valid interpretation, then Daniel 7:25 becomes a very clear prediction of the supernatural Bible changes in the end days.

Let’s begin with the context:

Daniel has a dream, and we all agree that this dream is truly inspired. It’s a message from God to all of humanity that includes a prophecy of the end days.

- Daniel 7:1: “Daniel had a dream, and visions passed through his mind as he lay on his bed. He wrote down the dream, and this is the summary of his account.”
- Verses 2-7: A complicated and mystical vision of the four beasts
- Verses 9-10: A vision of God on a throne convening a court that is somehow presiding over this four beast vision
- Verse 11: Describes the beasts in the vision being defeated but are granted an extension of life for a season
- Verses 13-14: Daniel is given a vision of Jesus and His reign Verses 15-18: Daniel is able to interact with someone while he is in the vision and asks him for the interpretation of the beasts’ vision
- **Verses 19-20: He is asking for more understanding**
- Verse 21: He is shown how “this horn was waging war against the saints and prevailing against them until the Ancient of Days arrived.”
- Verses 23-24: Leading up to our prophecy, Daniel was told by the unnamed person in the vision that the fourth beast is a 4th kingdom that will devour the whole earth and crush it. And the ten horns are ten kings that will rise from this kingdom.

The first question is, do we have any political structures or geopolitical alliances that exist right now that could show the fulfillment of the ten kings? I think the answer is yes.

Here are a few alliances and organizational structures that fit the bill for being the “ten kings” mentioned in Daniel 7:24:

- **G10:** The G7, an intergovernmental organization comprising Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, the United Kingdom, and the United States, sometimes expands to include other countries for specific meetings, creating a G10 or G11 structure.
- **European Union:** The EU consists of twenty-seven member states, but certain influential members, often referred to as the “EU Big Four” (Germany, France, Italy, and Spain), along with other key countries, form a powerful core that could end up consisting of ten countries.
- **Other possibilities** include the Gulf Cooperation Council, ASEAN Plus mechanisms, and the BRICS+.

It’s at this point in the vision that Daniel is shown something that the fourth beast will attempt to do.

Verse 25: “He will seek to change times and laws.” The word translated *time* in Daniel 7:25 is the word: *zeman*, noun masculine “*time*” (probably loan-word from Old Persian *zrvan zarvâna*, *time, age*). The KJV translates Strong’s H2166 in the following manner: *time* (nine times) *season* (two times).

Although there are other words in the original Hebrew that could have been used here to communicate something more akin to calendars, as most commentaries insinuate, the Holy Spirit inspired Daniel to use the word *zeman*, which is “*time*.”

It’s translated “*time*” nine times and “*seasons*” only two times.

The word that Daniel used here does not denote a *calendar*; it’s the word *time*.

Though the term “calendar” is not used in the Bible specifically, there are allusions to timekeeping and the planning of religious observances. Here are a few instances:

Example of calendars:

- Leviticus 23:2: “These are my appointed festivals.” The Hebrew word “*Moed*” is translated festivals. *Moed* typically means “appointed time,” “festival,” or “season.”
- Strong’s concordance tells us that this word is from “H3259; properly, an appointment, i.e. a fixed time or season; specifically, a festival; conventionally a year; by implication, an assembly (as convened for a definite purpose); technically the congregation.”

The word "moed" is frequently translated as “congregation, appointed times or "festivals." It refers to certain periods of time designated for religious observance, such as the feasts listed in Leviticus 23.

Other examples of this include:

- Genesis 1:14 "And God said, Let there be lights in the firmament of the heaven to divide the day from the night; and let them be for signs, and for seasons, and for days, and years."
- Exodus 12:2 "This month shall be unto you the beginning of months: it shall be the first month of the year to you."
- 1 Chronicles 27:1 "Now the children of Israel after their number, to wit, the chief fathers and captains of thousands and hundreds, and their officers that served the king in any matter of the courses, which came in and went out month by month throughout all the months of the year, of every course were twenty and four thousand."
- Esther 3:7 "In the first month, that is, the month Nisan, in the twelfth year of King Ahasuerus, they cast Pur, that is, the lot, before Haman from day to day, and from month to month, to the twelfth month, that is, the month Adar."

However, *zeman* denotes a broader range of time-related concepts. “*Zeman*” refers to periods or epochs that the beast will attempt to alter. This can imply significant periods in the prophetic timeline, emphasizing control and manipulation over time itself.

They are putting this kind of thing in front of you in all the movies so you will automatically dismiss it as science fiction.

They hide the real thing in plain sight, and that is why your sarcastic inability to consider a more exotic interpretation of Daneil 7:25 is based in part on the fact that you are a mind control victim.

At the very least, I think it's fair to say that it is certainly possible to suggest that this is what Daniel was shown, especially when you consider that this prophecy could have double fulfillment or be a dual prophecy. The supernatural interpretation could happen in tandem with the more naturalistic one.

It would appear that the Bible predicted that the Devil would try to change time, not calendars. That's what seems to be happening with the Mandela Effect. The time stream itself is changing. He has somehow figured out how to edit new timelines into the existing timeline. This would be crazy talk if it wasn't actually happening, which it is.

Besides, why would changing the calendar wear out the saints or allow them to be prevailed against? Or why don't you believe this could be happening if you already believe things like the sundial going backward ten minutes (Isaiah 38:8, 2 Kings 20:11), Joshua telling the sun to stand still (Joshua 10:12), the bottomless pit (Revelation 9:1), and Satan causing fire to come down from heaven (Job 1:16)?

Remember, the ability to understand what Daniel 7:25 was really saying has been sealed up until now.

Our ability to consider such a thing would have been impossible until it was happening. And now you find yourself right in the middle of it.

I think it's clear that I am attempting to rightly divide the word, and my interpretation is plausible. I don't want you to think that I am like some atheists that I have encountered. These are people who hate God and want to bring dishonor to His good name. That is not my agenda.

And what about the word translated "Laws"? The word translated "Laws" in Daniel 7:25 is the word: *dâth* - noun feminine – translated "decree," "law."

The KJV translates Strong's H1882 in the following manner: law (11x), decree (3x).

My first observation is that the same word that is translated “laws” in Daniel 7:25 is translated “the law of God” in Ezra 7:12, 14, and 21. They are both Strong’s H1882 – which is the Greek word *dāt*.

- Ezra 7:14: “Forasmuch as thou art sent of the king, and of his seven counsellors, (KJV Spelling error) to inquire concerning Judah and Jerusalem, according to the **law of thy God** which is in thine hand;”

Imagine if the translators rendered Daniel 7:25 like they did in Ezra 7:14 (Hypothetical version) “...and think to change time and the law of God.” It would literally say that the beast was going to seek to change space-time and the Bible.

The translators use context to determine how they will translate a word into English, and since the context of Daniel 7:25 was “sealed up” to the translators until recently, they would have had no reason to interpret it into English as “the law of God.” It’s the same word in Ezra 7 as it is in Daniel 7, so it is possible. Not that the term “law of God” necessarily means the scriptures, but it would certainly be more compelling if Daniel 7:25 was translated that way.

Another possible supernatural interpretation for this word “laws” in this passage could be “the laws of nature.” We see the laws of nature concept depicted in:

- Romans 1:20: “For since the creation of the world God’s invisible qualities—his eternal power and divine nature—have been clearly seen, being understood from what has been made, so that people are without excuse.”

The idea that the Beast would seek to change the laws of nature would certainly give you a supernatural interpretation and not what you get from the commentaries.

Still, another way to interpret this passage as referring to the supernatural Bible changes is to view it as you do other similar passages in the same book. Here are examples in Daniel where he is using metaphors to describe something in the future:

- Daniel 4:10-12: A large tree symbolizes Nebuchadnezzar’s kingdom.
- Daniel 7:2-3: Four beasts represent kings or kingdoms.

- Daniel 7:17: The four beasts are four kings arising from the earth.
- Daniel 8:5: A male goat represents the kingdom of Greece. Daniel 8:20-21: The ram and the goat symbolize the kings of Media, Persia, and Greece.
- Daniel 11:36: A king exalts himself above all gods, implying a supernatural or blasphemous action.
- Daniel 2:31-33: Here, the statue composed of different materials represents various empires, a natural description for a supernatural vision.
- Dan 7:7 “In my vision, I saw a fourth beast appear, and it was terrifying - dreadful and extremely strong, with large iron teeth. It devoured and crushed; then it trampled underfoot whatever was left.”

And so, natural-sounding terminology like “changing times and laws” could be similar to how he phrased things, as I just shared with you. He used natural wording to explain supernatural things that were to come. So “times and laws” could mean the “space-time continuum and the Bible.”

And let’s not forget the observation that the beast will “speak great swelling words.” It is possible that Daniel is referring to the blasphemous changes that now appear in the text.

Based on all of that, it’s not heresy or some crazy interpretation to suggest this rendering of this passage. I’m a Bible believer and a supernaturalist, and I don’t apologize for believing this book is revealing things that are a lot more mind-bending than others might think. I’m sure it was difficult for Daniel to put into words what he was being shown in this vision. We know the ten horns were ten kings or ten countries. If he had been shown the advanced technology of today, he probably would have described it like this:

- Dan 7:7 “In my vision, I saw a fourth beast appear, and it was terrifying - dreadful and extremely strong, with large iron teeth. It devoured and crushed; then it trampled underfoot whatever was left.”

If Daneil was shown the supernatural Bible changes, it would be consistent for him to convey that in simple terminology like “*time and laws.*”

But as we searched, we found that Daniel wasn't the only one talking about God's word being used as judgment on His people.

Amos 8:11

Another important passage that needs to be considered as a proof text for this topic is Amos 8:11.

- Amos 8:11: "Behold, the days come, saith the Lord God, that I will send a famine in the land, not a famine of bread, nor a thirst for water, but of hearing the words of the LORD: And they shall wander from sea to sea, and from the north even to the east, they shall run to and fro to seek the word of the LORD, and shall not find it."

As I mentioned earlier in the book, it doesn't matter if this prophecy has already been fulfilled because it still establishes the precedent that God, as a judgment, is willing to remove His word from His people. If He did it before, He could do it again.

However, I believe there is a case to be made that this is an end-times prophecy that is being fulfilled right now.

Is there any indication that this passage speaks about the last days? In Amos 8:9, we see that God marked this event with a celestial time stamp, so that we would be able to discern when this famine was taking place.

- Verse 9: "And it shall come to pass in that day, saith the Lord God, that I will cause the sun to go down at noon, and I will darken the earth in the clear day."

What day will the famine come to pass? The day that the sun goes down at noon. When I saw this, I began to ask myself, "Are there any other prophecies that mention this type of event that could confirm that the Amos 8:11 prophecy could be describing the Mandela Effect Bible changes that are taking place right now?"

It turns out that there is in fact another prophecy that describes the sun going down at noon. And that one is definitely a future prophecy. And that would be Revelation 8:12. And since we know that the prophecy in Revelation is a future prophecy, I think it's reasonable to suggest that if both Amos and Daniel were shown the same prophecy, that the Amos prophecy would then by default also be a future prophecy.

Compare Amos 8:9 to;

- Revelation 8:12: “And the fourth angel sounded, and the third part of the sun was smitten, and the third part of the moon, and the third part of the stars; so as the third part of them was darkened, and the day shone not for a third part of it, and the night likewise.”
- Amos 8:9 “And it shall come to pass in that day, saith the Lord God, that I will cause the sun to go down at noon, and I will darken the earth in the clear day.”

A twelve-hour day would be from 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. A third part of the day could be ‘noon’ or the four hours from 11:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. Amos 8:9 says that the sun will go down at noon, and Revelation 8:12 says the day shone not for a third part. It appears that these two prophets could be talking about the same event.

It is a fair interpretation that this prediction of this sign in the sky ties the Amos 8:9 prophecy to a prophecy that is definitely an end-times prophecy.

The connection to Revelation 8:12 seems compelling, but is there any additional evidence to support that Amos 8:11 is an end-times prophecy? Did anything like the sun going down at noonday ever happen in modernity to suggest that this celestial starting pistol had gone off?

According to several articles that appeared in www.watchers.news and www.iflscience.com, the answer is yes. There have been several events like this, but the web has been scrubbed of their existence. What I could still find, were a few articles about an event that took place on July 20th, 2018.

According to the articles¹ themselves, and confirmed by looking at <https://earth.nullschool.net>, there was no natural explanation for this event. This weather website allows you to view atmospheric conditions by date and location, and it indicated that on July 20, 2018, in the area of this event, there were no:

¹ <https://watchers.news/2018/07/24/mystery-surrounds-arctic-siberia-as-day-turns-to-complete-darkness-for-3-hours-russia/>

-
- Clouds from weather
 - Clouds from fires
 - Clouds from dust
 - Clouds from volcanic activity

The source,² which was www.siberiantimes.com, is no longer operating to verify the story.

At this time of year, this region would experience twenty-four-hour light. The event was reported in the remote Eveno-Bytantaisky, Zhigansky, and Verkhozansky districts in Siberia, Russia. On Friday, July 20, 2018, they saw their day turn into “complete darkness” for almost three hours. Eyewitnesses say it happened from about eleven thirty a.m. to two p.m. If this happened, it is a perfect fulfillment of both the Amos and Daniel prophecies.

It's interesting to note that this date of July 2018 when the sun went dark, is almost exactly two years later from the date that CERN turned up their collider to full power for the first time. July of 2016 is also when searches for the term Mandela Effect when from almost zero to off the charts.

Another indication that Amos and Daniel were shown the same event is the similar language that you see in both prophecies.

- Daniel 12:4: “But you, Daniel, shut up these words and seal the book until the time of the end. Many will roam to and fro, and knowledge will increase.”
- Amos 8:12: “And they shall wander from sea to sea, and from the north even to the east, they shall run to and fro to seek the word of the LORD, and shall not find it.”

In both prophecies, you have people roaming and wandering, and both include people going “to and fro.”

² <https://www.iflscience.com/locals-baffled-as-the-sun-disappears-for-three-hours-in-siberia-48936>

Is there any other indication that Amos was a prophecy that foretold the supernatural Bible changes? I would say yes.

I don't know if Amos had any idea what he was being shown, but the last thing he said in this prophecy was:

- Amos 8:14: “They that swear by the sin of Samaria, and say, Thy god, O Dan, liveth; and, The manner of Beersheba liveth; even they shall fall and never rise up again.”

I believe the phrase “They followed the way of Beersheba” is an exact description of the Biblical idolatry that has moved God to bring this judgment on His church.

People travelled great distances on lengthy and taxing pilgrimages to Beersheba. They eventually started to think that just by going there, they would automatically become righteous. Some commentaries suggest that the people viewed their sacrifice as something that ingratiated them to God despite their wayward hearts. This, I believe, is a perfect description of the modern church's misplaced emphasis on acquiring knowledge of the scriptures rather than knowledge of Him.

2 Thessalonians 2:9

Another common misconception about why the Bible couldn't possibly be subject to an attack like the Mandela Effect, is the idea that “the Devil doesn't have that kind of power.” But is there any indication from prophecies that God may have allowed this?

- 2 Thessalonians 2:3: “Let no man deceive you by any means: for that day shall not come, except there come a falling away first, and that man of sin be revealed, the son of perdition;”
- Verse 9: “Even him, whose coming is after the working of Satan with **all power** and signs and lying wonders.”

Okay, hold on now! The only one who ever had “all power” in my theology was God himself. But in this passage, the Holy Spirit inspires Paul to use the term “all power” in relation to the Beast. It seems the Holy Spirit intended to emphasize to us, through exaggeration, the enormity of the deceptive abilities the Devil would be capable of in the final days.

This statement and the entire chapter certainly give us a sound theological framework to suggest that something like this is happening. It's also helpful to note that the Devil didn't have any power to ride roughshod over Job's life, until God gave him permission to do so.

- Job 1:12: "The LORD said to Satan, Very well then, everything he has is in your power, but on the man himself do not lay a finger."

Then He did it again:

- Job 2:6: "Very well," said the LORD to Satan. "He is in your hands, but you must spare his life."

Two hedges lifted on a righteous man means God could also lift the hedge on the Bible being preserved.

Another chapter where the Devil is not only granted permission but the power to carry out something like the supernatural Bible changes is Revelation 13:

- Revelation 13:2 "...and the dragon **gave him his power**, and his seat, and great authority."
- Revelation 13:4 "And they worshipped the dragon which **gave power unto the beast**: and they worshipped the beast, saying, Who is like unto the beast? **who is able to make war with him?**"
- Revelation 13:5 "And there **was given unto him** a mouth speaking great things and blasphemies; and **power was given unto him** to continue forty and two months."
- Revelation 13:7 "And it was **given unto him** (permission) to make war with the saints, and to overcome them: **and power was given him** (power) over all kindreds, and tongues, and nations."

He was given both the power and the permission. The idea that the Devil doesn't have enough power to change the Bible is unbiblical.

What we see in Revelation 13:8 is the culmination of all this power and authority being given to the Beast. We see the majority or all people everywhere forsaking the worship of the only true and living God and beginning to worship Satan's counterfeit.

- Revelation 13:8 "And all that dwell upon the earth shall worship him, whose names are not written in the book of life of the Lamb slain from the foundation of the world."

If this passage is going to be fulfilled, it would seem that the Bible would need to be changed to get even Christians to worship the beast. Otherwise, how are you going to get the entire world to worship him?

The Devil would have to start inserting things in there like cannibalism, people drinking their own piss and eating their own dung, killing babies by smashing them against rocks, six mentions of people peeing against the wall, nine mentions of unicorns, men breastfeeding, people sucking the breasts of kings, two men in a bed, sacrificing turtles and female sheep, and Jesus slitting people's throats that don't obey him. These are all things that are already in there. Imagine what it will be like if we get to stick around much longer.

Revelation 13

Another indication that Satan does have enough power is the observation made regarding the Beast in the last days. Whoever said this was convinced that there was no way to compete with what this being was capable of.

- Revelation 13:4: "...Who can make war with him?"

This is what you might say about someone who had the ability to change history going back to the beginning of time?

We find another interesting insight regarding the capabilities of the underworld in:

- Revelation 12:7: "And there was war in heaven: Michael and his angels fought against the dragon; and the dragon fought and his angels."

It seems from this passage that the fallen angels aren't just a bunch of defanged pushovers. I understand the authority of the believer. If a believer faces down a demon, it isn't even a contest. We win every time. But that doesn't mean there isn't a struggle.

- Daniel 10:12: "Then he said to me, Do not fear, Daniel, for from the first day that you set your heart to understand and to humble yourself before your God, your words were heard; and I have come because of your

words. But the prince of the kingdom of Persia withstood me twenty-one days; and behold, Michael, one of the chief princes, came to help me, for I had been left alone there with the kings of Persia.”

It sounds like the fallen angels were able to put up a fight that lasted for quite a while. The angel that was involved even admitted that he had to call for reinforcements to get the job done.

If you think this couldn't happen because the Devil doesn't have enough power, you'd be correct. But if God gives him permission, then he most certainly does have enough power, and you underestimate him at your own peril.

- Revelation 13:13: “And he doeth great wonders, so that he maketh fire come down from heaven on the earth in the sight of men.”

It's clear that bringing fire down from heaven is not his only trick. It says, “great wonders” (plural). Maybe one of the other “wonders” is the Mandela Effect.

Many believe that CERN and the D-Wave quantum computers are part of what is being used to create the Mandela Effect. This means that artificial intelligence may be involved in making the Mandela Effect happen. So, is there any indication that the Bible is warning us about this kind of thing? Yes, I believe there is.

- Genesis 11:6: The Lord said, “If as one people speaking the same language, they have begun to do this, then nothing they plan to do will be impossible for them.”

This wording in Genesis 11 sounds very similar to the idea of the singularity, when artificial intelligence becomes self-aware. Has humanity faced the specter of an AI apocalypse before?

Our history is nothing like what we have been told. Perhaps the tower of Babel incursion by God was necessary because the growth of AI had become uncontrollable and irreversible, resulting in unforeseeable changes to human civilization. Why else would having one mind give mortal men so much power that God would say that nothing would be impossible to them?

This idea of speaking with one mind also sounds very similar to what we are told in Revelation 17:13:

- Revelation 17:13: “These have one mind, and shall give their power and strength unto the beast.”

This sounds like a society that has been assimilated by the Borg. A society that has turned their lives and wills over to an artificially intelligent master brain.

You can see how close we are to that right now with our ever-increasing reliance on AI and Elon’s Neuralink.

You have been lied to about history on such a grand scale that you can’t accept the idea that the primitives back in Genesis 11 could have quantum computers.

They were probably more advanced in Genesis 11 than we are now, but the overlords implemented a great reset of society after the millennial reign, where they wiped out much of the grand technology of the old world.

Think about it: what could a bunch of primitives in a big tower possibly do that would prompt God to initiate the biggest intervention in history next to the flood and to say, “If we don’t stop them, they will be able to do anything!” Anything as in the ability to fiddle with time and matter at the quantum level and perform alchemy on a global scale?

- Revelation 12:9: “And the great dragon was cast out, that old serpent, called the Devil, and Satan, which deceiveth the whole world.”

How is he going to be able to do that if the Bible is still in its present condition?

Revelation 16:14

There are humans that are in league with the fallen angels who are in positions of power. Revelation 16 refers to them as “the Kings of the earth.” They are deceived into thinking that they will be able to merge with machines and live forever apart from God. They are mortals that are drunk with god-like power and seduced by access to unlimited resources and technology that most people couldn’t understand if it was explained to them. These people are in league with non-human entities that have been here from the beginning.

- Revelation 16:14: “For they are the spirits of Devils, working miracles, which go forth unto the kings of the

earth and of the whole world, to gather them to the battle of that great day of God Almighty.”

We could look into more passages to support the idea that this has been prophesied, but I'll stop here and go on to the other types of evidence that we use to support our conclusion.

Nevertheless, I believe it is evident that our arguments are supported by the authority of scripture and should not be written off as the hallucinatory babble of biblically illiterate fools.

#3 Flip-flops

If the unconvinced don't know what a flip-flop is in the context of the Mandela Effect, and they cannot name the four documented flip-flops that millions have reported experiencing, then how can they have the nerve to talk down to us and demand in a condescending tone that we are just confused and befuddled?

If you are going to cling to the misremembering idea with this particular observation, then you would have to explain how the entire Mandela Effect community all noticed these four epic changes, reported on them, and then watched them all change back again in real time and then reported on that as well. These changes were:

- The Flintstones changed to Flinstones
- Huston, we have a problem changed to Huston, we've had a problem.
- Tidy Cats changed to Tidy Kat.
- Chuck e cheese changed to Chuck e Cheese's

In the period of time when they first changed, everything you could find indicated that it had always been that way. It was a different timeline and we all went for the ride. But when the ride was over, we switched back and the present timeline shows it has always been the way it is now.

How could millions all be misremembering something like that and be misremembering it the same way? The change back on all four of these happened within six months to a year from the initial change. Millions all basically watched it happen in real time. And this didn't happen just once. It happened four times.

Good luck providing an alternate explanation for our testimony on this one. The explanation you are looking for is that your reality is morphing. Your reason, your logic, and all your rational arguments are meaningless unless you can provide a reasonable, logical, explanation for this one verifiable event.

If you are going to suggest that we are lying, you would need to provide proof. Besides, we think that you are the ones that are lying. You are lying to yourselves and everyone around you.

We all confirmed that these flip-flops changed in the real world as well. This was not just things changing on our screens. We were not all duped by photoshopped tricks. Our research is thorough. We went into the stores and saw the products in the real world with the altered name on them. We saw the real-life objects reflecting the change to the timeline. It was astonishing.

As a form of corroborating evidence, many of us, myself included, were somehow able to retain images from the initial change, proving that we went through this time shift and then came back again. If you can't explain how we all experienced that and could be in possession of this residual evidence, then your argument is nullified, and all of your bellicose objections are meaningless.

#4 Residual evidence

The best way to understand residual evidence would be to imagine that your name is Joe, but you wake up tomorrow and everywhere you look you find that your name is now Jim. You find that all of your bank statements going back twenty years now say you are and have always been Jim.

Your credit cards, your birth certificate, and your driver's license all say Jim. You can't explain it, but it's undeniably happening.

You know full well that your name has been Joe your entire life. You can't possibly convince yourself that you've been misremembering all your life; that would be ridiculous.

However, one day, as you are trying to make sense of all this, you go up into the attic and find your old yearbook from forty years ago. In there is a picture of you that shows your name as Joe, just like you remember it. You are so relieved to find something that confirms what you knew to be true. You really thought that maybe you were going crazy, but not anymore.

What you found is evidence that your vivid memory was working correctly and somehow everything has changed; everything, except for these few little remnants of the reality that you lived in. We call this residual evidence. It's a mystery within a mystery, and it acts as corroborating evidence to our testimonies.

Some have suggested that these remnants of a different timeline are the cause of this phenomenon. The suggestion is that these are just mistakes that happen in the normal course of life. These misquotes then get implanted in our minds and somehow, we construct a whole belief system around them without ever knowing we are doing it. The argument is that there is no such thing as residual evidence and reality isn't changing, we're just confused and mixed up.

But you know that there's no way that a typo in a forty-year-old yearbook could magically brainwash you for your entire life. Especially if you've only looked at it four times in the last forty years. There's no way it could have exerted enough influence to overcome the repetitive daily exposure to your name for decades. Come on! Please!

The name Joe appearing in your yearbook would not be able to confuse you into deciding that your reality is morphing. Are you kidding me?

Residual evidence is not the source of this worldwide phenomenon. Many arrogant scoffers have talked down to me saying, "Oh, do you mean that you are just going to forget where you put your keys and then decide that you shifted to another universe? That's ridiculous."

We are not confused. We know what our name is and somehow everything around us has changed. And what's most astonishing is that it has always been the way it is now. In other words, my name didn't change from Joe to Jim just recently; it's always been Jim in this new reality.

I'm sorry, but that's how this works. It's happening, and you're going to have to deal with it. Don't get all rational on me or act like you understand all the mysteries of the universe.

Remember, all the atheists think what you believe is far-fetched and laughable. You need to stay humble if you are going to get through this.

Residual evidence supports the idea that whatever has changed is not just in our heads. Why did this one piece of evidence that supports my vivid memory remain in this timeline when everything else has changed to reflect a different name? We don't know.

It is an artifact that testifies that humanity is passing through an unprecedented event that was clearly foretold in the Bible. The only clue that we have of why there is residual is that Daniel 7:25 says he will "seek" to change times and laws, which seems to indicate that his attempts will not be entirely successful. This may explain why we are able to find residual evidence. The Devil's process isn't perfect, only God does all things well. (Mark 7:37)

#5 Statistical probabilities

The unconvinced are being forced to completely ignore the scientific discipline of probabilities in order to maintain their position. Jesus instructed you to "*do the math*" in Luke 14:28 when He said, "For which of you, intending to build a tower, sitteth not down first, and counteth the cost, whether he have sufficient to finish it?"

Don't think for a minute that you can simply sidestep objective evidence simply because it isn't "chapter and verse." The evidence I'm about to provide you is the most irrefutable proof in this entire book, and it comes in the form of a mathematical impossibility. Proverbs 14:15 says, "The prudent man looketh well to his going."

The unconvinced are asking us to simply ignore the fact that for the first time in history, there is an avalanche of people misremembering hundreds of different things the exact same way. What are the chances of that? What is the matter with you? Why are you being so weird about what is so obviously happening? Something like this can't just happen without a cause. So what's the cause?

The scientific discipline of probabilities dictates that the chances of only five (5) people misremembering five (5) Bible quiz questions the same way by chance is approximately 10^{10} . That's 1 in 10,000,000,000 or one in ten billion.

Here is the prompt that I used with ChatGPT so you can run the numbers yourself.

ChatGPT Prompt

Calculate the probability that five people answering five independent multiple choice Bible questions will:

1. Answer each question incorrectly and
2. For each question all five people select the same wrong answer

Assumptions

- Each question has three choices total one correct and two incorrect
- Each person chooses randomly among the three choices no bias and people's answers are independent
- The five questions are independent of each other

Output requirements

- Explain the reasoning step by step in plain language in layman's terms
- At the end express the result as a positive integer: 1 in 10^{00}
- Provide a comparative example as a reference

The answer

For one question:

- Probability of one exact five-person answer pattern:
- $(1/3)^5 = 1/243$

There are 2 wrong answers, so there are 2 successful same-wrong-answer patterns:

- $2 \times (1/3)^5 = 2/243$
- For five independent questions:
- $(2/243)^5 = 32 / 847,288,609,443$

Final probability:

- 1 in 26,477,769,045

Approximate scientific notation:

- 1 in 2.65×10^{10}

Rounded format:

- 1 in 10^{10}

What this math proves is that the pastors were not “just misremembering.” It proves that they were all exposed to a different rendering than what appears in their Bibles. And that exposure was compelling enough to establish the long-term memories of 30 pastors for 12 different familiar passages.

We know these pastors had repeated exposure to their Bibles. We do not know that they had repeated, uniform exposure to the same misquotes at a level strong enough to overwrite their memory. So even if the phenomenon sounds strange, the misquote explanation is even more unlikely.

Good luck trying to convince 10 reasonable men that content experts could have their memories overwritten by misquotes from pop culture. I’m not talking about just suggesting it as a hypothesis, I’m talking about supporting your position with evidence and reasoning that explains how it could happen. You need to be willing to be cross examined and answer our questions, because we don’t believe you.

Why would a pilot get ten simple instrument quiz questions right, a doctor gets ten simple anatomy quiz questions right, but a pastor gets ten simple Bible quiz questions wrong? All three are content experts. I have now proven that this very thing is happening by conducting a national pastor survey.

National Pastor Survey

The SBCO Int. National Pastor Survey was completed on December 15th 2025. We purchased two direct email databases and used a bulk email service to send an invitation to over 400,000 pastor’s emails. We had 30 respondents that confirmed that they were pastors and completed our survey.

To view a detailed analysis of the survey visit:

alteredbible.com/survey

The survey contained 34 total Bible memory questions: 12 yes-or-no recognition questions and 22 fill-in-the-blank memory questions. The fill-in-the-blank questions used three multiple-choice answer options: one correct answer and two incorrect answers. Here are the top 12 fill in the blanks question results.

Pastor Survey Results Summary

Job 1:21 (KJV)

- 96.7% chose the same wrong answer: “giveth / taketh”
- 3.3% chose the correct KJV answer: “The LORD gave, and the LORD hath taken away”
- 0% chose the remaining/third option
- Actual KJV: “The LORD gave, and the LORD hath taken away;”

Genesis 3:19 (UM)

- 90.0% chose the same wrong answer: “By the sweat of thy brow”
- 10.0% chose the correct KJV answer: “In the sweat of thy face”
- 0% chose the remaining/third option
- Actual KJV: “In the sweat of thy face shalt thou eat bread,”

Mark 5:28 — (UM)

- 90.0% chose the same wrong answer: “touch the hem of His garment”
- 6.7% chose the correct KJV answer: “touch but his clothes”
- 3.3% chose the remaining/third option
- Actual KJV: “If I may touch but his clothes.”

Luke 5:24 (KJV)

- 83.3% chose the same wrong answer: “bed / walk”
- 13.3% chose the correct KJV answer: “take up thy couch”
- 3.3% chose the remaining/third option
- Actual KJV: “take up thy couch, and go into thine house.”

Genesis 7:9 (UV)

- 80.0% chose the same wrong answer: “two by two”
- 20.0% chose the correct KJV answer: “two and two”
- 0% chose the remaining/third option

- Actual KJV: “there went in two and two unto Noah into the ark,”

Isaiah 11:6 (U)

- 76.7% chose the same wrong answer: “The lion laid down with the lamb”
- 23.3% chose the correct KJV answer: “The wolf also shall dwell with the lamb”
- 0% chose the remaining/third option
- Actual KJV: “The wolf also shall dwell with the lamb,”

John 1:41 (KJV)

- 73.3% chose the same wrong answer: “Messiah”
- 20.0% chose the correct KJV answer: “Messias”
- 6.7% chose the remaining/third option
- Actual KJV: “We have found the Messias, which is, being interpreted, the Christ.”

Matthew 7:1 (KJV)

- 73.3% chose the same wrong answer: “lest ye be judged”
- 26.7% chose the correct KJV answer: “that ye be not judged”
- 0% chose the remaining/third option
- Actual KJV: “Judge not, that ye be not judged.”

Hebrews 6:1 (KJV)

- 70.0% chose the same wrong answer: “elementary principles”
- 26.7% chose the correct KJV answer: “the principles of the doctrine of Christ”
- 3.3% chose the remaining/third option
- Actual KJV: “the principles of the doctrine of Christ,”

John 12:24 (KJV)

- 66.7% chose the same wrong answer: “grain of wheat”
- 16.7% chose the correct KJV answer: “corn of wheat”
- 16.7% chose the remaining/third option
- Actual KJV: “Except a corn of wheat fall into the ground and die,”

Genesis 8:11 (U)

- 63.3% chose the same wrong answer: “an olive branch”
- 36.7% chose the correct KJV answer: “an olive leaf plucked off”
- 0% chose the remaining/third option
- Actual KJV: “in her mouth was an olive leaf plucked off:”

Unlike psychology, the scientific discipline of probabilities is brutally objective; it deals in hard numbers that are black and white, not opinions or interpretations. There is no theological framework, religious theory, or scriptural argument that can simply wave away what this data is clearly saying.

The undeniable conclusion of this observation is that there must be a specific cause behind this kind of unified misremembering. Anyone who tries to downplay or dismiss this finding as irrelevant is not engaging in honest inquiry or acting in good faith.

Our data is accurate because:

- There have been similar results from a peer-reviewed study that has been published in prestigious psychiatric journals — their finding was approximately 10^{14} .³
- You don’t have to take my word for it, because anyone can repeat this test themselves and get the same result.

The only two semi-plausible explanations for this are that these pastors are all confused by either different renderings in different versions, or they’ve all had their memories overwritten by misquotes from pop culture. What I can assure you is that neither of these two options is possible.

See if you can rationally answer these questions

- If the average rate of misremembering is approximately 25%, why did the pastors (content experts) in our survey misremember at rates as high as 96%?
- If they were simply misremembering, they would be misremembering differently, so what caused dozens of pastors to get multiple verses wrong the same way?

- As an example, 29 out of 30 pastors all chose the same wrong answer for Job 1:21. How is that possible?

Version confusion:

The passages in our National pastor survey are either King James—only or they are universally the same across all versions—including the KJV. Additionally, there’s no varied rendering in a different book within the same version.

Each passage appears only one way in every Bible, in every version, in every book of that version, with every publisher, in every language, in every century, without exception. So how could the pastors have been confused by versions if the renderings are the same in every version and every book in the same version? (Visit alteredbible.com to see the survey results.)

Modernization/variants/misprints:

We also confirmed that the renderings of each question are identical in every version to the original source texts from which they are derived, which eliminates any possibility that the pastors were simply confused by modernizations, variants, or misprints.

Biblical illiteracy:

I also went to great effort and expense to get this result exclusively from pastors, so these astonishing results cannot be explained away by the idea that people taking the survey are just biblically illiterate. And it’s not just these pastors; it’s pastors worldwide, and it’s all of humanity.

Misquotes from pop culture:

Summary of questions

- If the misremembering was caused by misquotes from pop culture, then why is everyone misremembering the same way?
- So what if you come across a misquote on Facebook? Don’t you know your Bible?
- Can’t you recognize a misspelled word and reject it? What makes you think a misquote is any different?

-
- If someone said, “There is no God” on Facebook, would you somehow become an atheist?
 - Why would some random misquote be a greater influence on a pastor than his long-term exposure to the correct renderings?

To see more arguments as to why the worldwide, unified misremembering of humanity is not caused by misquotes from pop culture, visit alteredbible.com, go to “Educational docs,” and choose “Misquotes from pop culture refuted.”

Here are nine more observations that seem to defy any type of rational probabilities:

1. **Similar False Memories in Different Cultures:** It is highly unlikely that individuals with widely differing cultural backgrounds, languages, and media exposure would independently experience a variety of identical memories of things that no longer exist, but that is definitely happening.
2. **New False Memories Emerging Simultaneously:** It is extremely unlikely that a sizable number of people would all have the same new false memories at the same time without any apparent reason or communication between them.
3. **High Accuracy in Other Memory Areas:** People who regularly exhibit exceptional accuracy and memory recall in other areas of their lives (such as recalling intricate professional information) would not tend to constantly misremember particular facts in the same manner.
4. **Inconsistencies in Written Records:** It violates common assumptions of data consistency that both digital and physical written records (such as books, newspapers, and websites) would contain such a preponderance of incorrect data that perfectly correlates to the vivid memories of millions of people.
5. **Discrepancies in Historical Artifacts:** Why would there be scattered remnants (i.e. residual evidence) of a different timeline reflected in old things like coins, stamps, or records that perfectly correlate to the vivid memories of millions of people?

6. **Personal Testimonies from Multiple Experts:** Despite their training in accuracy and detail, it is unlikely that experts in different professions (such as theologians, historians, linguists, or scientists) would all demonstrate particular cases of false memories pertaining to their field of expertise, but they do. Example: Human anatomy has changed, and it's confirmed by doctors.
7. **Unaltered Personal Memorabilia:** The unlikely possibility that despite never having been altered since their creation, personal memorabilia (such as diaries, photos, or yearbooks, as I mentioned) occasionally depict the incorrect version that contradicts the present reality and perfectly correlates to the vivid memories of individuals. (Residual evidence)
8. **Cross-Generational Consistency:** The improbability that a false recollection would be shared by several generations of people who were not exposed to the same media or experiences at the same time.
9. **Absence of Confounding Variables:** Researchers producing peer-reviewed studies are unable to identify any causation that might account for the phenomena.

6 Notable figures

Major companies

Major companies are reporting inventory issues that are being caused by the Mandela Effect. Chris Anatra is the CEO of Entrée, a large software company serving food distributors in Bradford, Connecticut. I've been to his offices, and his company is not small.

When the Mandela Effect changed Haas avocados to Hass and Stouffer's Stove Top Stuffing ceased to exist, it meant that Entrée's customer service line started ringing off the hook. The customers thought Entrée's software had a bug, but it was actually the universe.

Here is the first part of the press release that Chris posted and sent to his clients once he realized what was happening.

Press release

“NECS clients of our food distribution ERP software have been reporting data on their reports and dashboards, which do not appear to be accurate. This causes NECS technical support to spend a large amount of time and resources helping clients determine if there is a problem such as a software bug, corrupted data, etc.

For example, many clients have reported products such as “Stouffer’s” Stove Top Stuffing being either missing from their inventory system or replaced with “Kraft” Stove Top Stuffing (even though there was never any kind of buyout by Kraft of Stouffer’s, etc.). Basically, “Stouffer’s Stove Top Stuffing” has NEVER existed. Since 1971 it has always been Kraft Stove Top Stuffing. But why do tens of millions of people have a clear and distinct memory of Stouffer’s Stove Top Stuffing? It has such a clear and distinct name; you can’t just make that up.

When the data is manually audited, including analyzing the SQL data tables, hard copy invoices, etc., the data on reports and dashboards are proven to be accurate and correct. It becomes only the client’s memory of what the figures should be as the cause of the problem. These types of issues cause wasted time for both NECS technical support staff and our clients. In fact, as much as 20% of our technical support resources are used to deal with these issues so our bottom line is affected. NECS Inc. believes that we are the first technology company to come out and express what may be happening. It is related to quantum physics and a phenomenon that has been named “The Mandela Effect.”

This very large software company was being blamed for the changes that were taking place in reality, and the decision was made to jeopardize their reputation by announcing to all of their clients that it wasn’t their fault. Instead, they made the decision to try to convince their clients that reality was morphing. I wonder how that went over.

They were already spending twenty percent of their customer service time dealing with it. So I guess it was the lesser of two evils. I wonder how many other companies had to deal with this like he did. Here is the website for his company:

<https://necs.com/>

Renowned Bible Scholars

You also now have renowned Bible scholars like Dr. Paul Grafton Holt who are being forced to admit that this is happening. Dr. Holt has written twelve academic theological books on a variety of biblical themes. One of them is entitled “The King James Bible and the Quantum Effect.” You can find his books on Amazon. This book provides the expert opinion of a renowned theologian that the Bible is supernaturally changing.

Dr. Holt holds the following degrees: Bachelor of Theology (BTh) from the University of Wales Trinity St. David (UK), Master of Arts in Theology (MA) from the University of Chester (UK), and a Doctorate in Theology (DA) from Whitefield Theological Seminary (USA).

I have two interviews with Dr. Holt on my YouTube channel Wakeuporelse. He has also signed my affidavit, attesting to his belief that the Bible is supernaturally changing. You can see that on the affidavits tab of my website www.wakeuporelse.com. That is pretty strong evidence that we are not misremembering or confused, and we certainly aren't delusional.

Large Ministries

Scott Johnson has a large online ministry called www.contendingfortruth.com. He came out in 2018 with an extended series of teachings documenting his belief that the Bible was supernaturally changing. He was severely persecuted by his denomination and peers for doing so.

Major Magazines

Major magazines like Reader's Digest, Popular Mechanics, Good Housekeeping, and Parade Magazine have all done full-page spreads covering the phenomenon.

Scientific Community

The huge and continuing number of credible people acknowledging this event has inspired the clinical community to launch peer-reviewed studies on the phenomenon. One such study is called:

"The Visual Mandela Effect as Evidence for Shared and Specific False Memories Across People."⁴

There are countless articles being cranked out by the most prestigious psychology institutions that are unanimously condemning this as confusion. All of official DUM is working overtime to try to sell the idea that we are all just confused. But the "Mandela Effect is real" narrative has been going on for seven years, and it's only growing and picking up momentum. And what we are telling you is we are not confused. PERIOD.

7 Similar to Me

The last corroborating proof that we point to is the fact that all of humanity is experiencing the Mandela Effect.

We don't consider the experience as being properly identified as false memories or even altered memories. Our position is that our memories are working just fine, thank you. Whatever is changing is outside of us.

If the Mandelites are just delusional, then you would think that there would be an observable difference between the two groups. However, as I will show in later chapters, we have studies that document that the memories of the unconvinced and the Mandela Effect community are the same. This is a known quantity and has been verified by peer-reviewed studies. The frequency and the vividness of the things that are being misremembered are all the same between both groups. This one observation eliminates the possibility of us being under delusion because if we're delusional, then so is the unconvinced. The fact that everyone shares the same memories makes our hypothesis infinitely more rational because the unconvinced have absolutely no explanation for these empirical observations, but we sure do.

⁴ <https://socialsciences.uchicago.edu/news/new-research-shows-consistency-in-what-we-misremember>

Chapter 3 – Why would God allow it?

Abraham's Test

I believe that God is perfect in all of His ways. He is the Father of lights in whom there is no variableness or shadow of turning. He is not a man that He should lie, and He has shown Himself to be faithful to all generations. His divine perfection of righteousness is what defines Him. He loves and does what is right.

Yet, throughout Scripture, we find some isolated examples of God doing things that seem to contradict these qualities, so don't get mad at me if I point out some of these difficult passages to make my point. I didn't write the Bible, and I'm not trying to twist the Scriptures to fit my distorted beliefs as some might suggest.

The story that we read in Genesis 22 is one of the most difficult passages in the entire Bible to reconcile with God's divine perfections of righteousness, holiness, and truth.

But this test that Abraham passed through is a precedent that establishes God's willingness to duplicate this test in the present day. What God called for Abraham to do seemed to contradict everything that Abraham knew about God. And it is the same for today's Christian that is staring down the barrel of a supernaturally changing Bible.

We face a conundrum that is unlike anything we have faced before; a righteous God that seems to be going against His own decrees that His word won't change.

This story of Abraham, however, will relieve us of the perception that the Bible being supernaturally changed would be tantamount to God being a liar. The lessons from this encounter allow us to sidestep the issue of the preservation promises altogether and essentially point out that God has seemed to suspend His own rules in the past to make a point, so He can certainly do it again.

Genesis 22 is an example of God seeming to go directly against His own principles. Of course, I understand that Abraham predates the Mosaic Law, so the restriction against sacrificing your son had not been recorded at the time when this took place. But God certainly knew what He would give Moses in the future, and I'm also sure that Abraham had a pretty good idea that this was way out of line for God to make this request as well.

God can appear unrighteous to test us.

If you step back and take an unvarnished, unreligious examination of what God did with Abraham, I think you will be forced to concede that it appears as though God, in certain isolated situations, is willing to risk being perceived as unrighteous in order to test His servants. I'm not saying He acted unrighteously, just that He is willing to appear that way.

Just ask Job's wife if God has ever been willing to appear unrighteous. She certainly thought so. And God had just gotten done bragging about how righteous Job was, right before He allowed Satan to go frolicking through this poor guy's entire existence in a Sherman tank. We have a similar anomaly with Abraham.

In Genesis 22, we read these words:

- Genesis 22:1: "Now it came to pass after these things that God tested Abraham and said to him, 'Abraham!' And he said, 'Here I am.' Then He said, 'Take now your son, your only son Isaac, whom you love, and go to the land of Moriah, and offer him there as a burnt offering on one of the mountains of which I shall tell you.'"

His instruction to His servant was to directly violate the soon-to-be-written 6th commandment found in Exodus 20:13 and the prohibition against child sacrifice found in:

- Deuteronomy 12:31: "You must not worship the LORD your God in their way, because in worshiping their gods, they do all kinds of detestable things the LORD hates. They even burn their sons and daughters in the fire as sacrifices to their gods."

God is sovereign, and He can do as He pleases with no judgment of man having jurisdiction. But if He claims to be righteous and then seems to violate His own commandments, then man must decide if he is going to continue to serve Him.

It would have been perfectly understandable for Abraham to have reacted with anger and to tell God that if He would ask such a thing, he would withdraw his allegiance and walk with Him no more.

"Go slit your son's throat" is worse than saying "go commit adultery" or "go steal money from a bunch of widows."

God opposes child sacrifice, but He requested that very thing from Abraham.

God is doing the Abraham thing again.

My thesis is that the test that God had Abraham pass through is being repeated in modernity. God is pressing on a pain point with His bride that is so sensitive that it's right up there with what He required of Abraham.

God required Abraham to accept something that made God seem unjust, and the fact that God is allowing Slewfoot to go frolicking through the pages of the Good Book seems equally unimaginable.

That is why I feel that this is the most important proof that God has ever shown us. This one passage provides all the biblical permission that someone needs to accept that this is happening. This one passage sweeps aside every strident proclamation of every Baptist pastor that would assign me to the hottest hell.

Abraham passed the test, but most of today's believers are failing this same test. You are straining at a gnat. You are so hyper-focused on "the word, the word, the word" that you can't hear what God is saying to you through the changes. Let me spell it out for you. God told Abraham to sacrifice his son; God is telling you to sacrifice your rigid reliance on intellectualism in your effort to follow Him.

This experience is similar to what the ethereal voice Obi-Wan Kenobi told Luke Skywalker in Star Wars. Remember that scene when Luke had to shoot the missile into the little two-meter port on the Death Star? He was on his way to make the shot using his targeting computer when the voice of Obi-Wan interjected and started urging him to abandon the technology.

The voice urged him, "Don't rely on the targeting computer Luke; trust the Force to guide you to hit the mark." The voice of Obi-Wan told him, "Use the Force, Luke," then he said, "let go," and finally, he simply said, "trust me."

It's at that point that Luke pushes the targeting computer away and begins his attack run by faith alone. Isn't that in a way, what God did with Abraham?

Your targeting computer is your Bible. The force that you need to trust is the harmony between the Holy Spirit and your God-given faculties. “The righteous man judges all things and is judged of no man” (1 Corinthians 2:15).

Your intellect, your memory, your conscience, your intuition, and the emotional state of certainty are all telling you that those things that I just showed you or the things in the Bible quiz at the end were never in your Bible. The wolf was never in your Bible in Isaiah 11:6, and you know it.

Does the wolf really bear witness with you? Ask yourself, does the wolf dwelling with the lamb bear witness with you?

I’m not asking you if you can provide some theological framework for the symbolism of the wolf. This oddity that you are being confronted with is more like the experience when you put your keys down on the counter and stepped out of the room for fifteen seconds. While you were out of the room, your spouse picked up the keys and went to the car. When you came back and saw that they were gone, you didn’t for one moment question whether you had put them somewhere else and misremembered. You immediately deduced that your spouse must have picked them up because you trust your memory. You have a long-standing relationship with your memory. Stop using the idea that human memory is unreliable as an excuse to silence your screaming conscience. You rely on certainty all the time. Your memory works just fine, and you know that the wolf doesn’t belong in Isaiah 11:6.

- 1 Thessalonians 5:21 “Prove all things and hold fast to that which is good.”

You are seeking to rely on the suggestion that millions of people’s testimonies can be explained because human memory is unreliable. But this is relieving you of the responsibility to rely on your God-given faculties to discern if the warnings of hundreds of thousands of your brothers and sisters could be true.

If you liken this to a doctor diagnosing a patient, you would be like a doctor who doesn’t do any examination. You just hand some pills to the patient without even talking to them about their symptoms. The response of Christians and church leaders has been an abysmal failure to the credible voices of this remnant of a remnant.

Your unwillingness to seriously consider what is now appearing in your Bible is an abdication of the next ten passages. Use your head, think, remember, and believe in the God-given faculty of certainty. Your memory is reliable. Ask God to show you if it's true. Just stop for one moment and ask God to show you if this is possible.

The term “take heed” is an archaic way of saying “take care” or “be careful.”

- Deuteronomy 4:9: “Only take heed to yourself, and diligently keep yourself, lest you forget the things your eyes have seen, and lest they depart from your heart all the days of your life.”

This passage is urging you to value your memory. The unconvinced narrative urges you not to trust your memory, while the scriptures clearly teach the opposite.

Here are some additional scriptures that urge you to rely on your memory and to use discernment, which involves your human faculties as well as your spirit man. These passages refute the idea that it's a sin to lift up your memory above the authority of the scriptures.

- 1 John 4:1: “Beloved, do not believe every spirit, but test the spirits to see whether they are from God...”
- Proverbs 3:21-23: “My son, do not lose sight of these—keep sound wisdom and discretion...”
- Philippians 1:9-10: “And it is my prayer that your love may abound more and more, with knowledge and all discernment...”
- Romans 12:2: “Do not be conformed to this world, but be transformed by the renewal of your mind, that by testing you may discern what is the will of God, what is good and acceptable and perfect.”
- Hebrews 5:14: “But solid food is for the mature, for those who have their powers of discernment trained by constant practice to distinguish good from evil.”
- Proverbs 14:15: “The simple believes everything, but the prudent gives thought to his steps.”

-
- 1 Thessalonians 5:21-22: “But test everything; hold fast what is good.”
 - James 1:5: “If any of you lacks wisdom, let him ask God, who gives generously to all without reproach, and it will be given him.”
 - Ephesians 5:10: “And try to discern what is pleasing to the Lord.”
 - Psalm 119:66: “Teach me good judgment and knowledge, for I believe in your commandments.”

I know, I know! You have a long list of “alarm bell” scriptures that are going off in your head right now, telling you, “There’s no way you can walk with God without a Bible.”

First of all, no one is suggesting that. Secondly, is that even true? It almost seems that people walk with God better when they don’t have a Bible. It’s almost like rich people not needing God. We are so rich with Bibles, Bible software, study aids, references, commentaries, and every imaginable cerebral enforcement resource that we can’t give ourselves permission to do what we’re reading about.

Ever learning

We are like the person who goes to seminary to prepare for ministry, but after attaining a Bachelor of Arts in Theology, he decides he’s not ready yet.

He decides to really prepare himself to do something for God, so he stays in school to receive a Master of Divinity (MDiv). This is a professional degree for those pursuing ordained ministry. But by this time, he is so comfortable with the higher halls of learning that he decides to settle in and make the attainment of knowledge his goal. He goes for his PhD, a Doctor of Philosophy in Theology.

This is a fairly accurate reflection of most American Christians’ evolution in American churches. We have been slow-boiled into a starchy, stoic shadow of the fires of revival that we are supposed to live in. We are ever learning but never coming to the experiential knowledge of the truth (2 Timothy 3:7).

That's the ability to do the things you are spending so much time studying. Jesus didn't say, "These things that I have learned, you too shall learn." Jesus told you He's going to puke you out of His mouth if you're lukewarm. So, what do you think being on fire looks like?

Many American Christians see all the "realms of glory" stuff as irreverent. It doesn't "bear witness" with them. It doesn't seem to glorify God because they can't find it specifically delineated in their Bible. I've had people tell me, "All of that believing God for miracles kind of thing is not our way. It's not decently and in order." Many believers don't feel that God would have His children acting that way. They see it as undignified.

This kind of talk sounds like old-money millionaires at a Boston cocktail party, bragging about how much money they have to each other.

"It's appalling! Have you seen these people rolling around on the floor and laughing in those revival meetings? It's an embarrassment. We should be out winning the lost, not rolling around on the floor. I even heard there were people making animal noises."

Yes, God forbid He would freely bestow joy unspeakable and full of glory on His people. You've sung about it many times, but when the real thing actually presents itself, you're the first in line to stone Stephen to death.

Maybe modern American Christians should take a cue from King David's response to Michal his wife when she tried to throw cold water on him for making a spectacle of himself while praising the Lord.

- 2 Sam 6:20 "Then David returned to bless his household. And Michal the daughter of Saul came out to meet David, and said, "How glorious was the king of Israel today, who uncovered himself today in the eyes of the handmaids of his servants, as one of the vain fellows shamelessly uncovereth himself!"

His response was priceless.

- 2 Sam 6:21 "So David said to Michal, "It was before the Lord, who chose me instead of your father and all his house, to appoint me ruler over the people of the Lord,

over Israel. Therefore I will play music before the Lord. **And I will be even more undignified than this**, and will be humble in my own sight. But as for the maidservants of whom you have spoken, by them I will be held in honor.”

Maybe the decorum that we have imposed on our solemn assemblies is a little too solemn.

Miracle cancellation doctrines

Here is a partial list of what I call “miracle cancellation doctrines” that cloud most Christians’ paradigms.

It jams them up with so much unbelief and fear that they can’t bring themselves to open up to what the Holy Spirit wants to do in their lives.

It seems that in the American church, the default position with most Christians is that all public ministries that seem to demonstrate the power of God or miracles are always lying signs and wonders or “Kundalini.”

- NOTE: Kundalini refers to certain physical manifestations like shaking and falling down that are associated with certain Hindu practices. These manifestations have similar characteristics to those seen in most Christian revival meetings going back five hundred years.

Miracle cancellation doctrines

- God told Paul, “My grace is sufficient.”
- Not everyone is called to do miracles.
- Those appointments to go and do miracles were only for the apostles.
- You have to test the spirits.
- Not everyone gets healed; therefore, it’s not God’s will to heal every time.
- “Upon this rock, I will build my church, and the gates of hell will not prevail,” except for Kundalini.
- “Whatsoever you bind on earth will be bound in heaven,” except for Kundalini.

- “Behold, I give you the authority to trample on serpents and scorpions, and over all the power of the enemy, and nothing shall by any means hurt you,” except for Kundalini.
- Jesus said, “It is finished,” but then He said, “But you know, now that I’m thinking about it, that Kundalini thing, whew eeeee! That thing is a beast. I just can’t seem to figure out how to deal with that thing. Maybe you should all just lock yourselves in the basement and just warn everyone instead.”
- The guy that does miracles is a false prophet because he shared the stage with so and so one time.
- I heard his miracles are fake. There’s no proof that any of his miracles are true. I heard that he’s a warlock.
- They didn’t seem to glorify Jesus, they seemed angry, they seemed whatever.
- It doesn’t bear witness to be so focused on miracles. • Miracles came to our church, and it split the church.
- Their doctrine is wrong; therefore, their miracles are fake.
- It’s a wicked and perverse generation that seeks a sign

And let’s not forget the mother of all miracle cancellation doctrines.

- Matthew 12:24: “Now when the Pharisees heard it, they said, This fellow does not cast out demons except by Beelzebub, the ruler of the demons.”

A significant number of professing Christians have mistakenly concluded that the manifestations that are very common within Christian revival meetings are the result of an invasion by a Hindu she-devil.

I have addressed the impossibility of this in several talks that I have done on my YouTube channel Wakeuporelse. You could just as easily conclude that the devil is simply copying the real thing and not the other way around. You can’t have a counterfeit unless you have the real thing.

I have two more pages of these, but I think you get the point. Most Christians are like the guy who settles for becoming a professional student. Ever learning but never coming to an “experiential” knowledge of the truth.

Think about it. If you’re in a persecuted country with no Bible, you can’t go to the scriptures to get a word, so you are forced to go directly to God to get a word. And we all know that it’s easier to get a word from the Word than to get a word from THE WORD. Some of you won’t get that until later tonight.

The people overseas don’t have a lot of miracle cancellation doctrines that have been drummed into their heads from attending most churches. I don’t see a lot of miracles happening in America, but I do overseas. Why do you think that is?

It’s a heresy hurricane.

Some will say, without the scriptures to guide us, it will be a free-for-all and we will be in a heresy hurricane. No, my friend, the message of this book is that you are already in a heresy hurricane, and God is the one standing behind the giant fans with His holy finger on the on button. You’ll have to take up your theological ramification questions with Him. I’m just reporting on what’s happening. Don’t shoot the messenger.

I will do my best in the rest of this book to give you the insights that God has given us over the last seven years. My hope is that it will greatly shorten your learning curve. But when it’s all said and done, we are all in completely uncharted territory. Don’t think it to be so terrible when it will actually be better. Besides, it’s happening whether you like it or not, so you’d better get your head in the game.

In the Star Wars briefing before the attack, another pilot objects that the mission is impossible. But Luke says, “It’s not impossible. I used to bullseye womp-rats in my T-16 back home; they’re not much bigger than two meters.”

Do you remember the prayer that you offered for the first food you ate after a five-day fast? It was no fleshly prayer out of obligation; I can assure you of that. You were like, “THANK YOU, LORD, FOR THIS CHICKEN BROTH! IT’S THE MOST DELICIOUS, LIFE-GIVING THING I HAVE EVER EXPERIENCED IN MY LIFE.”

Right? Scarcity heightens intensity, and God has come to His church with a wrecking ball to create a hunger for Him like never before.

He's momma eagle pushing reluctant eaglets out of the nest. The eaglet is given no choice of safety. They are pushed out without permission and forced to either fly or die.

In John 14, Jesus tells His disciples, "You believe in God, believe also in me." But now He is saying, "You believe in the scriptures; but now I want you to believe also in me."

Not everything that God will do is in the scriptures

Christians need to stop reading the scriptures and start doing the scriptures. God is longing to convince His church that not everything you need to know about Him and what He will do among men is recorded in the scriptures. God wants a Bride without spot or wrinkle, so he's going to need us to go to the next level.

Job went next level after going a few rounds with the Creator in Job 40. Close contact with the Creator in the fires of revival changes your perspective of how you are supposed to see yourself in His eyes. He wants you to be full of joy, carefree, and full of laughter. Healed, delivered, prospering, and on fire for a lost and dying world. If that's not your doctrine, then your doctrine is wrong.

Next level Christians talk like this:

- Job 42:5: "I have heard of thee by the hearing of the ear: but now mine eye seeth thee."

Next level Christians have experiences like this:

- Exodus 33:11: So the LORD spoke to Moses face to face, as a man speaks to his friend.

Next level Christians live like the words of Jesus are actually true.

- John 15:15: "No longer do I call you servants, for a servant does not know what his master is doing; but I have called you friends, for all things that I heard from My Father I have made known to you."

Next level Christians are not the least bit put off when God colors outside the lines of what is clearly described in the scriptures.

In fact, we love when He does that. We say, “This is what church and Christianity are supposed to be like.”

Once you experience God coloring outside the lines in revival, you will see that the scriptures don’t tell you everything. Let that sink in. The scriptures actually teach us that they don’t teach us everything.

- Deuteronomy 29:29: “The secret things belong unto God.”
- 1 Corinthians 13:12: “For now we see through a glass, darkly; but then face to face.”
- John 21:25: “And there are also many other things which Jesus did, the which, if they should be written every one, I suppose that even the world itself could not contain the books that should be written. Amen.”

Everything that happens when the Shekinah glory comes down is not going to be spelled out in your Bible. So who taught us to be so hell-bent on rejecting anything that isn’t specifically codified and itemized in black and white? Where is it written in the scriptures that God cannot do a new thing if He wants?

- Isaiah 43:19 “Behold, I will do a new thing; now it shall spring forth; shall ye not know it? I will even make a way in the wilderness, and rivers in the desert.”

Note: Shekinah (Hebrew: שְׁכִינָה, Modern: Šəḵīna, Tiberian: Šəḵīnā) is the English transliteration of a Hebrew word meaning "dwelling" or "settling" and describes the presence of God in a place.

- 2 Chronicles 5:13-14 "It came even to pass, as the trumpeters and singers were as one, to make one sound to be heard in praising and thanking the Lord; and when they lifted up their voice with the trumpets and cymbals and instruments of music, and praised the Lord, saying, For he is good; for his mercy endureth forever: that then the house was filled with a cloud, even the house of the Lord; **So that the priests could not stand to minister by reason of the cloud:** for the glory of the Lord had filled the house of God."

Here is the Bible telling you it’s OK for you to see things that make you wonder.

It's OK for God to surprise you and to leave your jaw on the ground, scratching your head but knowing that what you just saw was of Him. It's okay for God's presence to be so strong in your service that the people can't stand up any longer.

- Acts 19:11: "God gave Paul the power to perform unusual miracles." Unusual means not commonly occurring.
- John 21:25: "Jesus did many other things as well. If every one of them were written down, I suppose that even the whole world would not have room for the books that would be written."
- Ecclesiastes 3:11: "He has also set eternity in the human heart; yet no one can fathom what God has done from beginning to end."
- Job 26:14: "And these are but the outer fringe of his works; how faint the whisper we hear of him! Who then can understand the thunder of his power?"
- Romans 11:33-34: "Oh, the depth of the riches of the wisdom and knowledge of God! How unsearchable his judgments, and his paths beyond tracing out! 'Who has known the mind of the Lord? Or who has been his counsellor?'"
- Isaiah 55:8-9: "'For my thoughts are not your thoughts, neither are your ways my ways,' declares the LORD. 'As the heavens are higher than the earth, so are my ways higher than your ways and my thoughts than your thoughts.'"
- Deuteronomy 29:29: "The secret things belong to the LORD our God, but the things revealed belong to us and to our children forever, that we may follow all the words of this law."

Next level Christians are seasoned and familiar with hearing God's voice, receiving specific, detailed instructions, and see just about everything that happens around them as being spiritually significant and prophetic.

We will hear His voice in direct proportion to how much we want to hear His voice.

Here's regular people hearing God's voice.

- Acts 9:4: "Then he fell to the ground and heard a voice saying to him, 'Saul, Saul, why are you persecuting Me?'"
- Acts 10:13: "And a voice came to him, 'Rise, Peter; kill and eat.'"
- 1 Kings 19:13: "When Elijah heard the voice, he used his coat to cover his face and went to the entrance to the cave and stood there."

Isn't this what God required of Abraham? He forced him to go next level. He required him to choose allegiance to the word or to the one who wrote the word. It was one or the other. This is not new age mysticism; this is Bible.

You are not far off if you make that claim; however, you are in a new age. I call it the "post-canonized scripture church age."

Specifically, when Abraham passed the test, what God said was, "Now I know that you fear me." But that seems counterintuitive. Isn't the saint displaying his fear of God through his dogged determination to adhere to the strict requirements of the scriptures and the disciplines of the faith? Yes, but there is also a danger in that.

Haven't you ever witnessed misplaced devotion? Have you not seen the pagan in the jungle worshiping totem poles, or the devout Catholic who will self-flagellate to earn God's favor?

Determination can become a devotion to an idol. It's easy to see how the Pharisees' determination to adhere to scripture made them end up acting more like ruthless thugs than loving shepherds. Could you put any of the Pharisees in the category of loving?

They became heartless overlords who squatted on the peasants that were beneath them. Their actions were bossy and arrogant, and they didn't seem to really like people very much. There was no sense that they served the people; it was clear that they served themselves and saw the peasantry as rabble from which they would exact their due. They used their command of scripture as the focal point of their control matrix.

They continually chose the “Word of God” over the voice of God, just like many church leaders are doing now by continuing to embrace a corrupted scripture. The corrupted text is rapidly becoming something other than the words of God, but you are stopping your ears to this event, which is tantamount to literally hearing the voice or “the word” of God speaking to you. God is speaking to you by allowing the Bible to be manhandled by Satan, but you are clinging to the word over the true voice. You are like the teenager listening to music while your mom is telling you to clean up your room before dinner. You are yelling back and saying, “I can’t hear you, mom,” as you turn up the volume.

- Mark 7:13 “You are “making the ‘Word of God’ of none effect through your tradition.”

The Pharisees chose the scripture over the voice

- **Matthew 12:1-2:** “Look! Your disciples are doing what is unlawful on the Sabbath.”
- **Matthew 15:1-2:** “Why do your disciples break the tradition of the elders? They don’t wash their hands before they eat!”

We have the same problem today. Your elders and the Christian datasphere have drummed “the word, the word, the word” into your head so exclusively that they have turned a love letter from God into a policies and procedures manual.

- John 9:16 (Healing on the Sabbath): “This man is not from God, for he does not keep the Sabbath.”
- Matthew 23:23 (Tithing): “You should have practiced the latter, without neglecting the former.”

You had me at hello

You should have been converted as soon as you saw that “The Lord giveth and the Lord taketh away” has never existed. The moment you saw that, you should have said, “Wait, what?”

But your theology trumped your integrity. Most people who have reluctantly conceded that this is happening did so based on one or two examples, not a four-hundred-page thesis like this.

This is because many have adopted an intellectual Bible-centric type of Christianity, as opposed to a supernatural Christ-centric relationship type of walk. And as a result, “you do not know the hour of your visitation.”

You are tuned to the wrong radio station.

You are singularly geared up for all interactions with God to be transacted through your peanut brain, but He prefers to talk like this:

- 2 Samuel 5:24: “And it shall be, when you hear the sound of marching in the tops of the mulberry trees, then you shall advance quickly. For then the LORD will go out before you to strike the camp of the Philistines.”

When’s the last time you had some type of mulberry tree action with the living God? When’s the last time you heard God’s voice? Have you ever cast a demon out of someone or led someone to the Lord?”

Man is not made for the Bible; the Bible was made for man. The Bible was never meant to be used the way it is being used by most Christians and church leaders. We have all been handed churchy soundbites that sound very insightful, but they are really just a smoke screen for wallowing in unbelief.

There’s no shazams in most churches, and there should be. Stop lying to yourself. The kingdom of God is not in word but in power. PERIOD. FULL STOP! We are supposed to turn away from leaders that deny the power thereof, not attack the people that are moving in power.

These churchianity soundbites keep you head-centric instead of heart-centric. And this is a problem because the head is for thinking but the heart is for drinking. The Bible doesn’t say that out of your head will flow rivers of living water.

- John 7:38 “He that believeth on me, as the scripture hath said, out of his belly shall flow rivers of living water.”

We are supposed to have rivers of living water flowing out of our bellies. Unfortunately, the only thing flowing out of most Christians’ bellies is gas from the latest church potluck.

Many indignant saints will shriek, “You shouldn’t seek manifestations or overemphasize miracles in your ministry.” Well, I would say don’t worry; most ministries are a long way from that ever being a real danger. Besides, just because you have a lot of manifestations in your meetings doesn’t mean you’re seeking them. Wherever Jesus went, things happened. If you’re having them, it simply means you already did the seeking in private, and now God is honoring that in public. Private prayer produces public power.

This is a fake churchianity soundbite that I hear all the time. “You shouldn’t focus on manifestations; you should focus on Christ.” Well, tell that to the person in your congregation who is dying of cancer, or the poor soul with migraines or depression. A lot of good all your systematic theology is going to be to them. No! They need someone with simple faith and a hot hand.

Why is it that when revival breaks out somewhere, people will fly in from all over the world to get under the anointing? You try to make yourself sound so enlightened when you offer that advice, but it’s just a miracle cancellation doctrine so you don’t have to be responsible for your inability to demonstrate the gospel. That sagely warning to steer clear of manifestations sounds very spiritual, but it’s not Bible. Instead, we are told:

- 1 Corinthians 12:31: “But earnestly desire the best gifts.”

All most of us do is study miracles and line them up in a row so we can systemize our theology. But at some point, it needs to dawn on us that we are supposed to be seriously deciding to do what we are reading about.

If you are not cold or lukewarm, then you will be hot. And if you are hot, it will mean that you have the fire of God on you. And if you have the fire of God on you, then you will have manifestations taking place in your private and public life quite regularly. It’s not because you are seeking manifestations; it’s because you have stopped reading about raising the dead and started trying to do it.

- Hebrews 1:7: “He makes his angels spirits, and his servants flames of fire.”

The only thing the statement “you should not seek manifestations” does is give you permission to be lukewarm.

Abraham's test, and the test for the entire body of Christ, as we speak, is this:

“Do you know me, or do you just know the book?”

That's the very question that Abraham was faced with. He was forced to look past whatever fixed reference he had about God at the time and reason it out like this:

1. I know that was the voice of God that told me to sacrifice my son.
2. I know He is good and He would never require my son at my own hands.
3. Therefore, I don't understand what He's up to, but I'm sure if I obey Him, He will either stop me or just raise him from the dead, so I'll just trust Him and see how it works out.

And so, we read Abraham's amazing faith proclamation:

- Verse 5: “And Abraham said to his young men, ‘Stay here with the donkey; the lad and I will go yonder and worship, and we will come back to you.’ He's going up to kill his son, but he's saying “we” will come back to you.”

Abraham had to choose between trusting in who he knew God to be, or what God had given to man up to that point. He couldn't embrace both as he normally did. He had to choose one or the other.

The Bible change test requires the same choice from today's believer. The biblical paradoxes and the wildly unfamiliar passages are similar to the voice of God telling you to sacrifice your Isaac (the Bible). But the righteous demands of God in the Scriptures also seem to be demanding that you ignore the voice and obey the Word.

It's a galactic “which came first, the chicken or the egg?” question. If you knew it was God's voice, but the voice was telling you to do something that seemed to be in direct opposition to the Word, and you could only obey one, which would you give priority to—the voice or the written Word? Well, that is happening, so choose wisely, grasshopper.

I'm not talking about the married guy who claims God spoke to him and told him to run away with the young secretary. He only thinks God spoke to him because the Holy Spirit will never tell us to do something that conflicts with the Word. I'm talking about situations like:

- Matthew 1:20-21: Joseph being told by the angel not to put away his wife Mary.
- Genesis 22:1-19: Abraham told to kill his only son.
- 1 Samuel 16:1-5: God told Samuel to basically lie to Saul so that Saul wouldn't kill him when he went to anoint David as king.
- Exodus 11-12: Moses called down plagues on Egypt. These plagues included inflicting suffering on innocent Egyptians, such as the death of the firstborn.
- Joshua 6-11: God commanded Joshua to conquer the land of Canaan, which involved the destruction of cities and the killing of their inhabitants, including women and children.
- Hosea 1-3: God instructed the prophet Hosea to marry a woman who would later become a prostitute, as a symbolic representation of Israel's unfaithfulness to God.
- 2 Kings 2:23-25: When Elisha was mocked by a group of youths, God allowed two female bears to maul forty-two of them.
- Jeremiah 27: God instructs Jeremiah to tell the kings of neighboring nations to submit to Babylonian rule, even though Jeremiah knew that the Babylonian conquest was God's punishment for Judah's sins.

So, I will ask you again. If you knew it was God telling you to do something that seemed to contradict everything you knew about God, would you follow the voice or not?

Before you answer, remember what God is saying. He is asking, "Do you know me and my voice, or do you just know the book?" I think we all know what the correct answer should be. And you may argue that they are not mutually exclusive. You say, "I hold the scriptures in high regard, and I also hear His voice."

OK, but God is then also asking: “How unlike Me will this book have to get before you finally admit that something is going on?” How strange and unlike God does it have to get before you cross over from your rigid, doctrine-based religion?

Satan is speaking “great swelling words” at you from your Bible, and you don’t even recognize His voice. (It used to say “great swelling words.”)

- Daniel 7:25 “And he shall speak great words against the most High, and shall wear out the saints of the most High...”

Jesus did not say my sheep know my word, He said:

- John 10:27: “My sheep listen to my voice; I know them, and they follow me.”
- John 10:5: “But they will never follow a stranger; in fact, they will run away from him because they do not recognize a stranger’s voice.”

If you remain in the “Bible can’t change” camp, you will be following the voice of the stranger from here on in. You’re going to have to get over the idea that this can’t be happening because the devil isn’t powerful enough, or that God would never allow it. It’s God, that’s allowing it!

Following Abraham’s lead however, will bring revival to all that do. We know because it has for all of us. We have all had to admit that we had become very cerebral in our attempt to follow the Master.

This event has revealed that to us and has been the greatest thing to ever happen to our walk with the Lord.

Is your name Nicodemus?

The Supernatural Bible changes are in your face in the same way that Jesus was to the Pharisees. In the Pharisees’ day, Jesus came, and they didn’t recognize Him. And now, through the Bible changes, the familiar voice of the master is leaving, and the church hasn’t even noticed. The church’s response to this has been identical to the Pharisees but in reverse.

Except for Nicodemus, the Pharisees couldn’t see Jesus even when He reasoned with them.

Today, it's the same thing. How many will deflect even a comprehensive thesis like this and still be able to deny what is obviously happening?

Whatever sphere of nobility that God was operating in with Abraham, it is hidden to us. Whatever cloak of higher purpose that He wore through that trial, it was a secret to us. God's ways are past finding out, and we are forced to override our reason and choose to conclude, as Abraham did, that God is righteous and true even though what is being presented to us seems to be very unrighteous.

You will not have all the answers in this present-day trial either. You must shift your allegiance from the rigid moorings of a book-based religion to a more dynamic heart relationship that is action-based. The Bible changes are leading us away from what we thought was the sure foundation of our faith. We have all been deceived into thinking that the Bible is the sure foundation of our faith when it's actually Christ.

- 1 Corinthians 3:11: "For no other foundation can anyone lay than that which is laid, which is Jesus Christ."

The American church is like Adam and Eve when they were hiding behind the bushes. God is coaxing you to come out into the open, but you are afraid. You're like a high school kid who is standing against the wall at the school dance. You want to walk up and ask Charleen Banister if she would like to dance, but you are terrified. You want to see miracles of healing in your congregation on Sunday morning. What shepherd wouldn't want that? But you know that if you try, nothing will happen and you'll be embarrassed.

That's true, but very often, God's servant must pass through the winepress of humility before He will extend His scepter. Keep at it, and blind eyes will begin to open. I started praying for people on my live streams for over a year before anything happened. Now we've had eight verified miracles in the last three months. Glory to God! See the "Miracle testimonies" link on alteredbible.com.

For most of us, our relationship with Christ has been superficial and has not been marked by consistent visceral interactions with a living Christ whose eyes are like flames of fire.

Is your name Martha?

The church, is being shown a mountain of corrupt scriptures that are blatantly unfamiliar and unlike God. I believe He is coaxing His Bride to finally look past their long-held dogmas and see that He is trying to get their attention, just like He did with Abraham.

He needs to get our attention because the Bible has become an idol and the last days are going to be a wild ride. Christians have turned the Bible into a self-help book. A vehicle to support their social scene. A substitute for the hard work of allowing the dealings of God in your heart. You go to the word to fix your problems, not to commune with the author of the word. You feel the reason you have problems is because you don't know enough, so you go to the scriptures to get more information so you can figure out all your problems. Instead of doing what the Bible says, which is to "put on Christ." (Romans 13:14)

Or worse, you use your frantic pace of Christian activities, Bible studies, and worship music to paper over your willingness to continually tolerate your uncontrollable anger and deep resentments. You are strangely tolerant of your unbridled addiction to porn and auto-eroticism because you think it's offset by your faithfulness to your frantic schedule of Christian activities. In your mind, your heavy reliance on alcohol or other substances to get you through the day is wrong, but you offset the conviction that you feel by the idea that "God knows you are in the game, bless God!" After all, you are at every Bible study and men's group meeting.

You comfort yourself with your outward show of religion as you hide behind a mountain of theological expertise that you have accumulated. But when you read things like this, you know you need to be revolutionized if you are ever going to make it.

- 1 John 3:15: "Whoever hates his brother is a murderer, and you know that no murderer has eternal life abiding in him."

Many are actually using their accumulated Bible knowledge as a shield to fend off God's arrows of conviction. Many are like Martha, who have concerned themselves with many things.

But the things that they are concerned with are outward activities instead of the hidden places of the heart. But the Bible changes are challenging us to come out of our trance and realize that our intellect has been our God.

God's purpose in all of this was not to test Abraham's loyalty. It was a test of his knowledge of who God was. Even though God was purposely presenting Himself as being unrighteous, God expected Abraham to see past that to his encounters with God, his personal, intimate knowledge of God, and to relegate this to something that he didn't understand, not God's unrighteousness.

God wanted Abraham to base his loyalty on only one foundation: his personal knowledge of Him and not the writings about Him.

This is what God wants from us in this hour, and that is one of the reasons that He is allowing the scriptures to be so terribly corrupted. What Abraham demonstrated is to be the hallmark of all believers: if we are confronted with a question about God that we don't like or don't understand, we are to choose not to blame Him, but instead we are to blame our limited capacity to grasp who God is and His ways.

The true saint concludes, "It's not that God is unjust; it's that I just don't understand. One thing I know for certain is that God is good, so if there is a controversy, it is with me."

You see this so perfectly portrayed in the voices of the martyrs in the book of Revelation.

At first, they seem to hold God in derision:

- **Revelation 6:10:** "And they cried with a loud voice, saying, How long, O Lord, holy and true, until You judge and avenge our blood on those who dwell on the earth?"

But then they seem to come to themselves and say:

- **Revelation 16:7:** "And I heard another out of the altar say, Even so, (nevertheless) Lord God Almighty, true and righteous are thy judgments."

"Even so" or "nevertheless" is the operating system of the true believer. "I don't see how God could possibly allow the Bible to be changed, but nevertheless, thy judgments are right and true."

How do you reconcile the decision of God to use duplicity to test His servant? Doesn't that undermine your ability to trust Him?

Apparently, it did not for Abraham, and it shouldn't undermine your ability to properly evaluate the evidence that is being presented to you in this hour because that is what almost all church leaders and believers are doing.

We in the Mandela Effect community see you as being so willfully ignorant and so irrational that you are acting like zombies, like someone under a spell. You are an embarrassment to the ideal of being a truth bearer. We ask pastors, "Hey pastor, who laid down with the lamb?" and they all say, "lion."

Then, when you open up the Bible and it says "wolf," we watch your fear and pride rise up, and you pull out your superhero cape and become "Commentary Man."

We watch you bold-faced lie to us and yourself as you commence to try to explain the catastrophic memory failure that you just experienced, by pontificating on why "the wolf" is supposed to be there, and why it's a symbol of evil and blah blah blah. No! When you saw that the wolf was laying down with the lamb you were visibly shaken, and you know it.

That is the moment when you must decide to obey the voice of God instead of the word. Unfortunately, we watch most pastors flinch and choose the word instead. They instinctively understand that accepting a Bible change narrative is going to equal pain on par with the Spanish Inquisition.

So they instantly get into bed with the harlot of willful ignorance.

At that moment, many of us have seen people get what we call "the download." This is a supernatural, creepy event that is right out of an *Invasion of the Body Snatchers* movie scene.

If someone chooses willful ignorance, they will very often get glassy-eyed for a second, their head tilts to the side with a little shake, and then they say something like, "Oh yeah, actually, now that I think of it, I do remember it always being 'wolf.'"

Those of us who have witnessed "the download" have had our blood turn to ice water as we watched it happen. It's as though there is an ethereal Google search engine in the atmosphere that is listening to people's souls. When they are confronted with choosing the truth about the Bible changes, they will be seized upon by an implanted thought if they don't follow the dictates of integrity. It appears to be a fulfillment of the curse in:

- 2 Thessalonians 2:11-12: “And for this reason God will send them strong delusion, that they should believe the lie, that they all may be condemned who did not believe the truth but had pleasure in unrighteousness.”

When you learned that “The Lord giveth and the Lord taketh away” and “Judge not lest ye be judged” were not in your KJV Bible, you should have had a “slam on the brakes” response.

You should be able to conclude within a few examples that the Bible you have lived with your whole life is no longer the Bible you hold in your hands. But you have a whore’s forehead, (Jeremiah 3:3) and you have stiffened your neck to the only obvious rational conclusion. Where is the discernment? You have violated your conscience, and your integrity is compromised. Now your KJV says that God’s people can sacrifice turtles (Leviticus 12:8). They can sacrifice female sheep (Leviticus 4:32), Jesus is spitting in people’s faces to heal them (Mark 8:23), there are two men in a bed and two women grinding (Luke 17:34-35), men are breastfeeding (Isaiah 49:23), Jesus is slaying those that won’t follow Him (Luke 19:27), and people are drinking their own piss and eating their own dung (2 Kings 18:27)

What God is this that you now serve? I do not know Him. God’s voice is calling you to come out from being ruled by reason. He is allowing His familiar voice to be replaced by the voice of a stranger, but you do not seem to recognize the stranger appearing in your Bibles. You are blinded by theological complexities.

There is an invader in the inner sanctums of your home, and you do not notice. For many, the Bible that you read from is worn and threadbare. It is a familiar friend. You know its look, its feel, and its smell. But you are like someone who opened it one morning and found that all of the pages are now pink with green polka dots.

And somehow, you are able to convince yourself that it’s always been that way. You have shut off your brain in an ungodly way.

You are dragging a Trojan horse into your sanctuary every service now, and you don’t even notice. But many who follow you are noticing. We watch you from the congregation and wonder, “How can he not see?” For many, the ministry of the word has become a cringe-worthy event.

All we do is listen and wait for the changes that will inevitably be displayed on the screen or come out of the mouths of our shepherds. We hope that this time it will be different. We look around to see if anyone else in the room noticed, but no one does.

Walking with Jesus without a Bible

There is Christianity, and then there are the records of Christianity. Walking with Jesus after His death was accomplished without anything resembling the Bible for a very long time. The first complete printed English Bible wasn't produced until 1526 by Tyndale.

Well, if that is true, how did Christians get anyone saved or disciple anyone without a Bible before that? Given the way most preachers position the Bible nowadays, you would have to believe that evangelism and discipleship during that time would have been impossible. The emphasis for many has shifted away from walking with the Man from Galilee to knowing your Bible. And a wrong emphasis is a wrong doctrine. When Jesus was in the wilderness with Satan, Jesus told him, "It is also written."

Theologians, apologists, false prophet hunters, and biblical policemen are always dissecting the scripture, always using the terms "exegesis" and "hermeneutics" because it makes them feel important. It makes them feel they are operating in a more advanced form of Christianity, and therefore, they are closer to God.

But when is the last time any of these people heard the voice of God? You never hear them say "God spoke to me." When is the last time any of these doctrine police led someone to the Lord or got someone healed? No, they have substituted a knowledge of God for head knowledge because that's easier than dealing with your sins or living a strict, fasted life so that you can begin committing God publicly to heal people with down syndrome or blindness.

Instead, they have bludgeoned us with the finality and permanence of the Bible and the overarching importance of the Bible in our walk with Christ. They have become connoisseurs of precision, constantly jousting about how to rightly divide the word. They have taught us to search the Scriptures, for in them they believe they will find eternal life.

They've made the whole of our religion knowing the Bible. What is stressed by these biblical scholars is the importance of knowing and adhering to the scripture in order to know God, instead of knowing God in the fires of revival and then drawing confirmation from the scriptures about what we are experiencing.

To propagate this lifeless religion they have cultivated a culture of counterfeit dignity that demonizes any one that has paid the price to operate in the realms of glory publically.

The scriptures are often accounts of the supernatural dealings of God with man. Therefore, you cannot properly appreciate what you are reading in the Bible unless you are in the fires of revival yourself. The Bible will automatically default to a lifeless book of rules that does not bring life to the reader if you have the cart before the horse, as it has been for most of us.

There is very little emphasis or space created for a consistent power encounter in most churches. We are rarely encouraged to learn to hear the "Word of God." I'm talking about hearing God's voice, not a sermon about His voice. We are not inculcated into expecting to hear from God regularly. That tendency is so prevalent that if you suggest God is giving you specific words on any regular basis, you will be castigated as a faker.

It's all about the logos or written word. I understand God speaks to us through His word by giving us revelation knowledge, and there is life in that. But there is no life in all your intellectual wrangling. You are so lost in the granular details of this exact context or that original meaning of the word from the concordance that you have stopped trying to hear from God. You don't even try to demonstrate the gospel in your meetings. You do not wait on the Holy Spirit to have His way.

You don't expect Him to move in your meetings, and if He does manage to muscle His way in there, you make sure to quickly snuff it out to maintain decorum.

You don't need Him anymore; you have all your study books. Instead of making a place for a visceral encounter with the Holy Spirit, you seek significance from dissecting the scriptures and criticizing other believers who are ministering the life and salvation of God. Or maybe you yell a lot. I've sat in a lot of services where the culture was to yell and scream a lot because it makes it seem like God is moving.

But many of us have just become puffed up with knowledge and jealous of people who actually know Him and are known by Him. Your ministry is a vacuous, boring routine of rituals and observing decorum. No one runs to your altar calls and nothing unexpected happens. There are no signs and wonders as there should be.

The only signs you have in your meetings are the no-parking signs in your church parking lot. You think all signs and wonders are, at best, a distraction or, at worst, all “lying signs and wonders.” (Oh, sorry...it’s now “signs and lying wonders.” The devil reversed it with his magic pen.)

The Pharisees were jealous. When Jesus was healing people, the Pharisees were like, “Uh, we’ve got to stop this guy. He’s making us look bad. We will lose the iron grip we have over the people.”

- John 11:47 “Then gathered the chief priests and the Pharisees a council, and said, What do we? for this man doeth many miracles. If we let him thus alone, all men will believe on him: and the Romans shall come and take away both our place and nation.

Jesus also pointed out that they were focused on all the minutia, but ignored the main event:

- Matthew 23:23: “What sorrow awaits you teachers of religious law and you Pharisees. Hypocrites! For you are careful to tithe even the tiniest income from your herb gardens, but you ignore the more important aspects of the law—justice, mercy, and faith.”

Biblical Idolatry

I think the passage that best describes most American Christians these days is:

- Acts 26:24: “And as he thus spake for himself, Festus said with a loud voice, Paul, thou art beside thyself; much learning doth make thee mad.”

Our pursuit of head knowledge has become an idol for many of us. We have become like the Athenians.

- Acts 17:21: “All the Athenians and the foreigners who lived there spent their time doing nothing but talking about and listening to the latest ideas.”

All we do is talk. We study and sing and talk and drink coffee. And we're really big on bouncy houses. Don't forget the bouncy houses.

Shrines and tabernacles

Human beings have a tendency to replace the real God with totem poles. Many have become like the Israelites when they told Moses:

- Exodus 20:19: "Speak to us yourself and we will listen. But do not have God speak to us or we will die."

The modern version of this, "don't have God speak to us," behavior is to de-emphasize or eradicate any attempts to make room for the Holy Spirit, manifestations, speaking in tongues, prophecy, miracles, deliverance, etc. It's all been replaced with singing, Bible studies, and lots and lots of talking. We have built natural shrines and tabernacles as safe places that insulate us from the supernatural realm. We've become Christian snowflakes.

Some have suggested that Satan wanted the bones of Moses because he knew that man would build a shrine to them.

- Jude 1:9: "Yet Michael the archangel, when contending with the devil he disputed about the body of Moses, durst not bring against him a railing accusation, but said, The Lord rebuke thee."

The Israelites seemed to throw up that golden calf pretty quickly. It always amazed me how they could do that right in the shadow of the mountain that God had descended onto.

How do you go from having God bring you out of bondage in Egypt, to deciding to build a cow and start bowing down to that instead.

American Christians seem afraid and put off by the glory world. In their church proceedings, it is seen as to risky because the leader loses control. They don't believe the things of God are of God. They say "he does these things by the power of Beelzebub."

Having people falling over and laughing is bad press and something to be avoided because it's sure to offend people. This is true for some but not most.

If the angel of the Lord begins to stir the waters of Bethesda in your little country church, people will come from the other side of the flat earth to visit. People are always hungry for real God.

But Mark tells us that the disciples were afraid when they watched the glory world invade the natural realm on the mount of transfiguration. Would you be willing to operate on the fringe of fear if you could experience God like they did?

Their suggestion to build tabernacles was probably their attempt to put lightning in a bottle. They wanted to control, manage, and preserve the great God Jehovah in one spot, so everyone could continue to enjoy what they just witnessed.

But God doesn't work that way. Each man has to make his own pilgrimage to the mountain of God on his own. Decide you are hungry and then go find Him in your prayer closet and wherever He is moving in the earth.

But now it would seem that the very thing that God has given us to know Him has become a shrine and a tabernacle as well. Is it possible that the Bible has become an idol?

Could that be why God has sent this redemptive judgment into the earth? Here are ten times that God demonstrated redemptive judgments in the past.

- Genesis 3:17-19 - Adam and Eve are expelled from Eden, introducing toil and suffering as a means to lead humanity back to dependence on God.
- Genesis 6:5-8 - The Flood cleanses the earth of wickedness, preserving Noah's family to restart a righteous lineage.
- Genesis 11:1-9 - The confusion of languages at Babel scatters humanity, preventing unified rebellion and encouraging reliance on God.
- Exodus 7-12 (The Plagues) - The plagues in Egypt display God's power, ultimately leading to the Israelites' liberation and faith in God.
- Numbers 21:6-9 - Fiery serpents bite the Israelites, but those who look at the bronze serpent are healed, teaching trust in God's provision.

- Judges 2:14-18 - Israel falls into oppression due to disobedience, but God raises judges to deliver them, bringing them back to Him.
- 2 Samuel 12:13-14 - David faces the consequences of his sin with Bathsheba, but God uses the judgment to lead David to repentance and restoration.
- Jonah 1:17 - Jonah is swallowed by a great fish, giving him a chance to repent and fulfill God's mission to Nineveh.
- Hosea 2:6-7 - God hedges Israel with thorns, blocking their sinful paths to lead them back to seeking Him.
- 1 Corinthians 5:5 - Paul instructs the church to hand a sinner over to Satan for the destruction of the flesh, so that his spirit may be saved.

Idols in the heart

Ezekiel 14:1 is the perfect picture of how God may respond to us if we allow the Bible to become a substitute for letting Him have full sway with us. Many church leaders have organized the Holy Spirit right out of their meetings and have scared everyone into rejecting anything supernatural that isn't itemized in the scriptures.

If there is a revival somewhere in the earth, Megamind Christians with a PhD demon will be sure to seize upon it in the most sanctified tones using the following three attack vectors:

1. Criticize the manifestations
2. Criticize the doctrine or character of the leaders or participants
3. Criticize using a variety of "miracle cancellation doctrines." (I covered these earlier in this chapter)

What is typically ignored by anti-revival apologists is the testimonies. When I ask them to explain how the devil could be responsible for so many documented physical healings, deliverances, and new souls being born into the kingdom, they are silent. The true litmus test of the authenticity of a move of God is the fruit that comes from it, not the fireworks.

Jonathan Edwards was directly responsible for the First Great Awakening and wrote one of the most important treatments on how to discern if manifestations are from the Lord. It's called "Distinguishing Marks of a Work of the Spirit of God."

In it, he writes, "...therefore it is a vain thing to make a great deal of such a matter, as the work's being carried on in a way that is very unusual, so long as there are good and plain evidences of the work being from the Spirit of God. We ought not to limit God where He hath not limited Himself."

We should not be saying, "If I can't find this manifestation in the Bible, then it's not of God." We should rather say, "If the Bible doesn't specifically forbid it, and there is good fruit such as souls being saved, healed, and delivered, then it's almost certainly of God."

He goes on to explain why it's ill-advised to evaluate the authenticity of a move of God based on the unusual nature of any manifestations or even the doctrine or character of the leaders.

J. Edwards writes: "It is no sign that a work is not from the Spirit of God, in that many who seem to be the subjects of it are guilty of great imprudence and irregularities in their conduct.

Sometimes a legitimate work of God in the hearts of His people can be accompanied by falsehood, error, and other products of the devil. These do not disqualify the acts of God. "Nor are many errors in judgment and some delusions of Satan that have intermixed with the work any argument that the work in general is not of the Spirit of God." A new saint is not yet a perfected saint. The Holy Spirit does not cast out all sin at once. A Christian can believe wrong things and even teach wrong things, though he should be corrected over time. Apparently, our Lord has been pleased to allow an intermingling of the corruptible with the incorruptible, "where grace dwells with so much corruption, and the new man and the old man exist together in the same person, and the kingdom of God and the kingdom of the devil remain for a while together in the same heart."

Most church leaders and Christians continually run God off by demanding everything be done decently and in order, and He's had enough. He's now doing again what He did in Ezekiel. He's allowing the Bible to be scrambled to confound you and your little peanut brain. He wants to be "God-like" in your meetings.

“God-like” means unexpected, unexplainable, overwhelming, mysterious, completely dominating and in control, awe-inspiring, wondrous, beyond words, breathtaking, and life-changing.

“God-like” is when something from another world suddenly invades the room that you are in. You know God showed up in your meeting when, instead of people saying “it was a great sermon,” or “the worship was great,” they will say, “God was there.” I’m not talking about someone having three goosebumps or people being blessed. We’ve all experienced being blessed.

I’m talking about:

- 2 Chronicles 5:13: “...that the house of the Lord was filled with a cloud, so that the priests could not continue ministering because of the cloud; for the glory of the Lord filled the house of God.”

That’s what it’s like in revival and overseas in the mission field, and you know it. Don’t you think God wants to do that here? When are we going to get fed up with settling for crumbs?

- Ezekiel 14:1: “Now some of the elders of Israel came to me and sat before me. And the word of the Lord came to me, saying, ‘Son of man, these men have set up their idols in their hearts and put before them that which causes them to stumble into iniquity. Should I let Myself be inquired of at all by them?’”

This encapsulates God’s decision to allow the Bible to be changed in its entirety. You might not believe the thing that God gave us to know and obey Him could possibly become the thing that is described here:

- Ezekiel 14:1 “...these men have set up their idols in their hearts and put before them that which causes them to stumble into iniquity.”

But it would seem that the supernatural Bible changes are revealing to us that we have set up the Bible as an idol in our hearts and it has caused us to stumble into iniquity. The iniquity of an intellectual, miracle free gospel.

So God asks the same question today as He did in Ezekiel’s day: “Should I let Myself be inquired of at all by them?” And the rhetorical answer is essentially no.

So He is scrambling the Good Book so you can't be inquired of Him anymore, at least not the way you have been inquiring of Him up until now.

The pastor, in most cases, is a Jedi Knight-level normie and typically wants nothing to do with your little conspiracy theories. The supernatural Bible changes definitely fall into that same category in their minds. They see it as internet foolishness that is to be ignored. If you try to reason with them about things like the fake moon landing, 9/11, flat earth, or chemtrails, they will switch on their force field and send you packing. They know what embracing these types of things will mean to their reputation, and they will haul out everything in their pastoral bag of tricks to avoid those discussions. You believe the shock and awe approach will work to get them to join your posse, but you are mistaken.

You reason in your mind that if you give them enough examples of how NASA faked the moon landing, they will crumble under the weight of the evidence. Unfortunately, that's not what you get. Instead, when it becomes obvious to them that your evidence is undeniable, they will retreat to some sort of ridiculous retort like, "I just want to focus on souls."

Or they will ask you, "What difference does it make if the Earth is flat? There are a lot of hurting people in the church that need my help and attention." Well, what difference did it make when you didn't listen to us about the clot shot? You lined your people up to receive that bioweapon, and now you have buried a bunch of them.

When you try to address these searing truths about the realm with your pastor, your meeting will be cut short, and you will be left standing there with your nerve endings hanging out. They will try to convince you that these things don't matter, but the only way that you can believe that is if you are convinced they aren't the truth. A flat, stationary earth with a dome over it proves the existence of a creator; how can you say that doesn't matter?

Unfortunately, the response that you get will almost always be laced with an attempt to shame you into silence by invoking the term conspiracy theory. You will also hear other terms like crazy, unproven, deception, and the like. They will change the subject, use sophistry, and display such disdain for the truth that you will probably lose all respect for them.

It's not uncommon for them to become agitated with you. If you persist, it is not uncommon for the conspiracy theorist to be excommunicated, as I illustrated with the testimony at the beginning of the book.

Of course, the term conspiracy theorist is a character assassination term designed to shame you into silence. What pastors don't realize is that the sentiment behind calling someone a conspiracy theorist and calling them a fool is essentially synonymous. This type of reprehensible behavior is strictly forbidden by Jesus in Matthew 5:22.

- Matthew 5:22 “But I say to you that whoever is angry with his brother without a cause shall be in danger of the judgment. And whoever says to his brother, ‘Raca!’ shall be in danger of the council. But whoever says, ‘You fool!’ shall be in danger of hell fire.”

The days of church leaders avoiding these third rail topics is finally coming to an end however. Your house is on fire, pastor, and you are going to have to choose a side. Is the earth flat or not? Yes, or no? If you don't allow an open dialogue on this and other topics then I'm leaving the church and there are a bunch of donors that are leaving with me. Is the Bible changing or not? No more intimidation and throwing your pastoral weight around. This is a sheep and goats' moment in history. This is too important, and there are too many of us to be ignored any longer.

You now have this book to give you everything you need to formulate your approach to church leaders on this topic. Just start by asking them to explain the biblical paradoxes in the first chapter and see how they handle that. I even outline how they will respond if they lack integrity so you will be ready for them. I suggest that all the flat earth, NASA-is-fake, Illuminati-runs-the-world kooks' band together and storm the Bastille.

Organize and approach the pastor in love and grace, but tell him you want open discussions on these topics, or you will be forced to withdraw your allegiance. If the pastor isn't even willing to openly discuss these things, then he is a co-conspirator himself and no longer deserves your allegiance or your tithes.

Do not mistake my tone for someone who has a general disdain and distrust of church leaders. That is not who I am. I have spent the better part of thirty years in full or part-time ministry.

I haven't just been in the church; I've been on the platform in the church during most of that time. I have worked and lived with pastors and church leaders and know them to be good, humble servants of the Most High God for the most part. I have been one myself.

I am not like the atheist who has a chip on his shoulder and wants to bring dishonor to God's name or the church. Instead, I love God's people and have a zeal for His house. If what I'm saying doesn't apply to you, then let it go past you and find its mark on the poor soul that's been hiding in the shadows from these topics. Christian truthers are calling out church leaders in this hour and demanding truth and reconciliation.

We want authenticity and we want God to show up in our meetings. We don't want to just be professing Christians anymore. We want the fire of God, and we don't want to be like those that Jesus spoke of when He said:

- Matthew 15:8 “These people draw near to Me with their mouth, And honor Me with their lips, But their heart is far from Me.

Speaking of Ezekiel 14:1, Matthew Henry's Concise Commentary said it best:

- “No outward form or reformation can be acceptable to God, so long as any idol possesses the heart; yet how many prefer their own devices and their own righteousness, to the way of salvation!”

How would you feel if your spouse never spent any time with you, but instead just watched home movies and poured over photo albums about you? I think you would eventually hide them so they'd get the message.

In verse 3 we read:

- Ezekiel 14:3: “Everyone of the house of Israel who sets up his idols in his heart, and puts before him what causes him to stumble into iniquity, and then comes to the prophet, I the Lord will answer him who comes, according to the multitude of his idols.”

A supernaturally changed Bible is God answering the over-systematically theologized church according to their idols.

God is saying: “So, you want to systemize me and organize me right out the door? Well, I’m going to reel you in on that just a smidgen. My ways are past finding out, and so, I’m going to go all ‘tower of Babel’ on you and scramble all your little systematic theologies. I’m going to restore laughter to my people, and I’m not going to arrive in a package that you are familiar with because your starchy religious decorum is not what I require. What makes you think that joy, laughter, and unbridled exhilaration is irreverent? Did I not say that you must come as little children? (Matthew 18:3)

God can be known, but He cannot be understood. He will always remain God, and His interactions in revival will always be strangely off-putting to the natural mind on purpose. Being drunk in the spirit offends most people because they want to be God themselves, but allowing the Holy Spirit to have His way in our meetings requires us to relinquish that control.

Part of God’s strategy to draw us out of ourselves, is to set up roadblocks that require you to have to humble yourself before you can learn the lesson.

- Matthew 21:42-44: “Jesus said to them, ‘Have you never read in the Scriptures: “The stone that the builders rejected has become the cornerstone; this was the Lord’s doing, and it is marvelous in our eyes?” Therefore I tell you, the kingdom of God will be taken away from you and given to a people producing its fruits. And the one who falls on this stone will be broken to pieces; and when it falls on anyone, it will crush him.’” See also 1 Corinthians 1:22-24 and John 6:60-61.

And so God is now saying, if you want to be discipled in the post-canonized scripture church age, come directly to me, like you should have been doing all along. God is weary of playing second fiddle and being manhandled, so He just busted out. He says:

Systemize this

- Isaiah 40:12-14: “Who can fathom the Spirit of the Lord, or instruct the Lord as his counsellor?”
- Psalm 147:4-5: “He determines the number of the stars and calls them each by name. Great is our Lord and mighty in power; his understanding has no limit.”

-
- Romans 11:33-36: “Oh, the depth of the riches of the wisdom and knowledge of God! How unsearchable his judgments, and his paths beyond tracing out!”
 - Job 11:7-9: “Can you fathom the mysteries of God? Can you probe the limits of the Almighty?”
 - Psalm 104:1-2: “Praise the Lord, my soul. Lord my God, you are very great; you are clothed with splendor and majesty. The Lord wraps himself in light as with a garment; he stretches out the heavens like a tent.”

When it comes to the Bible changes idea, most church leaders and Christians continuously display an astonishing unwillingness to acknowledge the obvious. Admitting that the Bible is changing would mean giving up their adulterous affair with Jezebel and their dysfunctional reliance on the scriptures to run their operation. They would have to give up control.

God can't move in your services because many things He would do would not be considered decently and in order by you. You are Mr. Decently and in order, but revival is messy.

John Wesley concluded, “Lord, send us revival without its defects, but if this is not possible, send revival, defects and all.”

The Bible changes and revival are both huge disruptions to business as usual in the church. The Bible changes will upend everything in your kingdom from the top down and will force you to humble yourself under the mighty hand of God (1 Peter 5:6). You will lose control and will have to operate on the fringe of your comfort zone.

You will have to change the way you view the scriptures, and the emphasis you now have on the supernatural will probably have to change as well. You will have to repent of your default negative attitude towards basically all ministries that seem to be touching the realms of glory by demonstrating healings, miracles, and deliverances on any kind of regular basis in public. Try suggesting to most American Christians that things like gold dust appearing on people is from heaven, and see what kind of response you get?

I can tell you with a hundred percent certainty that the gold dust is real.

I had just finished leading worship at a conference one time, and I had stepped down beside the stage to rest and watch from there. I was looking up at the lights above the stage because they reminded me of when I was in New York with Dave Wilkerson in the Mark Hellinger Theater on Broadway.

I was probably looking at the lights for about two minutes when all of a sudden, I began to see dust coming down from where the lights were. It was very sudden and very noticeable. At first, I thought maybe someone had thrown something up there and hit the lights and dust was shaking off of them. But after about twenty seconds as the dust came down, the guy that was speaking suddenly said, “Oh the gold dust is falling on me right now, the gold dust is falling on me.” I literally saw it come out of nowhere and fall on the guy that was speaking. You can try to suggest that this kind of thing could be a trick of the Devil but you would be dangerously close to the unpardonable sin if you do.

Think about it. How is that kind of thinking not identical to the kind of thinking displayed by the pharisees?

- Mark 12:22 Then a demon-possessed man who was blind and mute was brought to Jesus, and He healed the man so that he could speak and see. The crowds were astounded and asked, “Could this be the Son of David?”
- But when the Pharisees heard this, they said, “Only by Beelzebub, the prince of demons, does this man drive out demons.”

So how did Jesus feel about that kind of thinking?

- Mark 12:31 Therefore I tell you, every sin and blasphemy will be forgiven men, but the blasphemy against the Spirit will not be forgiven. Whoever speaks a word against the Son of Man will be forgiven, but whoever speaks against the Holy Spirit will not be forgiven, either in this age or in the one to come.

The supernatural Bible changes are going to require some very scholarly people to rethink everything about how they run their ministries. There is a very clear correlation between the Bible changes and revival. Those who embrace this event, go public, and decide they are not going to tolerate a miracle-free walk with God any longer, are going to participate in whatever God has for this last days’ church.

-
- 2 Chronicles 16:9: “For the eyes of the LORD run to and fro throughout the whole earth, to shew himself strong in the behalf of them whose heart is perfect toward him.”

For many of us, the Bible changes have revealed that we have led a self-directed life, plotting and planning and relying on our own talents and accumulated knowledge. We have not been guided by the central theme of Christ’s ministry:

- John 5:19: “The Son can do nothing of Himself.”

Chapter 4 – Doctrine of preservation

What is the DOP...really?

If you are ever going to give yourself permission to consider the Bible change scenario, you are going to first need to be willing to explore the idea that the Doctrine of Preservation (DOP) may not be as rock solid as we have all been led to believe. I think many will find as I did, that its claims leave something to be desired.

Have you ever really studied the DOP yourself in any detail? As someone who has been in full or part-time ministry for the better part of thirty years, I can say that the DOP was something that I adopted without giving it the consideration that it deserved.

I was like most of us; I believed what we were told from the front of the room. The message that we have all been given goes something like this: The minister would hold up his authorized KJV Bible and proclaim, “I hold in my hand God’s eternal, unchanging word!”

But is that true? Isn’t he holding up a book that we call the Bible? And what is the Bible? Is it not a collection of books that we call the Scriptures? And is it not true to say that the Scriptures contain the “Word of God,” or the Words of God?

When stated this way, you are acknowledging what most Christian Mandelites have concluded for the first time. That is that the terms “Bible,” “Scripture,” and the phrase “Word of God” do not mean the same thing.

This is another thing that I never even questioned. It never dawned on me to make a distinction between these three terms. Why that is, I do not know.

Another way of looking at this would be to consider that the author received the "Word of God" before it was written down in the majority of situations. The prophets would say, “And the word of the Lord came to me saying....” So, the real meaning of the term “Word of God,” at least when it was recorded, was the experience of having God actually speaking to them. The “Word of God” can be God’s voice in your ear saying, “Here is the way, walk ye in it” (Isaiah 30:21).

The term may mean other things, but at least in some cases, it refers to a supernatural event that transpired in the past.

Has God ever spoken to you? If He did, you probably told someone about it. And when you did, you said something like this: “God spoke to me the other day.” That experience is what the Bible authors call the “Word of God.” When you read the term “the Word of God” in your Bible, you can think of it as an event and not as something that is written down in a book.

A lemon is a fruit with a sour taste, but in America, it can also refer to a car with a lot of problems. So, a term may not mean what you think it means.

The Word of God as a category

As we will see, the term “Word of God” can mean many things. But one way that it is used is as a label that essentially assigns a category to what the writings are talking about. In this sense, the term “the Word of God” distinguishes the writings from the “word of man.” The term “the Word of God” defines the type of content that the scriptures hold. It tells us that these writings contain the very thoughts, feelings, and decrees of God.

The reader understands that this is not literature written by men. This realization strikes fear into the heart of man and establishes the Bible’s claims as the final authority in all matters.

The term “Word of God” can act like a section of a supermarket. You might find yourself in the produce section where you can expect to find only certain items. When you go to the scriptures, you know that its contents are exclusive to things pertaining to the Kingdom of Heaven.

But the term “Word of God” has clearly been bastardized to paint the scriptures with a broad brush that has led us astray. This unhealthy overemphasis on labeling the scriptures as the “Word of God” has deified the Bible and seductively drawn men’s hearts away from its author.

Of course, we all understand that those communications from God were written down and preserved for our posterity.

And we, in turn, have all referred to these writings as the “Word of God.” But is it not true that when most Christians read the term “the Word of God” in their Bibles, they automatically convert that in their minds to their Bible in English?

I intend to show that although it can mean what has been written, it does not always mean that.

I believe that we have been slow-boiled into reinterpreting this term into something very misleading and inaccurate.

To assert that the Bible is the “Word of God” would be similar to arguing that the recipe in a cookbook is the food. If we are going to rightly divide the word on this topic, we are going to have to re-examine centuries of sloppy doctrine and at least be willing to consider what I’m saying. Instead of saying that the scripture is the “Word of God,” it would be more accurate to say that it contains the “Word of God.”

- Proverbs 12:18 “The words of the reckless pierce like swords...”

The scripture is not the direct word itself, just as a recipe book contains directions for preparing food but is not the food itself. Do you say, “I hold in my hand beef stroganoff”? No! We should say, “I hold in my hand the recipe book that tells me how to make beef stroganoff.” We should say, “I hold in my hands the scriptures, which contain the Words of God as given to the prophets.”

I know this is infuriating some people, and you are confident that you can show how the scriptures are the “Word of God,” but keep reading, because this line of thinking is going to get harder to refute as we go further.

1 Thessalonians 2:13 is often cited as a proof text that the scriptures are the “Word of God,” but this passage is nothing of the kind. They are not saying that the scriptures are the Word of God; they are saying that the message that they provided orally was received as the “Word of God.” Read it for yourself.

- 1 Thessalonians 2:13 NLT “For this cause also thank we God without ceasing, because, when ye received the word of God which ye heard of us, ye received *it* not *as* the word of men, but as it is in truth, the word of God, which effectually worketh also in you that believe.”

As I began to look into the claims made on behalf of the Doctrine of Preservation (DOP), it became clear that its proponents were playing fast and loose with their terminology and interpretation of the supposed preservation promises. What I will attempt to do is tap the brakes and take an unvarnished look at what is being taught on this topic.

I don't think it will be hard for most to see that there is very little meat on the bones of the DOP, and there seems to be an agenda behind its supporters.

In this chapter, we are going to take a closer look at what is being preserved, how it's being preserved, where it's being preserved, and for how long it's being preserved.

As an example, if you look at Psalms 119:89, you will see three of these four components. What is being preserved is referred to as "thy word." How it's being preserved, however, is not disclosed in the text.

In other words, the passage does not say, "Thy word is forever settled on an indelible golden scroll in heaven." The duration of the preservation promise is "forever." And the location where it is being preserved is shown to be "in heaven." I will unpack the significance of what this passage is really telling us in the chapter entitled "DOP Scriptures Refuted."

What is "the word?" Let's begin by looking more closely at what is being preserved. Virtually all promises of preservation pertain to the "Word" being preserved. If you are looking for a passage that defines what that term "Word" means, you need to look no further than the gospel of John, chapter one.

In John 1:1, we are told:

- John 1:1 "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God."

If you are going to interpret this passage literally, then you would have to admit, at least in this case, that the definition of the term "Word of God" means "God." "And the Word was with God, and the Word was God." In John's gospel, we are told that the term "Word of God" does not mean your KJV Bible.

What other conclusion can you draw if the scripture is telling you that the Word was God? You are forced to conclude somehow, this book is telling us that these writings are God.

But that doesn't make sense. It seems to be personifying words on a page. But how can words on a page be a person? Or how can words on a page be God? But that's what it seems to be clearly saying.

I'm certain that this observation represents a profound shift in the lexicon of most believers as they continue to read their Bibles. No more will you be able to instantly convert the term "Word of God" into your Bible as you have always done.

I'm not sure what it means, but I know what it doesn't mean. If the Word is God, then it can't always be synonymous with the scriptures. The term could have a dual meaning depending on the context, but what I am forced to conclude is that the term "Word" does not always mean the scriptures. It's clear the Bible is not God, and God is not my Bible, but many church leaders seem to be presenting it that way.

Then in John 1:14, we are told that the Word became flesh and dwelt among us. This is obviously referring to the incarnation of Jesus. He was born of a virgin and lived a sinless life on our behalf.

The only conclusion that you can then draw from this is that the Bible is clearly instructing us that Jesus Christ is the definition of the term "Word of God."

I don't think any minister would feel comfortable standing up in the pulpit, holding up his KJV Bible, and declaring, "I hold in my hand, Jesus Christ."

This definition is very mysterious and is a difficult concept to embrace. What does it mean when the Bible clearly says that Jesus is the "Word of God"?

Well, the first observation, as I have said, is that in at least some capacity, the "Word of God" is not your KJV Bible in English. Not according to John 1.

As I sought God regarding this question, the Holy Spirit began to remind me of the guiding principle of the ministry of Jesus Christ. The Lord's mantra as He went through life was the will of the Father. He said, "the Son can do nothing of Himself." He said, "I only do what I see my Father do first" (John 5:19).

And in the garden, He prayed, "Not my will but Thine" (Luke 22:42). If you then understand from John 3:16 that the Father sent the Son, then we can conclude that the "Word of God" could be considered the expressed will of the Father as seen in Jesus Christ.

-
- John 14:9 Jesus saith unto him, Have I been so long time with you, and yet hast thou not known me, Philip? he that hath seen me hath seen the Father; and how sayest thou then, Shew us the Father?

It would seem then that the term “the Word of God” can be understood to mean the expressed will of the Father as seen in Jesus Christ, not your King James Bible in English sitting on your coffee table. The term “Word of God” is more of an intangible principle than a terrestrial book.

This logic seems irrefutable; it’s a game-changer and exposes an unhealthy emphasis on the scriptures by most church leaders.

So, if the Bible promises that the “Word of God” will be preserved, it’s not necessarily saying that your KJV Bible has a force field around it. It turns out that the preservation promises you have been applying to the scriptures apply to something else.

These are only the first of many observations that will support the idea that our intellectual biases have caused us to incorrectly identify the meaning of this term.

But is there any way to know for sure that these two terms do not mean the same thing? I think there is.

- John 1:1-4: “In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God. He was in the beginning with God. All things were made through Him, and without Him, nothing was made that was made. In Him was life, and the life was the light of men.”

It seems reasonable to suggest that if these words are truly synonymous, then they should be interchangeable. Let’s replace the term “Word of God” here with the term “scripture” and see if we can draw the same meaning from the passage.

- John 1:1 (Modified): “In the beginning was the scripture, and the scripture was with God, and the scripture was God.”

This first verse alone is enough to raise an eyebrow, but the obvious gap in the two meanings grows even more pronounced as you continue reading.

- John 1:2-4 (Modified): “The **scripture** was in the beginning with God. All things were made through the **scripture**, and without the **scripture** nothing was made that was made. In the **scripture** was life, and the **scripture** was the light of men.”

Does that bear witness with you? I have asked this of many believers, and none have said yes. They all agreed that these two words are not interchangeable as they are commonly presented to be. Are you able to bear witness to the fact that “the scripture was God,” “the scripture was in the beginning with God,” and “all things were made through the scripture and without the scripture nothing was made that was made”?

If we are trying to make a distinction between the term “scripture” and the term “Word of God,” then the following passage will be an important one to consider.

- John 5:39 “You search the Scriptures, for in them you think you have eternal life; and these are they which testify of Me.”

This passage makes a clear distinction between the scriptures and Jesus, who we know is the Word of God. At the very least, Jesus is clearly pointing to the refocusing that needs to take place, which I believe is the impetus behind this catastrophic judgment that God has unleashed on His church.

The realization that these two terms do not mean the same thing forms the foundation of how the Bible can be changing without there being any controversy within the Godhead. If these two terms do not mean the same thing, then the Bible can be changing, and it doesn’t mean that God is a liar.

If the scripture is promising that the Word of God won’t change, it doesn’t mean that it’s promising that the scripture won’t change. If it’s not promising to preserve my KJV Bible, then what is it promising to preserve and where is it promising to preserve it?

Where is it preserved?

Perhaps these promises to preserve the Word are pointing to something other than the writings themselves. Maybe the preservation promises are pointing to the dynamic dialogue that God has been carrying on with His people for centuries.

The dialogue that He promised to continue having in the book of Hebrews, chapter ten.

Couldn't you consider this passage in Hebrews to be a promise of preservation of His words? This is God's promise to preserve His willingness to be with us and live in us. He is pledging in covenant terms to preserve His communion with us by etching His words on our hearts. He's promising to make us the Word of God. He's promising to preserve His Word in us. How could you interpret this in any other way?

- Hebrews 10:16: "This is the covenant I will make with them after that time, says the Lord. I will put my laws in their hearts, and I will write them on their minds."

This is the clearest, most unambiguous preservation promise that you can find anywhere in the text. Ironically, it is specifically promising to preserve the Word in a very different jurisdiction than what is being incessantly promoted by the proponents of the DOP. You may not have noticed, but most of the preservation promises do not indicate where the "Word" is going to be preserved. In most cases, there is just the implication that it will be preserved. Here, however, we are told specifically where it will be preserved, and it is not promised to be preserved on paper or in writing.

According to Hebrews 10:16:

- What is being preserved?
 - "My laws"
- How will it be preserved?
 - He will put it; He will write it
- How long will the preservation endure?
 - Undeclared (See also Jeremiah 31:33)
- Where is it being preserved?
 - In their hearts and on their minds

Other passages that point to God's intention to preserve His word in the hearts of His people include:

- 2 Corinthians 3:3: “Clearly, you are an epistle of Christ, ministered by us, written not with ink but by the Spirit of the living God, not on tablets of stone but on tablets of flesh, that is, of the heart.”

We are also told:

- Ephesians 2:10: “For we are His workmanship, created in Christ Jesus for good works, which God prepared beforehand that we should walk in them.”

The word “workmanship” is from the Greek word *poiema*, where we get the word “poem.” We are God’s letter and His poem. He has written His word upon the tablets of our hearts. This mention of tablets references the Ten Commandments. It’s important to note that this is the only time we are told that the decrees of God were stored on such an indelible medium. Now, He is likening His people to a storage medium on par with stone tablets.

The Mandela Effect can alter the words on the pages of our Bibles, but our minds and hearts are impervious. We are like the stone tablets of old; praise God forever. His ways are beyond understanding.

We can retain the words of God as they were delivered to the original authors, even as the words on the pages are being altered into something different from what we have all known and loved. We believe that what God gave to the original authors will not change and it will come to pass, whether it is accessible to man or not.

I am an orthodox Bible believer, so my position is that the canon represents a closed revelation of God. I reject extra-biblical revelation or any new narrative that would move the ancient boundary stones. If it doesn’t align with what has already been given, then it is to be rejected.

Having said that, I am forced to expect that if the Bible is becoming unreliable, we may be operating under a new set of rules, like the people transitioning from the Old to the New Testament. Under the circumstances, I am forced to re-examine all of the foundational principles that I have lived by while somehow maintaining my relationship with Jesus.

We have learned that the term “Word” can mean God or the will of God as expressed in Jesus Christ. It is also used as a label to identify the nature of the contents of the Bible.

We then saw that the new covenant clearly identifies our hearts, not scripture, as His preferred storage medium. These observations seem to force us to re-evaluate how we interpret the term “Word of God.”

Rhema vs. Logos

But are there other indications in the text that the term “Word of God” is not automatically synonymous with my KJV Bible in English? I believe the answer is yes!

A clear example of this concept is seen in 1 Samuel 3:1, where we are told:

- 1 Samuel 3:1: “In that day, the word of the Lord was rare.”

It’s obvious that this passage was not indicating a shortage of written versions of the scrolls of Isaiah in that day. It’s clear that this passage was saying that God was not speaking very often to the prophets. In this passage, the term “word of the Lord” clearly does not mean the written word; it means the spoken word.

However, what I see quite regularly is the practice of lifting these various passages out of their context with reckless abandon and without regard for their true intention. As long as the wording insinuates anything close to preservation, it is held up as a “Bible can’t change” promise.

As obvious as this is, I believe it will be difficult for many to acknowledge that what I’m pointing out is true. Cognitive dissonance is a powerful thing, and if you’ve always held that the term “Word of God” has meant the written word, then this is a difficult concept to accept.

If the truth is going to have a chance of politely sidestepping your long-standing bias, you will need to look more closely at the terminologies and meanings of words you are embracing. When going from Greek to English, translators often rely on context to decide what English words would be most appropriate. Similarly, we can define the meaning of certain words using context in our efforts to understand the intent of the text.

- Acts 19:20: “So the word of the Lord grew mightily and prevailed.”

Isn't it clear that the scripture cannot be characterized as growing mightily? Instead, we see the term “Word of the Lord” here being characterized as growing and exerting its influence on those who were hearing it. This is yet another example of how the terms “scripture” and “Word of God” are not synonymous.

The law of first mention

As I mentioned, another guiding principle when trying to rightly divide the word is the principle of first mention. Looking at how a term or concept is first used in the scriptures can often help clarify the true meaning of the thing you are studying.

The first usage of the phrase “Word of God” involves a lengthy conversation that Abraham had with God, not something written down on scrolls.

Genesis 15

God speaking: Vs 1 - “After these things the ‘word of the LORD’ came unto Abram in a vision, saying, ‘Fear not, Abram: I am thy shield, and thy exceeding great reward.’”

Abraham speaking: Vs 2 - “And Abram said, ‘Lord GOD, what wilt thou give me, seeing I go childless?’”

Abraham speaking: Vs 3 - “Then Abram said, ‘Look, You have given me no offspring.’”

God speaking: Vs 4 - “And behold, the word of the Lord came to him, saying, ‘This one shall not be your heir, but one who will come from your own body shall be your heir.’”

God speaking: Vs 5 - “Then He brought him outside and said, ‘Look now toward heaven, and count the stars if you are able to number them.’ And He said to him, ‘So shall your descendants be.’”

God speaking: Vs 7 - “Then He said to him, ‘I am the Lord, who brought you out of Ur of the Chaldeans, to give you this land to inherit it.’”

Abraham speaking: Vs 8 - “And he said, ‘Lord God, how shall I know that I will inherit it?’”

Based on this example, the term “Word of God” can be describing a vital connection to a living God as opposed to a written communication. As a believer I need to interpret this term accordingly.

These writings are not like something that you simply memorize so you can pass a test; it is more like the instructions you get from the tower on how to fly the plane right after your pilot dies of a heart attack.

You need to read it to find out how to do it yourself. We need to handle the scriptures as though we intend to implement the things we see being done in the text.

It hasn't dawned on most Christians that they are not performing any miracles in their life. Many of us have embraced a voyeuristic approach to reading the Bible, with no ambition to be that guy or gal. Many view the Bible as they would a Marvel superhero movie. We live vicariously through the exploits of the superheroes but never expect to be one ourselves.

You will never be competent to perform miracles; you can only be willing to act when you are moved to do so. The paradigm shift that the Bible changes are pushing us into are moving us from talking to doing.

- John 14:12 “Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that believeth on me, the works that I do shall he do also; and greater works than these shall he do; because I go unto my Father.”

Jesus is the Word We find another clear example that distinguishes the two terms in:

- Hebrews 4:12: “The “Word of God” is living and powerful, and is a discerner of the thoughts and intents of the heart.”

This is definitely not a reference to the Bible here in Hebrews 4:12. The Bible is unable to understand the thoughts and intentions of its audience; there are only dead letters in the Bible. (2 Corinthians 3:6)

Jesus, on the other hand, says in:

- Revelation 22:23: “I am He who searches the minds and hearts.”

Hebrews 4:12 says “the Word of God searches the hearts,” while Revelation 22:23 says Jesus “searches the hearts”; therefore, we have yet another passage moving us away from the emphasis that the Word of God is the scripture. Here, it is clear that the “Word of God” is Jesus. Let me say that again. The Word of God is Jesus.

The definition of the term “Word of God” is not your Bible!

- Revelation 19:11-13: “And He who sat on him was called Faithful and True ... He was clothed with a robe dipped in blood, and His name is called ‘The Word of God.’”

Here the clear testimony of the text is that the scriptures are not the “Word of God.” John didn’t say he saw the Bible clothed with a robe; he saw Jesus. And then he proceeded to give Jesus a name.

A person could point out someone else at a party and say, “Do you see that guy over there? His name is Henry.” He would be identifying that person by his name.

In this case, you have John doing the same thing. He says, “Do you see that guy over there? His name is ‘The Word of God.’” So again, if Jesus is “the Word of God,” the Scripture can’t be “the Word of God.” A definitive feature of the “Word of God” is that it has and gives life. John says of Jesus:

- John 1:4 “In Him was life, and the life was the light of men”

But Jesus maintains there is no life in the scriptures. He says to the Jews:

- John 5:39-40 “You search the Scriptures, for in them you think you have eternal life; and these are they which testify of Me. But you are not willing to come to Me that you may have life”

The Jews searched the scriptures under the mistaken assumption that eternal life could be obtained by understanding all of its intricacies. But alas, they could find no life in them because the life is in Jesus. God Himself is eternal life, and it is vain to seek the living among the dead (Luke 24:5).

The scriptures are signposts identifying Jesus as “the way, the truth, and the life” (John 14:6). But to inherit eternal life, we have to come to Jesus Himself, not just read about Jesus.

I am not simply splitting doctrinal hairs; I am announcing a reformation of the entire church that God has initiated by coming into His house and changing the locks when no one was looking. Now He’s sequestered in a second-story window, and we’re all begging Him to let us back in. He’s saying, “I’ll let you in, but you have to promise to stop making the Bible an idol.” I am announcing that the church of Jesus Christ has transitioned to a post-canonized scripture church era with new rules and requirements.

I am nailing my ninety-five theses to the door of Wittenberg’s Castle Church and inviting church leaders to meet me at the city gates so that all may know if this is of God or not.

- Acts 5:39: “But if it is from God, you will not be able to overthrow them. You may even find yourselves fighting against God!”

The statement in John 5:39, “for in them you think you find eternal life,” means that by accumulating knowledge, you think you find eternal life. In other words, you have broken off from using the scriptures to seek the God of the Bible and have substituted simply studying the Bible. You have convinced yourself that accumulating understanding of the books and its rules will increase your significance with God.

You believe that a miracle-free, supernatural-free Christianity is normal, when it’s anathema. Paul charged us to turn away from such people (2 Timothy 3:5).

The intellectual Christian is similar to my teenage children, who seem to draw significance from their in-depth understanding of the plot lines and advanced science fiction concepts portrayed in superhero movies.

It’s clear that they take great pleasure in bragging about their grasp of these complex science fiction technology concepts.

This practice of wallowing in their own intellectual superiority provides a close parallel to many professing Christians today.

What my kids don’t realize, however, is that the central planners are hiding the truth in plain sight to keep them blind.

If a conspiracy theorist tries to convince them that there really are dimensional portals like CERN, they will shriek at you like you're crazy and tell you, "It's just science fiction, Dad!"

In a similar way, the majority of Christians are terrified of endorsing anyone who either testifies to a miracle or is in public ministry performing miracles. Revival and the supernatural are always going to defy human intellect, reason, and logic. Such things will always offend the cerebral Christian because they won't be able to assemble a significant number of scriptures that will specifically delineate what they are seeing. So instead, they will run to their basement, deadbolt the door, and begin warning everybody that Kundalini has invaded the church.

This overemphasis of intellectualism in our Christian walk is at the core of the misinterpretation of the term "Word of God."

This tendency to convert the scriptures into a textbook is accomplished by redefining what the term "Word of God" really means.

Divorced from God, the letter in the Bible kills, but the Spirit gives life.

- 2 Corinthians 3:6 "Who also hath made us able ministers of the New Testament; not of the letter, but of the spirit: for the letter killeth, but the spirit giveth life."
- John 6:63 "It is the Spirit who gives life; the flesh profits nothing. The words that I speak to you are spirit, and they are life."

It is not the continual accumulation of additional data points that you so expertly organize in your mortal mind, but the word that Jesus speaks from His mouth to your mind and spirit that gives life. This is what the psalmist meant when he wrote:

- Psalm 29:5 "The voice of the LORD breaks the cedars, Yes, the LORD splinters the cedars of Lebanon."

At least in some cases, it is God's spoken word, not the inspired written word, that holds the definition of "the Word of God."

Jesus says:

- Matthew 4:4: "Man does not live by bread alone, but by every word that proceeds out of the mouth of God."

Have we forgotten that God is a talking God? Many times, I have heard well-meaning preachers cite this passage as they point to their Bibles, insinuating that the two things are synonymous. In doing this, they are literally reversing the true meaning of this passage.

They would be correct in pointing to the book if the scripture actually said, “Man shall not live by bread alone but by every word that has been spoken and then recorded on the scrolls of the ancients.” If it said that, I might agree, but it doesn’t say that.

The term “Every word that proceeds out of the mouth of God,” clearly refers to the real-time dynamic interaction, not a written text. That’s also what “My sheep hear my voice” means. The term “Word of God” and the phrase “My sheep hear my voice” are synonymous. You can read about the wind, or you can get on your Harley and twist that throttle till you finally click down into fifth gear. That’s what I’m talking about.

Unfortunately, proponents of the DOP are recklessly seizing on passages like these to always mean their KJV Bibles.

God is a veritable chatterbox

Matthew 4:4 is talking about God’s continuous habit of having a dynamic, ongoing dialogue with His people. We are to be practiced in hearing His voice as we pray and walk through this world. The scriptures are only one of the ways to hear from God.

If you have been walking with God for some time, you should have a long list of examples of how God gave you highly specific answers to specific prayers. Impossibly specific words that prove it was God who told you. Miraculous healings of yourself or others. Supernatural financial provision, like when I was at Bible school and needed money to pay my rent, and someone walked up and gave me the exact amount I needed. God told them the exact amount that I needed, and they put it in my hand. It was one of the greatest moments in my life.

If you can’t rattle off a list of those types of things after you have walked with God for twenty years, then you are probably living your Christianity out of your head. You don’t have to feel condemned about it; just fix it moving forward. I don’t think I’m superior to you; I just believe that we are supposed to live like the people we see in our Bibles.

“Depart from me, I never knew you” (Matt 7:21) is the eternal measuring stick by which we should evaluate our life.

This passage strikes fear into the hearts of most Christians because most lack a vibrant assurance of their salvation. “These words I write unto you that you may know that you have eternal life” (1 John 5:13) ring hollow to many, so most look at this passage with trepidation.

As I looked at this passage to determine how I could avoid this myself, I saw that the only indication of how to avoid it was if Jesus knows you. The only thing that's relevant in passing this test is to be known by God. This led me to try to find a way that I could know that I'm known by God aside from some sort of goosebump. I was looking for something tangible and objective. After much searching and seeking on this topic, I was finally led to this passage:

- John 10:26-27: “But you do not believe, because you are not of My sheep, as I said to you. My sheep hear My voice, and I know them, and they follow Me. And I give them eternal life, and they shall never perish; neither shall anyone snatch them out of My hand. My sheep hear my voice.”

So here is my question. When is the last time God spoke to you? You will hear from God in direct proportion to how much you want to hear from God, so where are your priorities? How much emphasis is placed on doing the word as opposed to learning the word in your church and in your life?

Does the phrase “God spoke to me the other day” ever come out of your pastor’s mouth on Sunday morning? Does he speak as of the oracles of God? Do you? (1 Peter 4:11)

The only evidence provided here that we know Him, or rather that we are known by Him (Galatians 4:9), is that we have cultivated the ability to discern and know His voice.

We will not be measured by the titanic accumulation of knowledge that we have acquired. I don’t think you can mash hearing His voice and having a command of the scriptures into the same meaning.

The Bible changes are inviting the body of Christ to cut the moorings from an intellectual observance of rules to a vibrant love affair with the Son of God. Is the scripture talking about the written text when we read passages like:

- Psalm 33:6: “By the word of the LORD were the heavens made; and all the host of them by the breath of his mouth.”

Do you automatically convert that in your mind to mean your KJV Bible the way you do when you read something like:

- Matthew 24:35: “Heaven and earth will pass away, but my words will not pass away”?

I’m here to tell you that when most people read Matthew 24:35, they automatically convert that promise in their minds to mean their KJV Bible in English. They will hold up their Bible in the air, point to it, and quote Matthew 24:35.

It may be helpful to consider exactly how the scriptures refer to themselves to determine the true meanings of the terms that we are handling.

The titles Scripture gives itself

Scripture(s) KJV	Matthew 22:29
Holy Scriptures KJV	Romans 1:2
The writing	Exodus 32:16
That which is written	2 King 14:6
The “Word of God”	Hebrews 4:12
The word	John 1:1; James 1:22
The law	Matthew 5:17; Romans 7:12
The law and the prophets	Matthew 7:12; Matthew 22:40
Moses & the Prophets	Luke 16:29; Luke 24:27
The oracles of God	Romans 3:2; Hebrews 5:12
The Covenant	Hebrews 8:6; Hebrews 9:15
Book(s)	Daniel 9:2; Revelation 20:12

Testament	2 Cor 3:6; Heb 9:15
Law of the Lord	Psalm 1:2;
Commandments	Exodus 24:12; Matt 22:40
Precepts	Psalm 119:4; Isaiah 28:10
Statutes	Leviticus 18:5; Psalm 119:5
Judgments	Deut 4:1; Psalm 119:20
Testimonies	Psalm 19:7; Psalm 119:14
Ordinances	Exodus 18:20; Ezekiel 11:20
Words of the LORD	Psalm 12:6
Book of the Law	Joshua 1:8; Nehemiah 8:1
Good News (Gospel)	Mark 1:1; Romans 1:16

Furthermore, when you see the term “Word” or the phrase “the Word of God” or “the Word of the Lord,” it may mean something different than it did in a different passage. These terms are not always translated from the same Greek or Hebrew words in every case.

- **Logos (G3056)** John 1:1: “In the beginning was the Word (Logos), and the Word (Logos) was with God, and the Word (Logos) was God.”
- **Rema (G4487)** Matthew 4:4: “But he answered, ‘It is written, Man shall not live by bread alone, but by every word (Rema) that comes from the mouth of God.’”
- **Nomos (G3551)** Romans 7:12: “So then, the law (Nomos) is holy, and the commandment is holy and righteous and good.”
- **Dabar (H1697)** Genesis 15:1: “After these things the word (Dabar) of the LORD came to Abram in a vision, saying, ‘Do not be afraid, Abram. I am your shield, your exceedingly great reward.’”
- **Graphe (G1124)** 2 Timothy 3:16: “All Scripture is breathed out by God and profitable for teaching, for reproof, for correction, and for training in righteousness.”

Terms used to describe the different gospels

Gospel of the Kingdom	Matthew 4:23; Matthew 9:35
Gospel of Jesus Christ	Mark 1:1; Romans 1:16
Gospel of God	Romans 1:1; 1 Thess 2:8-9
Gospel of the Grace of God	Acts 20:24
Gospel of Salvation	Ephesians 1:13
Gospel of Peace	Ephesians 6:15
Gospel of Glory	2 Cor 4:4; 1 Timothy 1:11
Gospel of His Son	Romans 1:9
Gospel of Christ	Romans 15:19; 2 Cor 10:14
Gospel of the Kingdom of God	Mark 1:14-15
Everlasting Gospel	Revelation 14:6

There are countless comprehensive sermons detailing the variations between these different gospels mentioned here. One sermon compared the Gospel of the Kingdom to the Gospel of Grace, using two full pages to compare the differences in the meanings of these two phrases. The entire sermon was eight pages.

- **Gospel of the Kingdom (Mark 1:14)**
 - Matthew 3:2: Preaches the soon coming King and his Kingdom
- **Gospel of Grace (Acts 20:24)**
 - Romans 3:22-26: Preaches the grace of God for salvation

It's clear from this one sermon that these two gospels do not mean the same thing. There are eleven different gospels, each meaning something different. Additionally, there are twenty-four different terms that the Bible uses to describe itself. Are we to believe that each one of these terms means the exact same thing?

If there are at least five different root words, twenty-four separate English terms for scripture, and eleven different types of gospels, then isn't it remotely possible that the term "Word of God" may

have a broader meaning than most of us have held our entire Christian lives?

Another observation is that we typically refer to the scriptures as “they” (plural) but refer to the Word as “it” (singular).

Example:

- “I hold the Word of God in high regard; **it** is important to me.”
- “I hold the scriptures in high regard; **they** are important to me.”

This observation also supports the idea that these two terms are not synonymous. One represents a personal encounter, while the other is a written record. One is living and dynamic, while the other is static and historical.

Bible, Scripture, Word of God

My first step in researching this subject was to identify as many sermons as I could on the topic.

There were noticeably few available, but enough to start my journey. As I began to listen, I quickly noticed that these teachers were traveling a very circuitous path to deliver their message. In every case, these messages were a daisy chain of various arguments that seemed completely unrelated to the issue at hand.

All I wanted to know was whether the Bible teaches that the scriptures cannot and will not ever change. But instead of hearing the clear testimony of scripture on this topic, I kept hearing a variety of detailed explanations regarding the inspiration of scripture. After unpacking inspiration, they would launch into an entire sermon on the immutability of God. I thought, I believe Jesus is the same yesterday, today, and forever, just like the next guy, but we’re not talking about Jesus; we’re talking about my King James Bible. I remember thinking,

“I’m not a great theologian like you, but it seems to me that Jesus being the same yesterday, today, and forever is not synonymous with my KJV Bible in English being the same yesterday, today, and forever.”

Here are all of the things I had to wade through before they got to the point:

-
- Inspiration of scripture
 - Immutability of God
 - The canon
 - The history of the KJV scholars
 - The history of the Textus Receptus vs. the Masoretic Text
 - The history of the revisions and different translations
 - Inerrancy
 - Accuracy

There was a lot of discussion about the canon, and how the Bible came into being. They highlighted how meticulous the scholars were that put it all together under King James. And I thought, what does that have to do with the price of tea in China?

Just because someone has the finest German engineering in their BMW doesn't mean someone can't come and steal it or crash into it.

At this point in my research, I'm starting to smell a rat. I remember thinking, what is going on here? Are they trying to hide something? Why are they beating around the bush? Why don't they get to the point? The canon, inspiration, and the immutability of God are irrelevant as to whether or not the Bible has a proverbial force field around it.

But finally, as I continued to listen, they would inevitably get to the three topics that seemed relevant to the discussion: accuracy, which is the idea that the scriptures are historically accurate and free from contradictions; inerrancy, which means what we have today is identical to the original autographs; and lastly, God's apparent promises in the scriptures to preserve His word.

What was clear is that there was a large amount of presumption being relied upon to make their case. The most central of these presumptions was clearly the idea that if the scriptures are inspired, then God will most certainly preserve them. The central theme of the DOP is that inspiration equals preservation.

They all seemed to be spending an enormous amount of time on the fact that the "Word of God" is inspired.

As I listened, I thought, what does inspiration have to do with whether it's preserved or not? The answer to that leads us to the first pillar of the DOP, which is something that they call theological necessity.

Theological Necessity

Theological necessity posits that because God went to so much trouble to give us the word, it only makes sense that He would preserve it.

However, theological necessity is a guess that is presented to the Church as doctrine. It turns out my instincts were right. I sensed a disturbance in the force, which was this cockamamie presumption that God is so nice that He would never let the scriptures be corrupted.

That's just human sentiment masquerading as doctrine. It's Christian scientism. God's program doesn't provide a "Flap A into Slot B" type of format. Remember:

- Proverbs 25:2 "It is the glory of God to conceal a matter...."

But because men crave the certainty that God Himself has denied them, they invent this galactic guess and present it as doctrine. It should be called the "Guess of Preservation of scripture." In an effort to insist that what we have now on our coffee tables is identical to what the original authors gave us, theological necessity was introduced.

This concept is used to boldly proclaim the inerrancy of scripture, which is intended to embolden believers to rely on the promises therein. We are told repeatedly, "How can you trust the promises if you are not sure if they are identical to the inspired texts?" This reasoning turns out to be flawed because God's ways are past finding out (Romans 11:33).

I don't have a Bible and I'm okay

But isn't this philosophy somewhat ironic? People living today that have a Bible are trying to emulate the people in the Bible that never had a Bible. And the people today that have a Bible are saying they can't function without their Bible, while they try to emulate the people who never had a Bible.

Those without a Bible seemed to be pretty capable of walking with God. What is wrong with this picture?

Apparently, having a perfect, flawless Bible is not a prerequisite to walking with God and doing exploits.

When a missionary goes into a mountain village and performs a miracle, the entire village is converted that day. It is not uncommon for those who were just converted to go off the next day and begin healing the sick and raising the dead. These new converts are biblically illiterate by anyone's standards, yet their ability to function in the realms of glory seems completely unhindered by their lack of biblical literacy.

I think most church leaders would probably ruin most of these new converts if they went in there to disciple them with all of their “wisdom.” I have watched some elder-type trying to counsel the on-fire babe in Christ that they “have to use wisdom.” All he was doing was trying to assuage his own conviction for not being on fire himself. In the eyes of God, ignorance on fire is more attractive than emotionally muted scholars any day.

Accuracy, inerrancy, infallibility and immutability

In order to understand the “concept” of theological necessity, we must understand the definitions of some of the key principles that make up the beliefs around preservation. The amount of thought and work that has gone into trying to define these topics over the last two centuries is so vast, it could fill one thousand libraries. However, I only need to provide the most rudimentary definitions for my purposes.

This is a very basic description of these terms in my own words.

- **Accuracy** - The Bible is accurate in all matters with which it deals, scientific and historical as well as spiritual and theological.
- **Inerrancy** - While accuracy is a measure of precision, biblical inerrancy is the conviction that the Bible is error-free. Some Christians hold that the Bible is both flawless and infallible, which means that it cannot make mistakes and is error-free. Some, on the other hand, contend that the Bible is true but not perfectly accurate.

For instance, the Bible sometimes conveys truth in ways that are not necessarily literal, such as through metaphors, exaggeration, and parables.

- **Infallibility** - The Scripture never fails in its purpose.
- **Immutability** – God, and therefore His decrees, are unchanging. What He has decreed will not change, and it will come to pass unless He Himself changes it.

Theological necessity seeks to make the inerrancy claim dogmatic through a complex set of theological arguments that most Christians have never heard of. The goal of this philosophy is to get you to believe that what we have today in our Bibles is identical to what was in the original autographs.

What is happening, however, is that Christians and church leaders are drawing on this concept of theological necessity to claim that the Bible could not possibly be changed by the Mandela Effect.

This means that the first pillar of the “Bible can’t change” argument is not a doctrine. A doctrine is the expressed will of God clearly delineated in scripture. This first pillar of the DOP is nothing of the kind; it’s a sentimental guess. If you listen to the DOP teachers long enough, they will eventually admit that you have to take it by faith.

It seems very clear to me that the proponents of the DOP are misrepresenting this narrative on purpose. On the one hand, they will make declarative statements by saying things like, “God has not only inspired the Scriptures but has also preserved them in a manner consistent with His divine promises.”

However, when they refer to the DOP itself, you will hear wording that is purposely vague. They will refer to it as “an assertion, a foundational truth embraced by faith, or a conviction.”

I doubt anyone reading this would refer to the ten commandments that way. The ten commandments are neither an assertion, a foundational truth embraced by faith, nor a conviction. The ten commandments are the expressed will of God as revealed in scripture. The DOP is not.

This observation helps to set aside all of the bombastic church leaders who are hurling threats of slaughter, (Acts 9:1) because we are asserting that the Bible is changing.

As far as this aspect of the DOP goes, all the dogmatic decrees that the Bible can't change are based on puffery.

The second pillar of the "Bible can't change" argument is the apparent promises in scripture that seem to assure us that the Bible can't change. However, upon closer examination, these promises are either being taken out of context or misapplied. We will cover them in later chapters.

It's photoshopped, it has to be

This idea that inspiration equals preservation reminds me of the famous quote from Robert Simmon of NASA, the creator of the image called the "Big Blue Marble." This supposed picture of Earth from space is actually twelve strips of data that were sewn together, modified with color and clouds, and then presented as a real image of Earth from space. In an interview, Robert Simmon admitted that NASA has been deceiving humanity for decades when he said, "It's photoshopped, it has to be." In my mind, this phrase by the lying NASA operative has become the mascot for this first pillar of the great DOP: "It's preserved because it has to be."

The objective of theological necessity is to overcome the fact that we are not in possession of any original autographs. It's a sales pitch on the idea that the copies that we have are identical to the originals.

They have one thousand different analogies to explain how it would be impossible to have faith in the scriptures if you are not one hundred percent certain that they are accurate to the inspired originals. What they do not have an answer for however, is how did anyone prior to the canon ever make it in this world.

It is suggested by some that even the copies are inspired through a concept called double inspiration or other complex theological gymnastics that cannot be proven. In the end, all the proponents of strict preservation must admit that the DOP must be taken by faith.

This is a very different argument from whether God would allow an end-times sign and wonder to supernaturally change the Bible. All the claims of the most devout DOP proponents could be true, and it wouldn't preclude an end-times judgment from coming in and scrambling the Bible to smithereens.

The Texas Receptus, sometimes referred to as the Textus Receptus, is a 16th-century compilation of Greek New Testament passages. It was based on a small number of manuscripts, some of which were copies of older texts that had been written very recently.

The New Testament autographs, or the original writings of the New Testament books, were composed in the 1st century AD. The bulk of the manuscripts that Erasmus, the Textus Receptus' editor, used were from the 12th to the 15th century, while the earliest copies that went into the compilation would date from the 4th to the 15th century. As a result, the Textus Receptus texts were composed several centuries after the original autographs.

This means that there's no way for us to know that what we have today is accurate to the original autographs, no matter how many principles or conjectures you string together. This also means that the DOP cannot be dogmatically held up as proof that the Bible can't change.

Inspiration ≠ Preservation

The main problem with the idea that inspiration equals preservation is simply that the Bible doesn't teach it. I'm not saying the scriptures aren't inspired or preserved; I'm just saying that if the scriptures do not specifically teach it, then it's not a doctrine. It's not true because you want it to be or have reasoned it to be true.

This inspiration equals preservation theory seems to be derived from three different sources:

- Observations of history
- Presumption
- Mishandling of certain proof texts fueled by a strong bias

Here are just a few of the rationales I have heard to support this presumption:

- Because the Bible is inspired by God, what good would it do if God didn't then preserve it?
- If the scripture isn't preserved, we wouldn't be able to function as Christians, have faith to be saved, or run our churches.

-
- God is certainly powerful enough to preserve His word.
 - Having only the general concepts as opposed to the exact words would not be sufficient for us to know and serve God, therefore He wouldn't allow it.
 - Because God loves us, He would not allow the scriptures to be taken from us.
 - What good would it do if God gave us His pure words, if He didn't then preserve them?
 - If God went to all that trouble to give us His words, then it seems likely that He would also preserve them.
 - The presumption that because He has seemed to order circumstances throughout history to preserve His word, He will continue to do so.

Ok, if you say so. All of these things sound so rational. I just resent being beaten over the head with a whim and a prayer like this when it's all just conjecture. I don't like being scolded for suggesting that the Bible is changing when there is nothing here or in the text to forbid it.

We are cut off in conversations and told that "God promised He would preserve His Word." Yet, neither theological necessity nor the mishandled proof texts are capable of supporting this position.

The statement itself is also misleading because it fails to make the distinction between the term scripture and the term Word of God. It appears to me that the entire body of Christ is standing on a promise that isn't being promised.

God is promising to preserve His word, but it's the preachers and theologians that are promising to preserve the scriptures. The least you can do is get your story straight for the love of heaven. You are the one promising it, not God.

It's only when someone acknowledges that this first leg of the DOP is not based on God's promise but man's conjecture, that they will be able to let go of the magical thinking that many are embracing.

It's like when law enforcement runs up in front of your car with their badge out and tells you that they are commandeering your car for official business. They force you out of your car and drive away.

The “inspiration equals preservation” crowd is running up to us holding out their inspiration badge, and then forcing the preservation rule on us whether we like it or not. We are told to accept this even though they can’t produce any scripture that tells us that God agrees with them. There’s nothing in the text that teaches us that if it’s inspired, then it’s untouchable. In fact, both God and the scriptures demonstrate the opposite.

Inspired things that expired

Here are ten things that seem to indicate that just because something is inspired doesn’t mean that evil forces can’t interfere:

- Creation and the Fall: - Paradise corrupted
- The Promised Land: - Blocked from entering in
- The Temple of Solomon: - Inspired then destroyed
- Kingdom of Israel: - Divided and conquered
- The Covenant and the Law: - Done away with
- The Promise of Salvation: - Hindered by the enemy
- The Church: - Divided by the enemy
- Individual Lives: - Targeted with temptation
- Wisdom and Knowledge: - Distorted and twisted
- Eternal Life: - Many fall short

As I will show, there are large tracts of the body of Christ that do not hold to the doctrine of preservation. As a result, you have to consider the idea that with so many learned people on the other side of this, it is possible that you might be wrong. If the DOP is not clearly taught in the scriptures, then there is nothing standing in the way of the Bible being changed supernaturally by the Mandela Effect.

Additionally, if the scriptures held out as proof texts for preservation are unclear, then there’s nothing to prevent changes from happening.

Even though theological necessity is a guess, it remains the primary argument against the Bible changes.

Some believe that if the devil ever tried to alter the Bible, God would continually prevent him, like a parent slapping a child's hand away from a forbidden cookie fresh out of the oven.

This sentiment is similar to Peter, however, when he rebuked Jesus about going to the cross. Peter protested and said, "I'm not going to let you go to the cross!"

His heart was in the right place, but he ended up getting a good talking-to from the Master.

- Matthew 16:22: "Peter took him aside and began to rebuke him. 'Never, Lord!' he said. 'This shall never happen to you!' (The Bible can't change) Jesus turned and said to Peter, 'Get behind me, Satan! You are a stumbling block to me; you do not have in mind the concerns of God, but merely human concerns.'"

Perseverance is Not Preservation

Another rabbit trail introduced in this discussion to bolster the perception that the Bible has a force field around it is the concept of the perseverance of the saints. The two primary scriptures relied upon to support this position are:

- Philippians 1:6: "Being confident of this very thing, that he which hath begun a good work in you will perform it until the day of Jesus Christ."
- 2 Timothy 2:12: "For the which cause I also suffer these things: nevertheless I am not ashamed: for I know whom I have believed, and am persuaded that he is able to keep that which I have committed unto him against that day."

However, the perseverance of the saints pertains to the security and continued faithfulness of individual believers as they follow Christ. Preservation of scripture however, focuses on the reliability and integrity of the scriptures over time.

I'm sorry, but this is another non-starter. Relying on this aspect of the DOP does not promise that God will keep the devil away from your terrestrial Bible.

2 Timothy 3:16 - Every, not all

Since the inspiration of scripture is a cornerstone of the preservation philosophy, we need to examine the central proof text that inspiration is based on, which is 2 Timothy 3:16.

As I listened to the great orators teaching on preservation, I began to notice the singular importance that was placed on this one, tiny little word virtually every time. Whenever they read 2 Timothy 3:16, they would always emphasize the word “all.” When they read the passage, without exception, they would always say the word “all” with emphasis and volume to accentuate its importance.

I must confess that it never occurred to me in forty years to read the commentaries on this passage. When I did, I was surprised to see that most commentaries didn’t agree with the translators’ use of the word “all.” Most commentaries suggested it should be translated as “every.”

This changes the meaning of the scripture so significantly that I don’t even know where to start.

This commentary on 2 Timothy 3:16 was really shocking to me. This was another aspect of the DOP that I never really investigated as I should have. Forty years in the church, and it never dawned on me to look closely at what 2 Timothy 3:16 was really saying.

Ellicott’s commentary for English readers

“All scripture is given by inspiration of God.—Although this rendering is grammatically possible, the more strictly accurate translation, and the one adopted by nearly all the oldest and most trustworthy versions (for example, the Syriac and the Vulgate), and by many principal expositors in all ages (for instance, by such teachers as Origen, Theodoret, Grotius, Luther, Meyer, Ellicott, and Alford), runs as follows: ‘Every scripture inspired by God is also profitable for doctrine, for reproof.’”

The idea that this passage says “every” instead of “all” opens us to the possibility that some scriptures are not inspired. In other words, what the Holy Spirit was inspiring Paul to say was “each of the passages that are inspired,” or “those passages that are inspired” are profitable. This is a very different meaning than “all scripture is inspired.”

Before I go on, let me just state for the record that most of the commentaries agree with me on this, so I’m not just twisting this familiar scripture to try to support some heretical agenda to defame all that is holy, as some might think.

I certainly wouldn't be putting all this effort into addressing inconsistencies in an institutional dogma like preservation if I weren't fully persuaded that the Bible is supernaturally changing. I'm sorry about the problems this may cause for you, but God specifically and supernaturally told me to warn His people about the Bible changes.

When I realized that the more probable translation for this passage is "every," I was relieved because there were many passages I was familiar with that seemed to contradict the idea that every single passage of the Bible is inspired.

I remember thinking, if all scripture is inspired, how do you explain 1st Corinthians 7:12? In verse 10, Paul clearly indicates that what he is saying is inspired when he says:

- 1 Corinthians 7:10: "Now to the married I command, yet not I but the Lord."

But then in verse 12, we see the opposite, where he makes a clear distinction that what he is saying is not inspired. He qualifies his statement by saying:

- 1 Corinthians 7:12: "But to the rest I, not the Lord, say:"

Barnes' Notes on the Bible on verse 12 says:

"Not the Lord - 'I do not claim, in this advice, to be under the influence of inspiration; I have no express command on the subject from the Lord; but I deliver my opinion as a servant of the Lord.'"

The inspired Scripture writer is literally saying, "What I am saying right now is not inspired." Was he inspired to say he wasn't inspired, thereby making his uninspired statement inspired? I'm not sure.

Another scripture that didn't seem to make sense in the context of all scripture being inspired was when Paul told Timothy:

- 2 Timothy 4:13: "When you come, bring my cloak which I left at Troas."

If you're going to insist that a guy telling another guy to bring his jacket when he comes is inspired, then I'm going to have to introduce a new doctrine that I call:

The Linear Scale of Revelatory Authority

This scale is a subjective assessment of how inspired a passage really is. In other words, I don't believe that you can put God speaking directly to Moses when He's giving him the Ten Commandments on the same revelatory authority as someone saying, "Hey, bring my jacket when you come."

You could try to make the case that "bring my jacket" is inspired, but I'm going to have to disagree, especially since 2 Timothy 3:16 doesn't make every single word sacrosanct and off-limits to my linear scale of revelatory authority idea.

I think a hundred reasonable men would agree, that you can't really put God speaking directly to Moses about the Ten Commandments, on the same level of inspiration as a guy saying, "Hey, don't forget to bring my jacket when you come."

My point is that the "all" scripture is inspired idea has been used as a cudgel to force the doctrine of preservation down our throats, and this lays the foundation for the Bible to be overemphasized in the life of the believer. As a full-blown conspiracy theorist kook nut job, I can't help but think that this misinterpretation of 2 Timothy 3:16 has been by design to get God's people to hold a disempowering perception of how we are to handle the scriptures.

2 Timothy 3:16 has been singularly responsible for causing most Christians to make the Bible out to be something that it was never meant to be. Its overemphasis plays a leading role in cultivating a focus on reading and studying instead of doing. It's acting like the zero-point energy cell that fuels the force field that supposedly protects the Bible from the Mandela Effect.

I don't raise the dead; I just read about it

The process of receiving, processing, and recording the finished product we call the New Testament was not as black-and-white as many would have you believe. They want to inculcate everyone into striving for scholastic achievements instead of aspiring to be a Son of Thunder who raises the dead. (Mark 3:17)

To cover up their obvious inability to demonstrate the gospel, they emphasize how essential it is to gain a sure foundation in the scriptures.

Self-appointed exclusive arbiters of truth

Another aspect of this misguided biblical worldview is the adoption of something akin to the “keeper of the flame” mentality. Many of the people that aggressively emphasize that the scriptures are delivered, received, inspired, perfect, flawless, and authorized, invariably do so to project an exclusivity that is designed to elevate them above the more unlearned, undisciplined, “pseudo-Christians” that they typically hold in contempt.

These grandiose overseers long to be viewed as the true custodians of God’s word, expending all of their energy in defending the scriptures from being mishandled. They project a “my way or the highway” culture that is more like thuggery than consecration, and it’s all designed as a substitute for their inability to demonstrate the gospel.

- **John 8:33:** “They answered him, We be Abraham's seed, and were never in bondage to any man:”

I’m helpless without my KJV Bible

But these same super Christians, seem strangely impotent to operate unless they have everything under control. They’re convinced that they’re all doomed if their Bibles aren’t perfect and flawless. They tell us that the spell book has to be perfect to the original spell book, or else the spells won’t work.

It’s theological sleight of hand to get you to chase your tail instead of calling down fire. In their circles, all of that calling down fire and the fire of God stuff is for kooks and fanatics. We in the blah, blah, blah church are dignified. We are told that what is needed to properly know and serve God is a solid foundation in the scripture.

Well, guess what? The missionaries in persecuted countries are opening blind eyes, raising the dead, and seeing thousands born again, even after having all their Bibles confiscated. The entire foundation of your faith is the significance you draw from how comprehensively you know and obey the book. Your religion should be called Bookianity instead of Christianity.

But the power of the gospel works just fine, even if you don’t have the book or it is imperfectly preserved.

As fanatical as you are about obeying the book, you turn out to be a grave disappointment to the author of the book. All of your emphasis on the Word is a de-emphasis on Him. It seems counterintuitive that all of your zeal could be an offense to God, but He will not share His glory with another (Isaiah 42:8).

Your doctrine is terribly wrong because you deny the power of the gospel. Paul gave us the formula for dealing with those purveying a miracle-free, supernatural-free gospel. He said, “From such turn away.” (2 Timothy 3:5)

Inspiration mixed with humanity

The process of developing the doctrines of the New Testament was not static; it was dynamic. And in a similar way, the supernatural Bible changes are pushing us off the idea that the foundation of our faith is the “static” scriptures. This rigid, lifeless substitute foundation has brought many of us to despair, as we’ve embraced striving and head knowledge instead of holiness fueled by a fresh and continual fire baptism, ongoing holy laughter, and joy in the Holy Ghost.

Our foundation is not the scriptures as we are so often told; it is Christ.

- 1 Corinthians 3:11: “For no other foundation can anyone lay than that which is laid, which is Jesus Christ.”

You see a clear picture of this dynamic mixture of the humanity of the Bible authors and the inspiration of God in:

- Jeremiah 36:27: “Now after the king had burned the scroll with the words which Baruch had written at the instruction of Jeremiah, the ‘word of the Lord’ came to Jeremiah, saying: ‘Take yet another scroll, and write on it all the former words that were in the first scroll which Jehoiakim the king of Judah has burned.’”
- Verse 32: “Then Jeremiah took another scroll and gave it to Baruch the scribe, the son of Neriah, who wrote on it at the instruction of Jeremiah all the words of the book which Jehoiakim king of Judah had burned in the fire. And besides, there were added to them many similar words.”

When Jeremiah had the scribe rewrite the words of the Lord the second time, it appears that Jeremiah added words that were not in the initial transmission. You can't assume that God forgot to say something in the initial transmission, so what could this mean? Perhaps the communications that have been given and recorded are more malleable and dynamic than we have been led to believe.

Perhaps there is a little more humanity mixed in with what is written than the DOP proponents would like to admit. The end result of the consciousness shift I am advocating for is that we take on an ownership mentality of the scriptures. We have been like someone that is sitting in on the reading of a will that doesn't belong to us.

You hear about everything that your friend is inheriting, but it doesn't belong to you. Aren't you tired of learning? Why aren't we all like Moses when he said:

- Exodus 33:18 "Please, show me Your glory!"

Explaining the way more accurately

It is the age-old hermeneutical lesson that you have to take the full counsel of God when trying to rightly divide the word.

We see an example of this in the wilderness when Jesus responds to the devil by saying, "It is also written." It's almost like saying, "I see your quote and raise you this quote." This means that you can be forcing a scripture into a situation that is only partially correct.

We see another example of explaining things more correctly in:

- Acts 18:26: (NLT) "When Priscilla and Aquila heard him preaching boldly in the synagogue, they took him aside and explained the way of God even more accurately."

You are in one of those moments right now. The way of God, which has been completely upended by God, is now being explained more accurately.

I know that for many, it never dawned on you that there is a difference between the term scripture and the term "Word of God." It hadn't dawned on me after thirty years of ministry, so I'm sure there are others.

Many will agree to look with a new set of eyes and admit that they have basically just been believing what they have been told. You are going to have to break out the Berean anointing if you're going to see this.

DOP detractors

Don Stewart has written over twenty books on the subject of evidences for the Christian faith. He points out that there is a large cross-section of orthodox believers that do not hold to the DOP. Blueletterbible.org⁵ quotes his book entitled, "What Does the Bible Teach about the Providential Preservation of Scripture?" In it he writes;

- "While the providential preservation of Scripture has actually happened in history, nothing found in the Bible makes this a necessity."
- "The texts used by those who claim Scripture does indeed teach providential preservation have been either misunderstood or misused."

Subjective Inspiration & Vulnerable Scrolls

Of course, it will take all of eternity for us to even begin to comprehend the infinite wisdom of God's decisions on how He chooses to run His universe. Our natural minds collapse as we try to fathom why God does things the way He does. It's like looking at a tapestry from the back. It just seems to be a gnarled mess of confusion, but when you turn it around, it's a beautiful portrait. The true believer must surrender the need to understand God's ways and trust in a plan characterized by the passage that says:

- Isaiah 55:9: "As high as the heavens are above the earth, so are my thoughts above your thoughts."

Having said that, and with all due respect to this great plan, there are an estimated forty-five thousand Christian denominations worldwide. Forty-five thousand!

⁵ https://www.blueletterbible.org/Comm/stewart_don/faq/words-bible/question14-providential-preservation-of-scripture.cfm

The amount of infighting, division, confusion, and debate is pervasive and relentless. A lot of pain, suffering, and death has resulted from this decentralized management style that God has deployed. Much of that fallout is a direct result of God's decision to use subjective inspiration and vulnerable scrolls to transmit and store His eternal decrees.

Think of all the complex wrangling that has transpired throughout the centuries as men have tried to wrestle with the nuanced doctrines in the Book of books. All the lusty debates between two patriarchs of the faith that never seem to resolve their differences. It's an eclectic, cryptic compilation that is undoubtedly supernatural, but purposely challenging to work with.

God has created a wall so high that only a child can clamber over it. The intellectual man cannot reason his way to God, and the proud man cannot work his way to God. We are purposely exiled into a swirling cauldron of confusion and mystery so that we will be driven to hear His voice as the only answer. We are forced to sell everything to buy the field so that we can gain the pearl of great price. You must come as a beggar, a child, and a blind man to be received. Only the humble in heart will prevail in this great contest.

- John 9:41: Jesus said unto them, "If ye were blind, ye should have no sin: but now ye say, 'We see'; therefore, your sin remaineth."

With this understanding, we observe that God purposely chose to use subjective inspiration and vulnerable scrolls to transmit and store or preserve His word.

How many times have you heard people claim that the Bible is not written by God, but by men? This is because God chose to use men to write it. He could have avoided that by having angels come from heaven with golden scrolls containing God's decrees. These scrolls could have been indelible and impervious to time, weathering, and tampering. One million copies could have been created and then stored in hovering crystal obelisks.

These mysterious orbs could have been positioned along ley lines across the entire flat, stationary earth and guarded by angels with flaming swords. Anyone at any time could go there to study or receive copies, and there would never be controversy over who the author was or whether they were accurate to the originals.

No one would be able to say that the Bible was written by men, nor would there be all the controversy surrounding whether we still have what the original authors gave us and all the problems that has caused.

And it's not as though God never thought of that. He's the one that chose to put the Ten Commandments on stone, was He not? He did all of this on purpose. He ordained this cryptic transmission by design.

He did it this way because His perfect will is that His children would be reliant on Him, not on their ability to cobble together a complex systematic theology. It seems clear that the more systematic you get, the farther away from God you are. The Pharisees were some systematic dudes, but they were definitely not vibing with Jesus when He came around.

The Ten Commandments written on stone

As we discussed earlier, the idea that God chose to store the majority of His decrees on a vulnerable medium like a scroll is contrasted with His choice to preserve the Ten Commandments on an indelible medium like stone.

If God was so determined to preserve His word, why wasn't all of scripture stored on some indelible medium? It's a fair question. Moses didn't choose a stone tablet for the Ten Commandments, God did.

Maybe God is not as hung up on the word being perfect and flawless as you are. Maybe He is more concerned that you are divisive with anyone who doesn't have the correct doctrine or isn't flawless in their execution of everything like you are. Maybe He considers all of your titanic efforts to serve Him as strange fire because you continue to substitute more head knowledge for deep repentance. He is weary of your fasting and your prayers because you continue to tolerate your own lust and anger and your merciless treatment of people who don't believe exactly as you do.

Why did God choose to only store the Ten Commandments on stone?

-
- Exodus 34:1: “And the LORD said to Moses, ‘Cut two tablets of stone like the first ones, and I will write on these tablets the words that were on the first tablets which you broke.’”

But elsewhere, we see a different directive.

- Revelation 1:11: “Saying, ‘I am Alpha and Omega, the first and the last: and, What thou seest, write in a book, and send it unto the seven churches which are in Asia.’”

Why is it written on a stone tablet in one place and a napkin in another?

Return to an Oral Tradition

John 3:16 reveals something to us that we may only understand after being in eternity for some time.

There are many questions surrounding the idea that God the Father chose to send God the Son to redeem man back to Himself. Why didn't Jesus volunteer? Why did He have to be sent? Why was this method required to redeem man? Wasn't there any other way?

The question I would like to explore, however, is how did God expect the teachings to be captured and preserved when sending His Son? Were the apostles instructed to feverishly write down as much as they could possibly capture as they followed Jesus around? Or perhaps they just sensed the destiny of the moment and tried to capture as much of what happened with Jesus as they could for all of humanity.

But the question remains: if one of your goals in sending Jesus is to ultimately have a Bible someday, the logistics of getting from Christ's arrival to a Bible are choppy waters, to say the least.

Let's not forget the Mount of Transfiguration, where the Father is speaking out loud to humans, or the baptism of Jesus, where an entire crowd of people hears the voice of the Father speaking out in the open air. This proves that God was capable and willing to speak directly to all of mankind, and He chose not to. He could have made finding our way to Him a lot more objective, but that doesn't seem to be His way.

Oral history timeline

How feasible is it that God would compel His church to be thrust back into an oral tradition after providing us with the Bible? That idea is the polar opposite of the DOP. Theological necessity suggests that God went to so much trouble to give us the word; it only makes sense that He would preserve it, right? No! God went to all that trouble, but now He's going to go all Tower of Babel and Amos 8 on you anyway because He doesn't want to share His glory with the Bible anymore.

Using the standard history timeline that has been presented to us, it took about 5,539 years of human history to get the first Bible printed in English. That's from Adam and Eve to Myles Coverdale's Bible, which was printed around 1535.

It pleased God not to send Christ for 4,004 years and then wait another 1,535 years before inspiring men to put this Bible together so we could all be properly saved and instructed.

I'm just going out on a limb here, but it doesn't seem like God is as worked up about this whole perfect, flawless Bible thing as much as a lot of church leaders are. God doesn't seem to place the same level of importance on us having a systematically organized "how-to" book as many church leaders do.

It's not like we didn't have any writings until the KJV Bible. There was an ever-evolving collection of Old Testament writings throughout history, so it wasn't like there were no scriptures until we got the Bible that we have today. But if you simply evaluate God's priorities by His actions, you would have to conclude that He wasn't in a hurry to deliver on the good book project.

It pleased God for man to have to find his way to Him without a perfect, flawless preserved word for the better part of 93% of all recorded history. This observation seems to fly in the face of the mountain of urgency that Theological Necessity wants to pressure us into.

It pleased God for man to grope

It seems that God would prefer us to be on the edge of our comfort zone, ever dependent on Him. It's almost as though He would prefer us to be helpless and dependent, like someone groping in the dark to try to find their way.

-
- Acts 17:27: “That they should seek the Lord, in the hope that they might grope for Him and find Him, though He is not far from each one of us.”

Groping? Really? OK, I’m sure there are a lot of people who would like to set me straight on how I’m misapplying this passage.

Ellicott’s Commentary for English Readers interprets it as: “The word for ‘feel after’ expresses strictly the act of groping in the dark.”

Theological necessity is advancing the very clear message that God is bending over backwards to give us this flawless revelation of Him with a pretty bow on it, but Acts 17:27 seems to indicate that God prefers us to be groping for answers, not having all the answers.

The mindset of the DOP brings pressure on the believer to ignore or even disparage the supernatural prophetic communication style that is characteristic of the New Testament saint in exchange for a PhD.

The “My sheep hear my voice” approach is replaced by an expectation of a formidable, “line upon line” scholastic undertaking required for the saint to find sanctification and discipleship. It is typically devoid of the *dunamis* power (Acts 1:8) necessary for this undertaking and is a miserable grind of striving and settling for crumbs that I personally want nothing to do with.

- Matthew 23:4: “For they bind heavy burdens, hard to bear, and lay them on men’s shoulders.
- Matthew 23:13: “You shut up the Kingdom of Heaven against men.”

This philosophy approaches walking with God as though there is a complex theological puzzle that must be deciphered. They teach that only after much effort has been expended in endless Bible studies, will the believer hope to discover the combination to peace in their soul and all the benefits of the Kingdom.

The simple New Testament teaching to “Put on Christ” (Romans 13:14) or “stay in the love of God” (Jude 1:21) is minimized as insufficient, and instead, the believer is convinced to adopt an emphasis on scripture in the life of the believer that is reminiscent of Matthew 23:4: “For they bind heavy burdens, hard to bear...”

The believer is goaded into pursuing a twisted search for significance through the accumulation of successive theological achievements.

This gnostic journey is similar to the journey of the acolyte that moves through the advancements of the thirty-three degrees of masonry. At each level, the believer has more and more to brag about and is tricked into thinking that these line-upon-line achievements are synonymous with a more intimate relationship with God. This type of religion creates ferocious monsters that eat their young and look down their noses at everyone who is unlike them. You see this kind of elevated boasting clearly depicted in:

- Luke 18:9-12: “And He spoke also this parable to some who trusted in themselves that they were righteous, and despised others: Two men went up into the temple to pray; the one a Pharisee, and the other a publican. The Pharisee, standing, prayed these things within himself: God, I thank thee that I am not as other men, extortioners, unjust, adulterous, or even as this publican. I fast twice in the week; I give tithes of all that I possess.”

Please point me to Jesus

A perfect example of this subtle misdirection that we've all been victims of is an experience I had when I was a youth pastor and worship leader at Times Square Church in New York. One of my mentors was Sister Gwen Warren. She was the worship leader and pastor of the music ministry that I was a part of. I sought her counsel on many occasions when I had conflicts with other people in the ministry. She always had the same advice for me when I started asking her what I should do. She always said, “Have you prayed about it?” I was looking for sagely wisdom so I could fix my problem, but she kept directing me to get God so He could fix my problem.

Eventually, I took her advice and simply prayed about a conflict I was having with someone in the ministry.

And as God as my witness, that person came to me on their own the next day and repented. I was stupefied. I watched God answer my prayer in less than twenty-four hours.

The object lesson in this encounter for us is that Gwen Warren was not pointing me to the scriptures to get the answer that I needed to fix my problem; she pointed me to the Living God so that He could fix my problem.

I'm not suggesting that the Bible is in any way similar to magic spells or the occult, but just to make my point, imagine that the Bible is a spell book. If you are casting a spell on someone, you don't talk to them and explain the spell you are casting, and then the spell works.

You do whatever the incantation requires and then you expect unseen forces to spring into action to accomplish your will. In a similar way, God is waiting for us to begin to do what is in the book instead of learning about what's in the book.

Scripture-centric Christianity as a substitute

This overemphasis on scripture seems to be driven by a lust to be in god-like control of everything, instead of "preparing the way" for the actual God to be present in the midst of His people. Most church leaders will say they want God to have His way in their meetings, but they really don't mean it.

They are very reluctant for God to show up because He always does things that are unexpected and uncomfortable. And because God typically only comes where He's invited, there isn't much of a struggle on Sunday morning in most churches. God's not showing up, and the leaders like it that way.

Instead, church leaders need a meaningful cause to fill their vacuous religious meetings. They need a substitute for their embarrassing inability to demonstrate the gospel. It's the elephant in the room; we all know it, and we've all gotten used to it.

Every time someone comes forward with addictions, sickness, depression, or long-term sin habits, we are reminded just how anemic our gospel is. Prayer is offered, but there is no outward sign that anything has happened. The pastor means well, but his prayer is more of a gesture than making a demand on the covenant that he has with God.

After people have received prayer for a while, signals are given that people can return to their seats. It's a lot like when doctors have tried to do CPR on someone, but they finally give up and admit the person is dead. Everyone wonders why God doesn't do something. We all sort of furrow our brows in concern for the hurting soul, shrug our shoulders, and keep soldiering on.

We have a variety of creative statements to maintain the illusion that we believe something is going to happen, but we really don't believe anything is going to happen.

We say things like, "God is never late, and He's always on time." "I'll continue to believe God with you for your healing."

I don't want to belittle anyone's attempt to press in for more of God, but it isn't until we admit that we are barren that anything is going to change.

If there is a call for testimony time, it's usually the same three people who spoke up last week, and they only do it because they sense the tension in the air. When the invitation is given and a whole minute goes by without anyone responding, they can't take the feeling of embarrassment that they know the pastor is obviously feeling, so they jump in to save the day.

And they commence to thank God that He helped them find their cat yesterday. "Poofy was behind the furnace in the basement, and it took me three hours to find her. I was so worried, but the Lord led me right to her, PRAISE THE LORD!"

It's a cringe worthy moment because we all want to see the blind eyes open, but we figure it's better than no testimonies at all. The leaders know there's no vodka in the punch bowl, so they have to redirect everyone's attention to the center ring like Barnum and Bailey.

This redirection comes in the form of nagging their followers into a fear-based belief system that features an elaborate dog and pony show of intellectual perfectionism.

The nectar of this narrative is elitism, doctrinal precision and appeals to authority. Credentials have the pre-eminence and are referenced often because that puts disagreeing out of reach for the rank-and-file follower. Many leaders are terrified that their people will get hungry for real God encounters so they keep them distracted with scholastic achievement.

I don't think many of these leaders even realize the treadmill they are on. They are just following the examples of those that went before them.

I am not making these observations in a vacuum as part of some fanciful philosophical exercise. What I am outlining is already happening, and this discussion seeks to suggest answers and find the mind of Christ for this upheaval. This event will be one of the most disruptive events of the entire church age, so we had better seek God for His blueprint.

Oral tradition Scriptures

It's clear that the gospel was first communicated orally rather than in writing. The majority of academics believe that Luke's gospel was written between eighty and ninety AD. Therefore, it is undeniable that throughout that time, the oral tradition was used to preserve Christ's teachings. Who's to say that God would not have His church pass through another period of time like that at the end of the age?

If we find ourselves in a Post-Canonized Scripture church era right now, then the church is going to have to acknowledge this and start formulating a response.

Here are a few examples of scripture that describe an oral tradition:

- Deuteronomy 6:6-7: "And these words which I command you today shall be in your heart. You shall teach them diligently to your children, and shall talk of them when you sit in your house, when you walk by the way, when you lie down, and when you rise up."
- 2 Timothy 2:2: "And the things that you have heard from me among many witnesses, commit these to faithful men who will be able to teach others also."

Here are additional scriptures that provide examples of the oral tradition:

Luke 1:1-4, Hebrews 10:15, Joshua 1:8, Matthew 26:13, Isaiah 59:21, Psalm 78:1-4, Exodus 24:3, 2 Thessalonians 2:15, 1 Corinthians 11:2.

This reversion to an oral tradition is going to introduce new doctrines to the body of Christ, such as the doctrine of pedigree, the doctrine of consensus, and the doctrine of adherence versus the doctrine of abandonment. We will explore these in the next chapter, but for now, if believers are to be equipped to respond to this new environment, they must begin by acknowledging that this is truly happening and develop strategies from God to respond to the new challenges that have been presented to us.

From Reading the Word to Being the Word John 1:14 tells us that “the Word became flesh and dwelt among us,” but in the book of Acts, we see the flesh becoming the Word. The gospel causes a transformation in man: “If any man is in Christ, he is a new creation” (2 Cor 5:17). This transformation takes place so that we can be restored to the Father. “No man comes to the Father, except through me” (John 14:6). We are not only born again to be loved but so that we may become love ourselves to a lost and dying world.

In Luke 9:55, the disciples wanted to call down fire on some people who were acting up, but Jesus rebuked them by saying,

- Luke 9:55: “You do not know what manner of spirit you’re of.”

When we begin to follow Jesus, we start to live our lives according to what the Bible commands. The challenge to this approach is that the Bible occasionally contains contradictory instructions. Are you to call down fire or turn the other cheek?

Peter smote Ananias and Sapphira with death (Acts 5:1-11) and Paul smote Elymas with blindness (Acts 13:6-12), and these two showstoppers came after this rebuke of the disciples who wanted to call down fire. Either Peter and Paul didn’t get the “no more fire from heaven” memo, or those severe judgments were actually called for in those situations. We can attempt to conduct our lives according to the teachings but miss the mark by a mile if we are not tuned in.

You can climb to the top of the tree of knowledge of good and evil, only to be found heaving apples at the people beneath you. Many have climbed very high in this tree, sold many books, and built large ministries, but they are not able to reflect the nature and character of the Lord Jesus Christ from their knowledge base.

They have become “endarkened” by knowledge. It’s like someone trying to take pictures with a camera that has the lens cap on. You can know everything there is about photography, but you won’t be able to get the job done until you remove the lens cap. The meal is no good without the sauce, and all of your profound teaching is ineffective without the transformative environment of the glory realms to go with it. You need both.

- Matthew 6:23: “If then the light within you is darkness, how great is that darkness?”

Jesus had to redirect their focus onto who they were, rather than what they knew about the law and the prophets. Jesus was getting them to shift their focus away from applying the appropriate action from the teaching to looking inward at what was in their hearts. He asked them, “What spirit do you belong to?” Nowadays, I believe that a lot of Christians are unaware of the kind of spirit they belong to. For this reason, it’s imperative that God’s children receive the revelation of the Father’s love to have sound minds.

Many of us are comfortable communing with Jesus or the Holy Spirit, but the Father is not really on our relational radar. But Jesus taught us to pray, “Our Father, which art in heaven...” (Matt 6:9).

One cannot comprehend God’s love without experiencing it. The apostle pointed out this gap between knowledge and love in:

- 1 Cor 13:2 “If I have the gift of prophecy and can fathom all mysteries and all knowledge, and if I have absolute faith so as to move mountains, but have not love, I am nothing.”

The apostle states that love is something that we are “to have.” Think of it as the smell of smoke on your clothes after sitting by the campfire. It comes by grace, by spending time with Him, and through obedience.

A heart full of love is free but can only be sustained through obedience. This love condition is extremely vulnerable to sin.

- Proverbs 4:23: “Guard your heart with all diligence, for out of it flow all the issues of life.”

It’s a substance that needs to be poured into us on a regular basis. We accomplish this by abiding in the vine (John 15:4).

And when we do, His love is shed abroad into our hearts by the Holy Ghost (Romans 5:5).

Rather than walking by the letter, we are to walk by the Spirit (Gal 5:16). If we walk in the Spirit, we will always walk in accordance with biblical principles. The Bible sets the limits, but we don't walk by them; instead, we follow the Spirit, which is in constant agreement with the Word. In this instance, the disciples were chastised because they were not walking in accordance with the Spirit.

Pray this prayer out loud wherever you are:

“God! I need you to speak to me because that is your way. You speak to your children. I don't want to miss out on all that you have for me anymore. I break covenant with every lie that says you don't want to speak to me. I believe that you want to speak to me more than I want you to speak to me. I want to hear from you more than anything I have ever wanted in this world. I want you to reveal yourself to me and speak to me, and I'm not going to stop asking until you speak to me. Thank you, Father, in Jesus' name.”

Just to get your juices flowing in this direction, here are a few examples where God is talking to people. This is the normal Christian life; this is for today; this is what your life should look like. When you read these passages, just replace your name with the name of the person God was talking to.

- Genesis 12:1-3: God called _____ to leave his country and promised to make him a great nation.
- Genesis 22:11-12: An angel of the Lord stopped _____ from sacrificing his son Isaac.
- Genesis 28:12-15: God spoke to _____ in a dream, promising him descendants and land.
- Exodus 3:2-4: An angel of the Lord appeared to _____ in a burning bush.
- Exodus 19:9-11: God spoke to _____ on Mount Sinai to prepare the Israelites for His appearance.
- 1 Samuel 3:4-10: God called _____ by name, revealing his future role as a prophet.

-
- 1 Kings 19:9-13: God spoke to _____ in a gentle whisper after a wind, earthquake, and fire.
 - Isaiah 6:1-8: God spoke to _____ in a vision, calling him to be a prophet.
 - Jeremiah 1:4-10: God spoke to _____, appointing him as a prophet to the nations.
 - Daniel 10:11-12: An angel spoke to _____, revealing future events.
 - Matthew 1:20-21: An angel of the Lord appeared to _____ in a dream, telling him to take Mary as his wife.
 - Luke 1:11-13: An angel of the Lord appeared to _____, announcing the birth of John the Baptist.
 - Luke 1:26-28: The angel Gabriel spoke to _____, announcing the birth of Jesus.
 - Acts 9:3-6: Jesus spoke to _____ on the road to Damascus, leading to his conversion.
 - Acts 10:3-6: An angel of God spoke to _____, instructing him to send for Peter.

Chapter 5 – DOP Scriptures refuted

The Word

If we are going to rightly divide the Word and genuinely understand the intent of these preservation promises, we must accept that part of this debate involves semantics.

According to dictionary.com, semantics is defined as:

- The branch of linguistics and logic concerned with meaning.

What is clearly taking place with many sincere, well-meaning Bible believers is that they repeatedly make the decision to latch onto a particular phrase within the text that supports their position, regardless of the meaning of the Word or the context in which it is delivered.

I know in my own heart that I have been tempted to do this occasionally, and when the Holy Spirit checks me, I have to have a minor moment of repentance and allow my integrity to come forward and lead the way. It is a moment of surrender where I demand from myself to allow the teaching to take me wherever it is going. I refuse to force the scriptures into what I want them to say. I allow the clear testimony of scripture to dominate my decision-making process. We all know that you can make the scriptures mean whatever you want if you try hard enough.

There is a very strong bias against the Bible being supernaturally changed for most people. As a result, there is a very strong tendency to seize on any phrase that sounds like it will support their position, no matter how incongruous their interpretation is from what is obviously being communicated.

There are about seven primary passages that are relied on by most Christians to demand that the scriptures are preserved and subsequently, that they could not be subject to an external attack, like the Mandela Effect. There may be fifteen or twenty if you really want to get down into the weeds, but my argument for everything after the first seven will be the same.

I have already provided significant commentary in the last chapter on how the preservation doctrines are formed based on presumption. These are presumptions that originate in a variety of sentimental arguments that cannot be supported from scripture.

Therefore, they cannot be dogmatically held up as doctrine that formulates a foundational theological position.

That means that the last line of defense for the proponents of preservation is going to be the passages that we will now examine. If these passages do not provide a very clear message that the writings themselves are protected from voodoo spells or quantum computers, then the church will have no defense against the claims of the Mandela Effect community.

If you are not convinced that this is happening, but you want to make sure that you're on the right side of history, you are going to have to give yourself permission to consider that the promises of preservation may not apply to the physical words on the page and accept that they may only be referring to the immutability of His decrees, His ongoing commitment to communicate, and God Himself.

You will also need to consider God's willingness to make His word inaccessible as a judgment. This means that whatever promises you think you have that the Bible won't change can be rescinded by God if He chooses.

Before I get into them, however, I need to address three misconceptions that are always held up as proofs that the Bible could not be subject to the Mandela Effect:

1. God's word is inspired; therefore, it cannot change.
2. God cannot lie; therefore, His word cannot change.
3. God never changes; therefore, His word cannot change.

The Word can't change because it's inspired

Since I have already covered the idea that "inspiration doesn't equal preservation" in the previous chapter, I will simply say, "I disagree." You can see why in earlier chapters.

The Word can't change because God doesn't change

It is very common for the unconvinced to blurt out, "Jesus is the same yesterday, today, and forever." This statement is rarely accompanied by any explanation.

I guess it is assumed that we will connect the idea that if God doesn't change, then it only seems logical that His scripture could never be changed either.

The first problem with that theory is the reality that the Bible is changing. If the Bible is changing and you tell me the Bible can't change, then we can't both be right.

A more fundamental problem however, is the realization that the scriptures don't teach that concept. The Bible does not teach that since Jesus is the same yesterday, today, and forever, it is therefore a forgone conclusion that the terrestrial book could not possibly be part of an end-times sign and wonder that God Himself has called for. That narrative is just SMU, "stuff made up."

The only thing holding up your belief that the Bible can't change is you. Theological necessity is a guess, and as we will see, there are no scriptural promises to support your position either.

Your position is supported by a panic-level need for this to be true. This mindset is driving a world of willful ignorance and looking the other way when it comes to rightly dividing the word.

As I have already demonstrated earlier in the book, God has allowed many things that He has inspired to become corrupted, or He has judged them Himself, so He would certainly allow this to happen as well.

His creation was inspired, but then we read:

- Hebrews 1:12 - "And as a vesture shalt thou fold them up, and they shall be changed: but thou art the same, and thy years shall not fail."

God folds up His inspired works from time to time. Therefore, there is no theological basis to claim that because the scriptures are inspired, the scriptures cannot change. This is an unfounded dogma that drives many triggered, agitated responses.

The Word can't change because God can't lie

This concept that God does not change is often coupled with the testimony that God cannot lie. The believer who is confronted with the idea that the Bible is supernaturally changing will usually rise up and become agitated. Their reasoning goes something like this:

-
1. God does not change.
 2. Additionally, God has promised in His Word that His Word will not change.
 3. We also know that God cannot lie.
 4. Therefore, if God doesn't change, and God said in His Word that the Bible can't change, and if God cannot lie, then that's the end of the conversation.
 5. God said it, and that's final! There's no need to even waste time debating this topic!

I understand your position, but principles two and four are flawed. The Bible does not teach that it cannot change, and therefore God is not a liar if the Bible is changing. The term "scripture" and the term "Word of God" are not the same thing. Therefore, the promises that you're relying on for preservation are not talking about the scripture; they are talking about God's eternal decrees.

This revelation then relieves you of your next conclusion, which is if the Bible is changing, then God is a liar. Since God did not promise you that the Bible cannot change, He is not a liar if it is changing. It is not the Mandelita who is confused; it is the unconvinced.

Promises that God doesn't change:

- Hebrews 13:8 - "Jesus Christ is the same yesterday, today, and forever."
- Malachi 3:6 - "For I the Lord do not change;"
- James 1:17 - "Every good gift and every perfect gift is from above, coming down from the Father of lights with whom there is no variation or shadow due to change."

Promises that God cannot lie:

- Numbers 23:19 - "God is not a man, that He should lie; neither the son of man, that He should repent: hath He said, and shall He not do it? or hath He spoken, and shall He not make it good?"

- 1 Samuel 15:29 - “And also the Strength of Israel will not lie nor repent: for He is not a man, that He should repent.”
- Titus 1:2 - “In hope of eternal life, which God, that cannot lie, promised before the world began;”
- Hebrews 6:18 - “That by two immutable things, in which it was impossible for God to lie, we might have a strong consolation, who have fled for refuge to lay hold upon the hope set before us:”

Preservation Scriptures refuted

John 10:35

The only passage that seems like it might give the unconvinced a theological leg to stand on is John 10:35. A foundational observation that allows the Mandelites to conclude that it is possible for the Bible to change, is the fact that the preservation promises always use the term “word” and not the term “scripture.” By pointing out that “scripture” and “word” are not the same thing, we are able to conclude that the promises for preservation do not refer to the terrestrial book; they refer to a variety of other things that we have already covered in earlier chapters.

In John 10:35, however, the word “scripture” is used in conjunction with the word “broken,” and the unconvinced therefore suggest that this is a promise of preservation. The unconvinced often sound appalled when they point to this passage as a proof text. They don't think very kindly of us when we suggest that the Bible might be changing because they view passages like this to be so unambiguous. The wording seems to give them what they need to refute our position, so they hurriedly add it to their arsenal of proof texts.

But most have not bothered to look at its context or consult a commentary before issuing their emphatic declarations. Upon closer examination, it is easy to see that it is not a promise of preservation; it is speaking of something else entirely.

The context of this parenthetical statement is to highlight the fact that:

-
- The authority of scripture is final.
 - It cannot be disobeyed.
 - It cannot be objected to.
 - It cannot be deprived of its binding authority.

These immutable qualities of God's decrees are not nullified, and man is still in subjection to them regardless of whether he has access to them or not. In a similar way, a Christian today is still subject to these truths even if access is being denied through the supernatural Bible changes. The scriptures becoming inaccessible through this phenomenon do not conflict with the enduring nature of God's authority or create a controversy in any way.

We accept all of these concepts, and none of them are at odds with the idea that the scriptures are being altered. We believe the decrees of God are immutable, but we do not believe the words on the page are immutable. The Bible does not teach that the words on the page are immutable, so you should not believe that either. You believe that because that is what you have been told to believe, but are you a "pastor believer" or a "Bible believer?"

Many people might think that I'm criticizing the Bible, but in reality, I'm advocating for a stricter adherence to what is stated in its pages.

This passage cannot be twisted into a promise by God to preserve the scriptures. It is saying that the scriptures are an authoritative source of truth, but it does not provide any promise of preservation. If the word "change" were in the text and the context was supportive, it would be a different story. In other words, the term "broken" does not mean "changed."

To understand this little parenthetical insert, you simply have to look at another passage that uses the exact same word, and you will immediately understand what it is really saying.

- **John 10:34-35:** "Jesus answered them, 'Is it not written in your law, 'I said, 'You are gods'? If He called them gods, to whom the word of God came (and the Scripture cannot be broken)..."

- **John 5:18:** “Therefore the Jews sought the more to kill Him, because He not only had broken the Sabbath, but said also that God was His Father, making Himself equal with God.”

Both passages use the same Greek word. Strong’s G3089 – *lyō*. In John 5, the word “broken” clearly means “obeyed”; in John 10, the statement means the same thing. The insert in John 10:35 is saying that the scripture must be obeyed, not the scripture is forever protected from tampering.

Barnes Notes on the Bible:

- “The scripture cannot be broken - See Matthew 5:19. The authority of the scripture is final; it cannot be set aside. The meaning is, “If, therefore, the scripture uses the word ‘god’ (אֱלֹהִים *elohiym*) as applied to magistrates, it settles the question that it is right to apply the term to those in office and authority.”

It's important to note that this phrase appears in the text in parentheses. This means that this statement was added to alert the reader that it was not part of the original text, but was added by translators to aid in interpretation. Since this tiny phrase is not even part of the inspired text, and it is clearly being taken out of context, it should not be used as a proof text. The statement, “the scripture cannot be broken,” is not a promise of preservation.

Psalm 12

- Vs 1 - Psalm 12: “Help, LORD; for **the godly man ceaseth**; for the faithful fail from among the children of men.”
- Vs 2 - “They speak vanity every one with his neighbour: with flattering lips and with a double heart do they speak.”
- Vs 3 - “The LORD shall cut off all flattering lips, and the tongue that speaketh proud things:”
- Vs 4 - “Who have said, With our tongue will we prevail; our lips are our own: who is lord over us?”
- Vs 5 - “For the **oppression of the poor, for the sighing of the needy**, now will I arise, saith the LORD; I will set **him** in safety from him that puffeth at him.”

-
- Vs 6 - “The words of the LORD are pure words: as silver tried in a furnace of earth, purified seven times.”
 - Vs 7 - “**Thou shalt keep them**, O LORD, thou shalt preserve them from this generation for ever.”
 - Vs 8 - “The wicked walk on every side, when the vilest men are exalted.”

I don't understand what all the confusion is about regarding Psalm 12. The only conclusion I can draw from what I am seeing throughout the entire body of Christ is that many well-meaning teachers of God's word are playing fast and loose with this passage for a variety of reasons.

This Psalm is not hard to understand. It's only eight verses long, and it's completely unambiguous. There are no mystical metaphors or historical references to trip you up. The context is clear, and you don't need a Bible school degree to understand what the Psalmist is saying.

Why are most Bible teachers coming up with the wrong interpretation of this Psalm? Why are most Bible teachers holding this up as a promise from God to put a force field around the terrestrial Bible?

You find out what the subject is in verse one.

- Vs 1: “Help, LORD; for the godly man ceaseth; for the faithful fail from among the children of men.”

What is being discussed here in verse one? What is the topic of this Psalm so far? Would you agree that the topic in this Psalm so far is “the godly man?”

What leads you to believe that the subject changes between now and the end of the Psalm when you have to decide what verse seven is referring to? Verse seven is referring to verse one. You know it, and I know it. No other themes that the Psalm explores will change that. If the psalmist explores the contrast between the words of deceitful, evildoers and the pure, reliable words of God, it doesn't change the subject of the Psalm. The subject is what is discussed in verse one. The subject is the godly man.

If you agree with that, then there should be no confusion when you get to verse seven and have to decide what verse seven is referring to.

And if you admit that, you need to stop holding this Scripture up as a promise from God that He will never let anything happen to your Bible. If you agree with this interpretation, then you need to stop pointing to your Bible and quoting Psalm 12 as a preservation promise. Stop pointing to your Bible and saying, “God promised us in Psalm 12 that He would preserve His word.” No, He didn’t! You are promising that, but He isn’t.

Almost every commentary concludes that verse seven is referring to verse one, not verse six. Most translations translate it that way as well. It seems that the only people trying to suggest otherwise are DOP proponents with an agenda.

The obvious subject of this Psalm is further reinforced in verse five when we read:

- Vs 5: - “For the oppression of the poor, for the sighing of the needy, now will I arise, saith the LORD; I will set him in safety from him that puffeth at him.”

And so it is, verse seven is referring to verse one and verse five. Anyone that believes otherwise is in the minority. Why should anyone believe that you are right when virtually all commentaries and most Bible versions disagree with you? It’s crystal clear what is being discussed prior to verse seven.

The psalmist is describing God's faithfulness to uphold the needs of the godly man. The psalm glorifies God's covenant commitment to those in need, not to preserve His words on paper to all generations. God can continue to preserve the godly man even if the Bible disappears entirely.

And it is that faithfulness of God that the psalmist is referring to in verse six, when he likens God's faithfulness to silver that has been proven through many tests and trials.

The statement in verse six is an endorsement of God’s reliability. It is a metaphor to illustrate the reliability of the promises being made to the godly man in the psalm.

The psalmist is providing a word picture about silver being purified as an analogy of how reliable God's promises are. The promises of God to protect the godly man are described as pure words. What is meant in pointing out their purity is that they are free from duplicity or unreliability. They are one hundred percent reliable.

Silver that has been purified is free from contaminants and will hold all of its value. In a similar way, the saint has passed through many fires and found that God always came through and therefore can be trusted.

The reckless mishandling of this passage, however, is accomplished by applying this statement in verse seven to this analogy in verse six. The analogy in verse six is not the subject of the promise in verse seven; the analogy is supporting the veracity of the promise made to the godly man.

Verse seven is not saying that God will preserve His words for all generations. He's saying God will preserve the godly man for all generations, and verse six is simply letting you know that you can "take that promise to the bank, baby."

Verse six is like when someone tells you, "Hey man, this is me you are talking to; you can trust me on this one."

God's promise to protect you is as good as gold. His promises for you are like silver that has been tried seven times in a furnace. That is what verse six is saying.

While verse six says that the words of the Lord are without flaw, it says nothing specifically about the preservation of these words. Verse six does not deal directly with the subject. The promise of preservation only comes in verse seven and has to be interpreted as to where it will be applied.

Based on everything we have discussed, it seems clear that verse seven does not apply to verse six, and therefore Psalm 12 cannot be interpreted to be a promise from God to preserve the terrestrial Bible from being supernaturally changed.

The unfortunate reason why Psalm 12 is the most quoted passage to suggest preservation is that the wording you see in verses six and seven provides what appears to be the clearest promise of preservation found anywhere in the scripture.

- Vs 6: - "The words of the LORD are pure words: as silver tried in a furnace of earth, purified seven times."
- Vs 7: - "Thou shalt keep them, O LORD, thou shalt preserve them from this generation forever."

If you wrongly conclude that verse seven is referring to the words of the Lord in verse six, then you have one heck of a preservation proof text.

Unfortunately, most Bible teachers are like a determined detective who keeps the suspect under a bare bulb interrogation until they crack and provide a confession. They know the confession isn't true, but they are able to get the suspect to say the words they need to secure a conviction. Psalm 12 has been pummeled into submission by DOP proponents with whiteboards and an adamant tone in their voice.

It's a lie of convenience. One only has to listen to the preserved word teachers unpack Psalm 12 to understand that they are willfully ignorant if not bold-faced lying. Psalm 12, being pawned off on innocent truth seekers as a preservation promise is an outrage. The lengths that I have seen Bible teachers go to in an effort to force Psalm 12 into the preservation category have been truly astonishing. This includes pastors, PhD-level theologians, Bible school professors and the like. It is so universally mishandled that I fear that there is a conspiracy to deceive God's people. It is so obviously not a preservation promise that I have no other explanation for so many providing such a ridiculous interpretation.

I suspect that my interpretation of Psalm 12 and my suspicions about church leaders may be confirmed if the majority of opposing responses to this consist exclusively of ad hominem attacks and attempts to discredit me by pointing out my lack of credentials.

But none of us care about your credentials anymore. The entire thesis of this book is that the misguided priority placed on credentials has led all of us astray.

Your credentials are your biggest problem, and it's unscriptural to hold them over people as a litmus test of their authenticity.

- Acts 4:13 "The people took note that the apostles were unlearned men, but they had been with Jesus."
- 1 Peter 5:2 "Shepherd the flock of God which is among you, serving as overseers, not by compulsion but willingly, not for dishonest gain but eagerly; nor as being lords over those entrusted to you, but being examples to the flock."

-
- Matthew 20:25 “But Jesus called them to Himself and said, “You know that the rulers of the Gentiles lord it over them, and those who are great exercise authority over them. Yet it shall not be so among you.”
 - Luke 11:43 “Woe to you Pharisees, because you love the most important seats in the synagogues and respectful greetings in the marketplaces.”

If you are going to refute what I am saying, please stick to the merits of the argument. Any attempt to discredit me or anyone in this community without addressing our positions will be immediately identified as ungodly manipulation, not holy indignation. Instead of trying to attack the messenger to win points with your followers, how about you explain why you are right and all of these commentaries are wrong regarding Psalm 12. Please be specific.

Benson Commentary

- “Thou wilt preserve them” — Hebrew, תצַרְנִי, titzrennu, “thou wilt keep him,” that is, “thy poor and lowly servant” (spoken of in Psalm 12:5).

Matthew Henry’s Concise Commentary

- “God will secure His chosen remnant; however bad the times are. As long as the world stands, there will be a generation of proud and wicked men. But all God’s people are put into the hands of Christ our Saviour; there they are in safety, for none can pluck them thence.”

Barnes’ Notes on the Bible

- “Thou shalt keep them, that is, the persons referred to in Psalm 12:5.”

Gill’s Exposition of the Entire Bible

- “Thou shalt keep them, O Lord.... Not the words before mentioned, as Aben Ezra explains it, for the affix is masculine and not feminine; but the sense is that God will keep the poor and needy.”

Cambridge Bible for Schools and Colleges

- “The first ‘Thou’ is emphatic: ‘them’ refers to the poor and needy of Psalm 12:5.”

Pulpit Commentary

- “Thou shalt keep them, O Lord. God having promised to set the righteous, who are oppressed, in a place of safety (verse 5).”

Even if I concede that you are correct about Psalm 12, you would still be incorrect. Because even if verse seven is promising that God will preserve His word, it does not tell you where He will preserve His word. You have to go to Malachi 3:3 for that answer.

- Malachi 3:3 “He will sit as a refiner and a purifier of silver; He will purify the sons of Levi and purge them as gold and silver, that they may offer to the LORD an offering in righteousness.”

The silver that God will purify in Psalm 12:6 is the heart of man. He is going to preserve His Word in the hearts of men in the post-canonized Scripture church era, not on the pages of the Bible.

So far, I think it’s fair to suggest that we are avoiding the heretic category if we are claiming that the Bible is under attack. Neither John 10:35 nor Psalm 12 teaches that the scriptures are preserved. Let’s keep going.

Next up is another mainstay of the “Bible can’t change” camp. This passage, however, turns out to be a proof text for the Mandelites, not the unconvinced.

Matthew 5:17-18

- “Think not that I am come to destroy the law, or the prophets: I am not come to destroy, but to fulfil. For verily I say unto you, Till heaven and earth pass, one jot or one tittle shall in no wise pass from the law, till all be fulfilled.”

Matthew 5:18 uses the terms "jot" and "tittle" to allude to the smallest aspect of the written Hebrew language, emphasizing the accuracy and infallibility of the law. Jesus is stressing that all of the written law—even the tiniest letter and stroke—remains in force and needs to be obeyed.

The enduring nature of God's decrees, however, do not preclude them from becoming inaccessible as part of an end times judgment. Very thing that the law and prophets declared is still in effect and will continue to be in effect until all is fulfilled.

The reasoning is flawed that suggests that because the smallest part of the law won't pass away, that it will therefore remain accessible to everyone in writing. If that is true, how do you explain the estimated 3,241 living languages that do not have a Bible in their language?⁶

The law and the prophets remain in effect to the pagan in the jungle, but God's decrees are completely inaccessible to them. And that is exactly what is happening to you right now. The "jot or tittle" argument is irrelevant. Just as it is with the pagan, the law and the prophets remain in effect for Christians in modernity, even though they are passing into a "famine of the Word" as described in Amos 8:11?

It is assumed that the jot or tittle refers to the written text being preserved, when it's merely emphasizing that there is no aspect of what God has decreed that can be nullified. Additionally, Jesus is emphasizing that the New Testament believer remains obligated to be in subjection to the moral law moving forward.

This passage is part of the sermon on the Mount where Jesus is laying out the marching orders of the New Testament believer. He is making a number of distinctions between the Old and New Testaments and wanted to ensure that there was no misunderstanding. This reminder that we were still obligated to observe the moral law is inserted into the middle of the sermon on the mount for a reason.

I believe it is there in an attempt to balance the new guidelines of grace with the continuing requirement of holiness. The sermon on the mount is a comprehensive inventory of how we are to behave and this passage is a part of that.

It is a reminder not to lightly esteem the grace of God, but it is not a promise that the teachings that were recorded on parchments and canonized would be somehow miraculously preserved from tampering.

⁶ <https://wycliffe.org.uk/statistics/>

Another possible interpretation of this passage that supports our position, is to point out that whenever anyone quotes this Scripture as a proof text that the Bible can't change, what is always emphasized is the part that says, "until heaven and earth pass away." The conclusion drawn from this misguided emphasis is that since heaven and earth have not passed away yet, the Bible could not possibly be changing.

However, if you read the whole passage and really think about what you are reading, you will quickly see that it is not saying that at all.

What it is actually saying is:

- Jesus did not come to abolish the law but to fulfill it.
- The thing that determines whether the jot or tittle can change is not heaven and earth passing away; it is whether or not all has been fulfilled.
- Since Jesus did come, then all has been fulfilled.
- Since all has been fulfilled, the jot and tittle are now free to pass away.

Matthew 5:17 ... "one jot or one tittle shall in no wise pass from the law, **till all be fulfilled.**" Isn't it clear that the condition for the jot or tittle being free to pass away has been met by the fact that all has been fulfilled?

This interpretation suggests that the fact that heaven and earth are still here is irrelevant. Jesus fulfilled the law and prophets by coming, and therefore, the condition has been met for the jot or tittle to be subject to change. Here are three commentaries supporting the idea that He did fulfill the law and the prophets when He came:

Ellicott's Commentary for English Readers

- "Interpreted on a wider scale, He came to 'fulfill the Law and prophets,' as He came 'to fulfill all righteousness.'"

Benson Commentary

- "He fulfilled in Himself all those predictions of the prophets which had been uttered concerning the Messiah."

Barnes' Notes on the Bible

- “But to fulfill - To complete the design; to fill up what was predicted; to accomplish what was intended in them.”

It is important to point out that the passage makes two uses of the word "till" (or "until"), introducing a different condition each time:

- Condition 1: "Till heaven and earth pass"
- Condition 2: "Till all be fulfilled"

It is also a fair interpretation to suggest that the two conditions in the passage are interconnected rather than mutually exclusive. In this alternate interpretation, both would need to be fulfilled for the jot or tittle to pass away.

As we have shown in previous chapters, the permanence and completeness of the law do not preclude it from becoming inaccessible. And because the enduring nature of God's decrees are not synonymous with the written text, there is no promise here that the scriptures themselves will be preserved.

Psalm 119:89

This is another centerpiece proof text for the “Bible can't change” position. It is commonly quoted to us in our interactions with Christians and church leaders to suggest that the Mandela Effect would not be able to cause the scriptures to be altered. Let's take a closer look at what it is promising.

Psalm 119:89: “Forever, O LORD, thy word is settled in heaven.”

- Duration: Forever
- What is settled: Thy word
- Where: In heaven
- How: Undeclared

The first error being made here is to automatically conflate the term “thy Word” with your KJV Bible in English. We have spent a considerable amount of time refuting the idea that the Bible, the Scripture, and the Word are all the same thing. They are not.

If they are not the same, then this passage is not promising that the Scriptures are forever settled in heaven; it is promising that the Word is forever settled in heaven.

If they are not the same, it is the Scriptures that are being changed, not the Word. The Scriptures can be changed without affecting the eternal decrees that are safely stored in heaven and in the hearts of His people.

The next leap of faith is how the word “settled” is being handled. The word translated “settled” comes from the word *naw-tsab*

- Biblical usage – “to stand, take one's stand, stand upright, be set (over).”
- Strong's H5324 *naw-tsab*'; a primitive root; to station, in various applications (literally or figuratively) appointed, establish.
- Gesenius' Hebrew-Chaldee Lexicon – To set, to put, to place

This word carries more of a sense of placement than preservation. And when you combine that meaning with the location of where it will be settled you have a very strong proof text for the Mandela Effect community. This passage is a promise to settle the Word in heaven, not on the terrestrial pages of the book.

You might suggest that I'm splitting doctrinal hairs, but if you do, I will accuse you of sloppy doctrine. It says what it says, and it means what it says. The jurisdiction of the preservation is not on earth; it's not on the pages of your KJV Bible.

It is a huge presumption to convert the jurisdiction to earth when it clearly says heaven. Please stop playing switch-a-roo with words that don't fit your narrative.

For this to be a preservation promise, it would need to say, “Forever, O Lord, thy Scriptures are forever settled in the realms of men and preserved on the scrolls of the ancients.” Something like that would be a game changer, but I can't find that anywhere.

As a result, this is yet another proof text for the Mandelites, not the unconvinced. This passage proves that your Bible does not have a force field around it and that it most certainly can be changed.

The score, if I may be so bold, is now four to zero. At this point, there are exactly zero scriptures that teach that the Bible can't change, but maybe the next few will turn the tide. Let's see.

Isaiah 59:21

- “As for me, this is my covenant with them, saith the LORD; My spirit that is upon thee, and my words which I have put in thy mouth, shall not depart out of thy mouth, nor out of the mouth of thy seed, nor out of the mouth of thy seed's seed, saith the LORD, from henceforth and forever.”

This passage is not a promise that the KJV Bible in English, or any written scripture, will be preserved as we are being asked to believe. It clearly promises something very different.

It is irresponsibly assumed that the reason that God's word will not depart out of thy mouth, and out of the mouth of thy seed, is because God is going to continue to preserve the written scriptures and perpetuate the knowledge of the scriptures. As a result, it is assumed that the word will not “depart out of thy mouth.” But the text doesn't say that anywhere; it has to be read into the text.

What it says instead is, “my words which I have put in thy mouth shall not depart out of thy mouth.” It doesn't say that He will put His words on a scroll and preserve them so that thy words will not depart out of thy mouth, but that's how you're being asked to interpret it.

This promise is actually describing the fact that God had initiated a covenant to have a vibrant, intimate relationship with His people. One in which He would communicate directly with His children by speaking to them on a regular basis and indelibly writing His requirements on the inside of them. You can't take that and then turn it into a “Bible has a force field” doctrine. I'm sorry, that's a no-go, Joe.

It is a promise that He will remain accessible and connected and that He has written His law upon the heart of His people. He is promising to follow through on this promise found in:

- Hebrews 10:16 “This is the covenant I will make with them after that time, says the Lord. I will put my laws in their hearts, and I will write them on their minds.”

This commentary on Isaiah 59:21 agrees

Barnes' Notes on the Bible

- “And my words” - The Chaldee understands this of prophecy. But it seems rather to refer to the truth of God in general, which He had revealed for the guidance and instruction of His church. “Shall not depart out of thy mouth” - This phrase probably means that the truth of God would be the subject of perpetual meditation and conversation. The covenant would be deemed so precious that it would constantly dwell on the tongues of those who were interested in it.

Ellicott's Commentary for English Readers

- “The new covenant is to involve the gift of the Spirit, which writes the law of God inwardly in the heart, as distinct from the Law, which is thought of as outside the conscience.”

It doesn't say, “My spirit that is upon thee, and my words which my servant has recorded on the scrolls, shall not depart from the scrolls.” Does it say that? No. It doesn't say that. If anything, this is a promise to preserve the Word through the oral tradition, not on the pages of a book. “My spirit that is upon thee, and my words which I have put in thy mouth, shall not depart out of thy mouth.” The scriptures are telling you exactly where He will preserve His decrees.

He's going to write it on our hearts like the indelible tablets of stone and He's going to “put His words in our mouths.” He's not putting them on paper; He's putting them in our mouths.

Matthew Poole's Commentary

- “Shall not depart out of thy mouth,” the sense is either that these words, and the fulfilling of them, shall be always talked of, wherever the mention of this deliverance shall come, as is said of Mary's ointment (Matthew 26:13) (Oral tradition). Or rather, he seems to promise the perpetual presence of His word and Spirit with the prophets, apostles, ministers, and teachers of the church to all succeeding ages thereof, and may have a

special reference to the gospel or new covenant in Christ.
(Preserved in the hearts of His people)

So far in my analysis, there are still zero scriptures that promise that the scriptures would not be subject to the Mandela Effect. Let's keep going.

1 Peter 1:23

- “Being born again, not of corruptible seed, but of incorruptible, by the ‘Word of God,’ which liveth and abideth for ever.”

This passage contains the phrase “Word of God” and the term “incorruptible” in the same sentence. This makes it an ideal candidate if you’re looking for a proof text for preservation.

The only problem is it’s not promising preservation. It’s not communicating the idea that God is promising to preserve His word in any way.

Because you have the term “incorruptible” and the phrase “Word of God” together, it sounds like it is teaching that the scriptures are incorruptible, unchangeable and invincible!

And of course, if you then incorrectly and automatically convert the phrase “Word of God” into meaning your KJV Bible in English, then “Presto,” you have a promise that is teaching that the Bible can’t change.

This hermeneutical sleight of hand is regularly perpetrated by sincere Christians because they are motivated by a zeal for God’s house. They take issue with anyone who would seek to tarnish God’s honor, but this noble motive can blind them to God’s higher plan. Joseph's brothers didn’t recognize the higher plan when Joseph told them about his dream.

They rejected him and eventually sold him into slavery because they were unaware that Joseph's dream was part of God's plan to preserve everyone during a future famine.

The person defending the Bible changes is like someone distributing a universal translator during the Tower of Babel intervention. No! God wanted to confuse their language; (Genesis 11:7) the Father wanted Jesus to go to the cross; (John 3:16) and God wants the Bible to be scrambled in this hour (Daniel 7:25).

So, get behind me, Satan; you are concerned about the things of men and not of God. (Matthew 16:23)

1 Peter 1:23 has terminology that's in the same neighborhood as a preservation promise. It is hijacked and irresponsibly utilized to push an agenda.

If you point to 1 Peter 1:23 as a proof text for preservation, what you are suggesting is that the written scripture in English is synonymous with what is referred to as "the incorruptible seed." But the incorruptible seed is not the written scriptures; it is the living principle that the scriptures convey.

John 3:6 gives us an excellent insight into what 1 Peter 1:23 is trying to convey. It describes the intangible nature of the Kingdom of heaven and the born-again experience. This is exactly what 1 Peter 1:23 is talking about.

- John 3:6 "Flesh is born of flesh, but spirit is born of the Spirit. Do not be amazed that I said, 'You must be born again.' The wind blows where it wishes. You hear its sound, but you do not know where it comes from or where it is going. So it is with everyone born of the Spirit."

This insight from the master in John 3:6 is the same sentiment that we find in 1 Peter 1:23.

- 1 Peter 1:23 "Being born again, not of corruptible seed, but of incorruptible, by the 'Word of God,' which liveth and abideth for ever."

The Word of God is not the "incorruptible seed," it is the vehicle by which the incorruptible seed is delivered into our hearts.

1 Peter 1:23 does not tell us that the Word of God is the incorruptible seed, it tells us the incorruptible seed came to us "by the 'Word of God.'"

Drawing from the context of the passage, we learn that the incorruptible seed is:

- The promise of salvation that is offered to everyone, not just the chosen race.
- The seed of God, not Abraham.

-
- The promise of salvation through spiritual regeneration by faith, not due to your Abrahamic descent.
 - Acts 15:9 “God put no difference between us and them, having purified their hearts by faith.”

Long story short, the commentaries are not identifying the imperishable seed as the written scriptures in English. They see it as something much higher and intangible.

Ellicott’s Commentary for English Readers

- The “seed” of all existence is the spoken Word of God, the expressed will and meaning of creative thought (Psalm 33:6); and so here, even when spoken mediately through the lips of men (as explained in 1 Peter 1:25), it is that which begets men afresh.

Barnes’ Notes on the Bible

- Not of corruptible seed - “Not by virtue of any descent from human parents” - But of incorruptible - By truth, communicating a living principle to the soul which can never decay. Compare 1 John 3:9; “His seed remaineth in him; and he cannot sin, because he is born of God.”

Gill’s Exposition of the Entire Bible

- “Being born again by the Word of God, which liveth and abideth for ever; for the incorruptible seed, and the ever-living and abiding word, are two distinct things; though interpreters generally confound them: and by ‘the Word of God’ is either meant the essential Word, the Lord Jesus Christ; who is concerned in regeneration as well as the Father and the Spirit; by whose resurrection, and in consequence of it, the elect of God are begotten again.”

The incorruptible seed passage cannot be used as a proof text for the “Bible can’t change” narrative. This is an example of what I said in the very beginning about semantics and people seizing on any term that seems to fit their narrative.

The incorruptible seed is that which comes from heaven to save men’s souls; the fact that the promises for that are delivered through the scriptures that are becoming inaccessible through an end-times judgment is a separate issue.

The fact that we are “being born again, not of corruptible seed, but of incorruptible, by the ‘Word of God,’ which liveth and abideth for ever” is not a promise that the written scriptures will be preserved.

Isaiah 40:8

- Isaiah 40:8: “The grass withers, the flower fades, but the word of our God will stand forever.”

I’m going to end with Isaiah 40:8 because otherwise, I’m just going to keep making the same point over and over again.

We have already made our case that the term “Word of God” does not necessarily mean the Bible or a written scripture. So, if the promise for preservation is in reference to the “Word of God,” then the Scriptures can change, and there is no discrepancy. This position applies to all passages that contain this wording like we see in Isaiah 40:8. Other passages that this would apply to include:

Promises That the “word” won’t change

- Matthew 24:35: “Heaven and earth will pass away, but my words will not pass away.”
- Psalm 119:160: “The sum of your word is truth, and every one of your righteous rules endures forever.”
- Psalm 138:2: “For you have exalted your Word above all your name.”
- Isaiah 55:10-11: “So shall my word be that goes out from my mouth; it shall not return to me empty.”

Review

In earlier chapters we addressed the concepts and presumptions that suggest that God has preserved his word throughout the generations.

Theological necessity and the idea that inspiration automatically equates to preservation have been shown to lack substance and are essentially presumptions that cannot be supported by scripture.

In this chapter we reviewed some of the specific proof texts that are put forward to also suggest that God has promised to preserve His Word in the scriptures. Our position is that these passages have either been taken out of context like Psalms 12, or they've been mishandled by not properly making the distinction between the term Scripture and the term Word of God.

I believe I have been successful in demonstrating that there is nothing in the doctrine of preservation that stands in the way of an Amos 8:11 type judgment being leveled on the Scriptures.

In the next chapter, we will explore some of the responses that are emerging from this and the ramifications of this event on doctrine.

Following that, we will do a thorough review of the human memory and examine the arguments that form the foundation of the unconvinced attempts to explain away our testimony.

Through a series of questions in the last chapters, we will thoroughly and effectively eliminate the possibility that our testimony can be explained by misremembering or delusion.

At that point, I don't believe any rational-thinking person will be able to deny that this is taking place. The only thing left for you will be to decide how you're going to respond to that. You are either going to go public with this and suffer whatever consequences may come, or you are going to become a co-conspirator with the enemy of God, which will almost certainly result in the loss of your salvation.

I'm not saying that people that cannot perceive this are going to hell. I'm saying that church leaders that have read this far in this book, and subsequently make public statements to the effect that "there is no evidence that the Bible is supernaturally changing," will be engaged in the most premeditated, egregious deception upon their listeners that I don't think you will survive the judgment.

Chapter 6 - New doctrines

New rules for a new dispensation

The ramifications of the supernatural Bible changes are profound and unfathomable. This event raises so many questions that seem impossible to answer.

How can we have faith to believe God, or how will God righteously judge people if the scriptures that they are judged by have been corrupted. These are just a few of the many questions that we are all asking each other.

By introducing a few of the observations that I have made over the last seven years, I hope to shorten the reader's learning curve, and help them adapt to this post canonized scripture church era.

New doctrines

The definition of the term *doctrine* is teachings, beliefs, or principles that are taught within a particular religious tradition or community.

I do not presume to be setting down new doctrine for the entire church by any stretch of the imagination. I do not presume to be the spokesperson for anyone. What I am presenting are simple observations that I have made over the last seven years as a content creator on this topic.

What I'm referring to as doctrines are merely the various reactions that many have had to this phenomenon. They are trends that began to present themselves as people were forced to formulate a response to this event.

I could refer to these as observations, but they are in effect, new doctrines forming spontaneously out of necessity. They are for all intents and purposes, teachings, beliefs, or principles which is the definition of doctrine. For instance, there are different factions forming within the community that believe that the changes are so obvious, that an inability to perceive them is a salvation issue. (Note: I do not hold that position).

There is division in the community about which scriptures have changed and which have not. There is debate about how to settle such matters or how we are to disciple new converts.

Many are wrestling as to whether they should continue to study the Bible or abandon it?

These are all questions and decisions that are being made by instinct on an individual basis. There are no central governing bodies, no formal organizations to recognize within the Mandela Effect community. There are only a handful of lay people like myself offering their views to whoever may be interested.

My goal is to simply chronicle and codify these things as they are unfolding and attempt to draw conclusions from what God is showing us.

The Doctrine of Inaccessibility

If the Bible is supernaturally changing, then we have gone from the Old Testament to the New Testament to “No Testament.” And if there is no record of the Testament, then we will become the record of the Testament.

To state it more correctly, that which was given by the original authors of the Old and New Testaments is inspired and immutable. But as we have discussed, immutability does not necessarily mean that it will be accessible. Immutability implies that the teachings, predictions, and decrees of the scriptures are unassailable and unchanging but that doesn’t mean that you have access to a Bible.

Immutable means that what has been given has an everlasting quality and is unstoppable in its applicability. There is no scenario where the decrees of God will no longer apply to man unless God decrees such a thing Himself.

The decrees of God are above reproach. There is no higher court that can overturn what has been decreed by Almighty God. His commandments are impervious and cannot be nullified by any earthly or non-earthly entity, council, or potentate. Immutable means the decrees of God are permanent, stable, and will come to pass.

Man is still subject to everything that was given to the original authors, but this does not mean that God cannot come in at some point and make the immutable decrees inaccessible because of a judgment. If that were true, then Amos 8:11 wouldn’t be in your Bible.

Amos 8:11 proves that God can make His Word inaccessible anytime He wants to, without any controversy whatsoever.

Since this is happening, I would assume that the primary medium that is used to preserve God's decrees will move from scrolls to souls. The preservation will be transmitted and stored in the minds of men instead of on paper. "Thou shalt not murder" has been changed to "thou shalt not kill." But even if it is changed again to "thou shalt not eat ice cream cones," you are still guilty before God if you murder.

Man is still accountable to the decrees of God whether they are accessible or not. In this way, the pagan and the modern Christian are the same.

- **Galatians 3:22** "But the Scripture has shut up everyone under sin, so that the promise by faith in Jesus Christ might be given to those who believe."

Amos 8:11 establishes a precedent

As we discussed in Chapter two, there is sufficient context to make the case that the Amos 8:11 prophecy is an end times event. If that is the case, and it's being fulfilled by the Mandela Effect, then the Bible changes would not create a controversy for God, because He already told you that He was going to do this. He's not a liar if He told you straight up that there's a day coming when He will remove the word from the land and no one will have access to it.

Even if you are unwilling to accept an end times interpretation of Amos 8:11, it provides the precedent that God has done it before and can certainly do it again. He is sovereign; He can do whatever He wants (Isaiah 46:10).

Let's not forget that God didn't make man for the Bible; He made the Bible for man. And as it turns out, this type of removal of communication is a standard operating procedure for the Kingdom when it needs to chastise its subjects.

Here are a few additional examples that demonstrate God's willingness to remove His word as a judgment:

Psalms 74 begins with a pleading for God to lift His hand of judgment from His people, and in verse 9 we read one aspect of the judgment that the psalmist describes:

-
- **Psalm 74:9** “We do not see our signs; There is no longer any prophet; Nor is there any among us who knows how long. O God, how long will the adversary reproach? Will the enemy blaspheme Your name forever? Why do You withdraw Your hand, even Your right hand?”

“Why do You withdraw Your hand” might as well say, “Why do You withdraw Your scriptures? Why are You allowing Your Bible to be changed, O God?”

Another example of a period of time where God seemed to withdraw Himself from man is 1 Sam 3:1.

- **1 Samuel 3:1** “Now the boy Samuel ministered to the Lord before Eli. And the word of the Lord was rare in those days; there was no widespread revelation.”

I don’t know how you might picture God’s disposition during this period, but it doesn’t sound like He was willing to make Himself available. “The word of the Lord was rare” seems to indicate that He was purposely not saying much as a chastisement or for some other reason. It seems as though He is doing the same thing today.

- **2 Chronicles 15:3** “For a long time Israel was without the true God, without a priest to teach, and without the law.”

There is definitely a pattern here. God is clearly willing to withdraw Himself as part of His interaction with His creation.

- **Ezekiel 7:26** “Mischief shall come upon mischief, and rumour shall be upon rumour; then shall they seek a vision of the prophet; **but the law shall perish from the priest, and counsel from the ancients.**”

We are in a period right now when “the law has perished from the priests.”

- **Micah 3:6-7** "Therefore night will come over you, without visions, and darkness, without divination. The sun will set for the prophets, and the day will go dark for them. The seers will be ashamed and the diviners disgraced. They will all cover their faces because there is no answer from God."

Are you getting this? Are you seeing that God has withdrawn Himself many times to get His people’s attention?

- **Hosea 4:6** "My people are destroyed from lack of knowledge. 'Because you have rejected knowledge, I also reject you as my priests; because you have ignored the law of your God, I also will ignore your children.'"

Can you see that these judgments are the exact opposite of the many promises to preserve the Word of God? These are promises to "un-preserve" the Word of God. Maybe we are in a trance and a dark stupor. Perhaps the collective condition of our souls is so perilous that we have forced God into taking such extreme measures. What do you think pushed Him into taking these actions in the past? Clearly, He has reached this threshold before on many occasions.

We covered Ezekiel 14 in the chapter on Biblical idolatry, but here we are looking at verse 4 from a different perspective. This verse demonstrates God's willingness to make His word inaccessible as a judgment.

- **Ezekiel 14:4** "I, the Lord, will answer him who comes, according to the multitude of his idols, that I may seize the house of Israel by their heart, because they are all estranged from Me by their idols."

Allowing the Bible to be changed and "answering him who comes, according to the multitude of his idols," are basically the same thing. It is therefore perfectly within the realms of possibility that God is allowing the Bible to be supernaturally changed.

- **Ezekiel 14:9** "And if the prophet is deceived and speaks a word, **I, the LORD, have deceived that prophet**, and I will stretch out My hand against him and will destroy him from the midst of My people Israel."

Ouch! "I, the LORD, have deceived that prophet." WHAT? This has got to mess with a lot of people's theology. I and many others do remember this being in our Bibles, so I do not believe that this is a Bible change. If it is inspired, it illustrates what God is capable of when He gets to a certain point with His people. It seems as though He is there with us right now.

Benson Commentary

Ezekiel 14:9-12: "...I, Jehovah, have suffered him to be deceived; I have given him up to strong delusions as a just judgment upon him for going after idols."

What I am saying is, if God will turn a prophet who has an idol over to delusion, then God will do it to anyone that doesn't snap out of the trance that says this isn't happening. If you still hold to the idea that this is impossible, then He is doing it to you right now, dear soul. You are living Ezekiel 14:9.

You are staring down the barrel of God's twelve-gauge judgment on how you run your shop.

We have all been mind-control victims beyond anything we can imagine, but you'd better get on the right side of this Bible change thing. The great reveal is taking place at the very time I am writing these words, but you could still go either way. Jesus warned that people would be so mind controlled in the last days, that they would not be able to break out of the spell that is cast over all of humanity no matter what was going on around them. He said:

- **Matthew 24:37 (KJV)** “But as the days of Noe were, so shall also the coming of the Son of man be. For as in the days that were before the flood **they were eating and drinking, marrying and giving in marriage, until the day that Noe entered into the ark.**”

Most people are whistling past the graveyard. They don't know where they are or when they are in history. They have a biblical microcosm of truth that they operate in, but it is a bubble.

My experience is that Christians and church leaders are some of the most “Normie” people that you would ever meet on this flat stationary earth. Many are programed not to question the official narratives, and they will fight anyone that tries to challenge their ungodly apathy.

- **1 Kings 22:19** “...Finally, a spirit came forward, stood before the LORD and said, ‘I will entice him.’ ‘By what means?’ the LORD asked.

‘I will go out and be a deceiving spirit in the mouths of all his prophets,’ he said. **‘You will succeed in enticing him,’ said the LORD. ‘Go and do it.’**”

Depending on your situation, admitting that the Bible is changing to those around you may not be a big deal, but for most, it will cost you big time; for some, it will cost everything. It definitely cost me everything.

But you are going to have much bigger problems if you don't stop trying to protect your empire or your reputation.

- “I, the Lord, will answer him who comes, according to the multitude of his idols.”
- “I, the LORD, have deceived that prophet.”
- “I will set My face against that man and make him a sign and a proverb.”
- “I will cut him off from the midst of My people.”
- “I will stretch out My hand against him and destroy him from among My people Israel.”
- How about, “I will allow the devil to change the Bible?”

Balaam is yet another example of God's tendency to give people what they want if they keep going against Him.

- **Numbers 22-24** Balaam was a prophet who was asked by Balak, the king of Moab, to curse Israel. Although initially God tells Balaam not to go, Balaam persisted, so God finally said, ‘If you're not going to listen to Me, then here; I'll give you what you want.’ We see the culmination of this in:
 - **Numbers 22:20** “That night God came to Balaam and said, ‘Since these men have come to summon you, go with them, but do only what I tell you.’”

Here is another very clear example of how God deals with impudence. I think it's fair to suggest that if He has demonstrated His willingness to judge His people by presenting Himself as twisted and deceiving, then it's certainly possible that He would allow the Bible changes in a similar judgment.

- **Psalms 18:26** (Douay-Rheims Bible) “And with the elect thou wilt be elect: and with the perverse thou wilt be perverted.”

Author's Note: I had to use the Douay-Rheims Bible because it is the closest to what I remember this passage saying. I believe it has been changed. It used to say something to the effect that God would match the evil person with evil, i.e., “to the devious God would show Himself devious.”

Most translations however have substituted the word “devious” with the word “shrewd,” so now it seems to say the opposite, almost as if it’s suggesting that God is rewarding the devious.

Shrewd = “sharp powers of judgment.” And yes, I am spelling “judgment” with an “e” on purpose.

Devious = “skillful use of underhanded tactics.”

I believe I have demonstrated that God would not hesitate to allow this kind of event, as He has done so many times and in various ways before. What I just pointed out is going to be essential for most people still pondering this topic. It is unlikely that many will give themselves permission to accept this unless they can admit that God has done things like this before.

This is because, at the core of people’s resistance, is the sentimental idea that God is so nice that He would never allow something like this, or that the damage it would cause is so far-reaching that He would never permit such a thing.

And so, if you still insist that this kind of thing could not possibly happen, I will simply state that:

- **Matthew 22:29** “Ye do err, not knowing the scriptures, nor the power of God

Inaccessibility does not equate to unrighteousness

As I mentioned before, true believers accept the thorny theological reality of inaccessibility without concluding that God is unjust. Do Christians and theologians hold God in derision because there are approximately 3,241 living languages today without a Bible in their native tongue?⁷

Do we say that God is unjust because many millions of people are born, live their whole lives, and die having never even heard the name of Jesus?

They will go to a Christless hell without ever being exposed to the gospel. Do we turn on God because this type of inaccessibility is taking place throughout this entire flat, stationary earth?

⁷ <https://wycliffe.org.uk/statistics/>

Atheists do, but Christians don't. We don't because we know that He is good, and we don't need all of our questions answered.

- **Psalms 9:17** “The wicked shall be turned into hell and all the nations that forget God.”

We understand that God has allowed free will and observe that He has turned entire nations over to their idolatry. God is no more unrighteous for allowing inaccessibility through the Mandela Effect than He is for allowing man to maintain his sovereignty and to exercise free will.

But how do we reconcile a judgment that threatens to remove access to the Word, when you seem to have so many promises from God to preserve it? The answer is of course, that scripture and the Word are not the same, and inaccessibility does not equate to unrighteousness. The pagan in the jungle has no access to the Word, but the authority of the Word over the pagan remains in effect nonetheless.

The doctrine of Scripture modifying Scripture

If the Bible is supernaturally changing, then the perception that the scriptures are set in stone has been obliterated.

There is a lot of absolutism regarding the fixed nature of the scriptures that is being dismantled if the Mandelites are correct.

The Bible is an enigma. It's a collection of sixty-six different books, written by approximately forty different authors over the course of about fifteen hundred years. Some of the evidence that points to its divine authorship includes fulfilled prophecies, consistency across time, scientific accuracy, historical accuracy, profound moral and ethical teachings, unity of message throughout, transformative power, inerrancy and preservation, complexity, and the witness of many miracles.

It has no peer among religious writings in its ability to predict the future with 100% accuracy. It also distinguishes itself from other religious writings because the primary figure of the Bible is the only religious figure who ever rose from the dead.

- Acts 17:31 ...“because He has appointed a day on which He will judge the world in righteousness by the Man whom He has ordained. He has given assurance of this to all by raising Him from the dead.”

To say the Bible has a lot going for it is an understatement. Despite the soaring nobility of this book, one cannot deny its eclectic nature. It is a hodgepodge of unrelated authors from various backgrounds and writing styles. The heterogeneous nature of the writings raises more questions than it answers. One of the characteristics of this diverse format of delivery is its dynamic and evolving nature.

As I went back into the Bible to look for clues as to how this could be happening, I noticed how the scripture itself was testifying to the fact that it was changing. I observed its ever-evolving nature throughout the church age, and I also found passages that seemed to modify other passages.

This was the beginning of a hypothesis that seemed to provide additional explanations of how the Bible could be changing without compromising the supposed promises of preservation. I called this idea "scripture that modifies scripture."

The idea works something like this: Any proof text for preservation can be modified or nullified by other passages in the Bible. The modifying passage would act as a trump card and override the original command or promise entirely.

If I can find other examples and patterns of this in the Bible, then I can apply the same principle to the preservation promises.

The fact that the changes caused by the transition from Old to New Testaments are not supernatural changes is irrelevant to my hypothesis. I am responding to the countless times that I have been told, "The Bible can't change." Well, if you are demanding that the Bible can't change, and I point out numerous examples of it changing for any reason, then it seems reasonable to suggest that you may need to rethink your position. You can't keep demanding that it doesn't change if it's been evolving and changing since the time of Moses. Let's begin with the very clear testimony of scripture that it is changing. If the Bible can't change, then why is it changing?

In Hebrews 8:6-9, we see an open discussion regarding the Bible changing where old rules or promises are being abolished and new ones are being implemented. I've bullet-pointed the key points that indicate a changing scripture:

- Verse 6 - But now hath he obtained a more excellent ministry, by how much also he is the mediator of a **better covenant**, which was established upon better promises.
- Verse 7 - For if that first covenant had been faultless, then should **no place have been sought for the second**.
- Verse 8 - For finding fault with them, he saith, Behold, the days come, saith the Lord, when **I will make a new covenant** with the house of Israel and with the house of Judah:
- Verse 9 - **Not according to the covenant that I made with their fathers** in the day when I took them by the hand to lead them out of the land of Egypt;
- Verse 13 In that he saith, A new covenant, he hath made the first old. **Now that which decayeth and waxeth old is ready to vanish away.**

Hebrews 8:6 is filled with terminology describing a changing Bible. You can't claim that the Bible will never change if the Bible is telling you that it changed. The fact that this Hebrews 8:6 change was implemented by God is not an argument, because the supernatural Bible changes are implemented by God as well.

This passage seems to clearly indicate that the closed, fixed nature of the Bible that so many embrace is not quite what we are dealing with.

If the preservation of the scriptures to the originals was set in stone, the Bible would not be supernaturally changing. But it is, which means it's not set in stone or preserved as you have always believed. If it was, it isn't anymore. The decrees themselves are set in stone; it's just that they are becoming inaccessible.

Let's first look at some examples of scripture modifying scripture that are unrelated to our topic, and then we will examine those that could be considered modifiers to the preservation promises.

Examples of Scripture modifying Scripture

- **Matthew 12:3-6** "He answered, 'Haven't you read what David did when he and his companions were hungry? He entered the house of God, and he and his companions ate the consecrated bread—which was not lawful for them to do, but only for the priests.

Or haven't you read in the Law that on the Sabbath the priests in the temple desecrate the Sabbath and yet are innocent? I tell you that something greater than the temple is here. If you had known what these words mean, "I desire mercy, not sacrifice," you would not have condemned the innocent. For the Son of Man is Lord of the Sabbath."

Jesus pointed out that it was okay that David violated the temple rules. He was showing them that the Word was more fluid and flexible than they had believed.

The easiest way to understand what is happening here in Matthew 12:3, is to consider the interaction between Satan and Jesus in the wilderness. Jesus told the Devil in the wilderness, "It is also written." This is an example of how the Word needs to be interpreted with the mind of Christ and the heart of love.

You have to be in touch with God as you are applying the teaching. The Devil quoted a scripture that technically could be applied, but he wasn't applying it as an ambassador of God; he was using it to advance an agenda.

He was like the liberal media operative that asks one gotcha question after another. They aren't interested in getting at the truth or real journalism; they are hitmen trying to ruin someone's reputation. I just quoted the Master demonstrating what I'm suggesting, so don't throw me under the bus like this is some crazy doctrine.

The Kingdom of Heaven is ruled by love, and in this interaction, Jesus is pointing out that there are two laws happening at the same time. But if you don't have a vital love connection, you will usually err on the side of legalism. The unwritten law that took precedence over the sanctuary rules (Leviticus 24:9) was the right to life. Jesus considered their hunger more important than the observance of the ceremonial law.

He rebuked them by pointing out that they should have known that, but they were living so completely out of touch with spiritual matters that they were unable to discern what God's priorities were.

Someone with a religious spirit will typically demand that they are right about specifics while being clueless about what the heart of God would dictate in a given situation. Holiness that is not ruled by love is lifeless and tyrannical.

Here are some additional examples of the right to life or similar principles taking precedence over rules and regulations:

- **Exodus 1:15-21** – Midwives save Hebrew boys, defying Pharaoh's order.
- **Daniel 3:8-30** – Hebrew boys refuse to worship the golden image.
- **Acts 4:18-20, 5:27-29** – Apostles defy authorities and continue preaching.
- **Matthew 15:1-9** – Jesus prioritizes love and mercy over man-made traditions.
- **Mark 2:23-28** – Disciples pick grain on the Sabbath.
- **Luke 13:10-17** – Jesus heals a woman on the Sabbath.
- **Luke 14:5** – Pulling your ox out of a pit on the Sabbath.

So, Jesus' teaching on being a rule breaker to the Pharisees is my first example of scripture modifying scripture. The words of Jesus, which are scripture, are changing the Pharisees' position, which is scripture.

You may not have realized this, but warning someone “to consider the full counsel of God” is an example of this idea. You have been doing this yourself for a long time.

This “full counsel of God” idea suggests that you may have an understanding of something in the context of that chapter, but it may not be consistent with the broader teaching of the entirety of scripture. What you are saying when you suggest this is, “You may think you have a promise nailed down, but there may be other passages that will come in and essentially trump your interpretation.” That is exactly my thesis in this section.

There are prophecies that are now being fulfilled that trump your preservation promises.

Here's our next example.

-
- **Leviticus 23:4-5** “These are the feasts of the LORD, even holy convocations, which you shall proclaim in their seasons.”

Leviticus 23:4 is unambiguous until you run into something like Colossians 2:16. You can offer whatever commentary you like about why this is happening, but what you cannot deny is that Colossians 2:16 directly nullifies Leviticus 23:4. This is another example of scripture modifying scripture.

- **Colossians 2:16** “Let no man therefore judge you in meat, or in drink, or in respect of an holyday, or of the new moon, or of the sabbath days:”

The reasons why the ceremonial law was done away with are irrelevant. The cold hard fact is the word is not always written in stone. Period. You’re staring at an example of that. If you were alive when Leviticus 23:4 was first written, you would have thought, well, that’s that. But if you were then alive during the time of Paul and were a good Old Testament observer up until that time, you would have probably been a little flabbergasted to be told that the feasts are no longer required. You would have been told the word has changed. The Bible has been changed. You would have been told, “Hey! Remember those feasts that you were commanded to observe? Well, you can forget those now.”

Another more striking example is found in:

- **Leviticus 3:17** “It is a perpetual statute throughout your generations in all your dwellings: you shall not eat any fat or any blood.”

This passage clearly indicates that this command is to be observed forever. The way that it is worded, it appears to be more “in stone” than most of the preservation promises that the unconvinced rely on to demand that the Bible can’t change.

Yet we have pesky Paul coming in like a wrecking ball and throwing a spanner into the whole operation when he says:

- **Romans 14:20** “Do not destroy the work of God for the sake of food. All food is clean, but it is wrong for a man to let his eating be a stumbling block.”

You can try to commentary your way out of this but my observation is inescapable. The Leviticus 3:17 commandment is as solid as it could be.

It is more unambiguous than any of the preservation promises that the unconvinced are relying on to make their case. And this passage has clearly been set aside by other doctrine that came alongside and completely nullified it. This is yet another striking example of scripture modifying scripture.

If the Bible can't change, then this next passage or at least the last writings of Moses should have been the last scripture in the Bible. If you take this passage literally, then there should be no more scripture after this decree.

- **Deuteronomy 4:2** “Ye shall not add unto the word which I command you, neither shall ye diminish ought from it, that ye may keep the commandments of the Lord your God which I command you.”

It says very clearly, “Ye shall not add unto the word which I command you.” But, of course, there is plenty of scripture added after this passage. That means that all of the scripture that came after this decree modified Deuteronomy 4:2.

And what about this little “do-dad” here:

- **Galatians 2:11** “But when Peter was come to Antioch, I withstood him to the face, because he was to be blamed.”
- **Galatians 2:14** “But when I saw that they walked not uprightly according to the truth of the gospel, I said unto Peter before them all, If thou, being a Jew, livest after the manner of Gentiles, and not as do the Jews, why compellest thou the Gentiles to live as do the Jews?”

Peter was one of the inspired ones who gave us the inspired word, but he doesn't seem very inspired here in Galatians 2:11. Paul complains that Peter was not “walking uprightly according to the truth of the gospel.” Peter, the scripture writer, needed to be corrected by another scripture writer. If the scripture were as codified, organized, inspired, sacrosanct, and perfect as we have been told it is, this wouldn't have happened. Peter's Holy Ghost ethernet cable wouldn't have been disconnected, and he would have received the whole download all at once with no errors.

Here are more examples of scripture modifying scripture.

- Exodus 20:13 - "Thou shalt not kill."

-
- Matthew 5:21-22 - "**Ye have heard that it was said of old time**, Thou shalt not kill; and whosoever shall kill shall be in danger of the judgment: **But I say unto you**, that whosoever is angry with his brother without a cause shall be in danger of the judgment:

The words, "But I say unto you," are words that are undoing or modifying what was given in the past. "But I say unto you" is an example of scripture modifying scripture.

- Deuteronomy 7:3 - "Neither shalt thou make marriages with them; thy daughter thou shalt not give unto his son, nor his daughter shalt thou take unto thy son."
- 1 Corinthians 7:12-14 - "But to the rest speak I, not the Lord: If any brother hath a wife that believeth not, and she be pleased to dwell with him, let him not put her away. And the woman which hath an husband that believeth not, and if he be pleased to dwell with her, let her not leave him. For the unbelieving husband is sanctified by the wife, and the unbelieving wife is sanctified by the husband..."

Deuteronomy 7:3 emphasizes avoiding marriage with particular groups in order to maintain religious purity, whereas 1 Corinthians 7:12–14 offers Christians guidance in maintaining mixed-belief marriages, indicating a change in emphasis from rigorous separation to cooperative living.

- Numbers 15:32-36 - A man who gathered sticks on the Sabbath was stoned to death.
- Mark 2:27 - "And he said unto them, The sabbath was made for man, and not man for the sabbath."
- Deuteronomy 25:5-6 - "If brethren dwell together, and one of them die, and have no child, the wife of the dead shall not marry without unto a stranger: her husband's brother shall go in unto her, and take her to him to wife, and perform the duty of a husband's brother unto her. And it shall be, that the firstborn which she beareth shall succeed in the name of his brother which is dead, that his name be not put out of Israel."

- Matthew 22:24-30 - The Sadducees ask Jesus about marriage at the resurrection, implying that the practice is no longer relevant.

Jesus emphasizes that the resurrected condition transcends worldly institutions like marriage in Matthew 22:24–30, reflecting a new concept of life beyond death. Although significant at the time, the levirate marriage law does not apply in light of the resurrection. The clear teaching of the Scripture was changed.

- Leviticus 11:1-23 - Lists clean and unclean animals for dietary laws.
- Acts 10:9-16 - Peter's vision of unclean animals and God's command: "What God hath cleansed, that call not thou common."
- Matthew 5:21-22 "The days are coming," declares the Lord, "when I will make a new covenant with the people of Israel."
- Matthew 5:27-28 "You have heard that it was said to the people long ago... But I tell..."
- Matthew 5:38-39 "You have heard that it was said to the people long ago... But I tell..."
- Matthew 5:43-44 "You have heard that it was said to the people long ago... But I tell..."
- Mark 7:18-19" "You have heard that it was said to the people long ago,...But I tell"
- 2 Corinthians 3:6 "He has made us competent as ministers of a new covenant—not of the letter but of the Spirit;
- Galatians 5:18 "But if you are led by the Spirit, you are not under the law."

Now that I have laid the foundation that there are scriptures that modify other scriptures, let's look at the passages that may be held out to modify the promises of preservation.

Scriptures that modify preservation promises

Not that I believe that Psalm 12 is promising that God will preserve the scriptures or the Word, but most preachers do, so I will use it in my first example.

- **Preservation Promise - Psalm 12:6-7** “The words of the Lord are pure words, like silver refined in a furnace on the ground, purified seven times. You, O Lord, will keep them; you will guard us from this generation forever.”
- **Modifier - Daniel 7:25** “And he shall speak great words against the most High, and shall wear out the saints of the most High, and think to change times and laws: and they shall be given into his hand until a time and times and the dividing of time.”

Daniel 7:25 doesn't only apply to Psalm 12; it gives theological permission to accept that the whole Bible can be changed. I found that pairing each one directly with a promise helps people see it better. Let me explain. If you're willing to consider that the Daniel 7:25 prophecy is an end-times prophecy as we discussed in chapter 2, then you can consider that it would modify any providential preservation promises just like the ones we have already examined. That's what I'm suggesting.

Here are more examples;

- **Preservation promise - Matthew 5:17** “For I tell you truly, until heaven and earth pass away, not a single jot, not a stroke of a pen, will disappear from the Law until everything is accomplished.”
- **Modifier - Amos 8:11** “Behold, the days come, saith the Lord God, that I will send a famine in the land, not a famine of bread, nor a thirst for water, but of hearing the words of the Lord.”
- **Preservation Promise - Psalm 119:89** “Forever, O LORD, thy word is settled in heaven.”
- **Modifier #1 - Revelation 13:2** "And the beast which I saw was like unto a leopard, and his feet were as the feet of a bear, and his mouth as the mouth of a lion: and the dragon gave him his power, and his seat, and great authority."

- **Modifier #2 - Revelation 13:7** “And it was given unto him to make war with the saints, and to overcome them: and power was given him over all kindreds, and tongues, and nations.”
- **Preservation Promise - Isaiah 40:8** “The grass withers, the flower fades, but the word of our God will stand forever.”
- **Modifier - 2 Thessalonians 2:9** “Even him, whose coming is after the working of Satan with all power and signs and lying wonders,”

There are more, but I think you get the point. The DOP is a guess that we were all taught was a doctrine. The preservation promises are being mishandled as we showed in chapter five. And now you have scriptures, coming in behind the preservation proof texts that are warning of a day when the prophecy of Daniel 7:25 would be unsealed.

The last passage I will highlight in this section is:

- **Jeremiah 8:8** “How can you say, ‘We are wise, And the law of the LORD is with us’? Look, the false pen of the scribe certainly works falsehood.”

This is an inspired scripture suggesting that the Bible was maliciously changed. If the Bible can’t be maliciously changed, then why is it testifying that it was probably maliciously changed by the scribes? I have included a few quotes from commentaries so you can see how others treat this passage.

Adam Clarke Commentary

- "The pen of the scribes is in vain" - The deceitful pen of the scribes. They have written falsely, though they had the truth before them. It is too bold an assertion to say that "the Jews have never falsified the sacred oracles;" they have done it again and again. They have written falsities when they knew they were such.

Ellicott's Commentary for English Readers

- "Lo, certainly..."—Better, Verily, lo! the lying pen of the scribes hath made it (i.e., the Law) as a lie. The pen was the iron stylus made for engraving on stone or metal. The meaning of the clause is clear.

The sophistry of men was turning the truth of God into a lie, and emptying it of its noblest meaning.

Man's response to scripture modifying scripture

- The idea that scripture can modify scripture requires us to have a more nimble and real-time relationship with the Bible. We need to be more sensitive to what God is doing in the moment and allow compassion to override whatever doctrinal edict might apply in that situation. There may be two laws applying at the same time, and we need to be filled with love to pick the correct one.

From here on in, we will all be at a great disadvantage to know and serve God, unless we begin to adopt the realms of glory emphasis that is so clearly demonstrated in the early church and Old Testament Bible characters.

Many of the Bible characters experienced fairly regular supernatural manifestations in their lives, lengthy conversations with God, face-to-face angelic visits, and miraculous deliverances. Just read the 11th chapter of Hebrews and you'll get the idea. I submit that the currency of a post-canonized Scripture church era is spiritual authority not a command of the scriptures. If I'm correct, and the Bible is supernaturally changing, then that shift in emphasis would be appropriate and inevitable.

Upper management has implemented new rules and He is requiring an emphasis on spiritual authority, miracles, and the supernatural moving forward.

There will need to be a shift away from endless Bible studies, and a greater focus on hearing the voice of God, moving in power, and doing what we have been incessantly reading about. Aren't you ready to have the training wheels taken off? I know I am.

This isn't some great revelation of a new apostolic whatever; it's just realizing that we haven't been living into our true potential. We haven't risen to the nobility that has been purchased for us.

It should be our default status to have miracles and prophetic events happening on some sort of consistent basis. However, the majority of us have somehow agreed to be like the kid who gets held back in fourth grade year after year. We never graduate from fourth grade, even after decades as professing Christians.

We have been remiss in applying what we have read because we have preferred the easier path of an intellectual Christian walk. The supernatural walk requires an “all-in” commitment, and we all know it. It’s the kind of walk where prophetic words, healing the sick, and casting out demons are common for you. It’s not just for people who are called to that; it is just the normal Christian life. Don’t take my word for it. Listen to the apostle.

- **1 Corinthians 4:20** “For the kingdom of God is not in word but in power.”

The Doctrine of Rescinded Promises

I know this is going to be hard for people to accept, but God seems to be willing to take back what He has promised on rare occasions. So perhaps He is doing it again by allowing the Bible to be changed.

- **1 Samuel 2:30** “Therefore the LORD, the God of Israel, declares: ‘I promised that members of your family would minister before me forever.’

But now the LORD declares: ‘Far be it from me! Those who honor me I will honor, but those who despise me will be disdained.’”

There you have this doctrine illustrated in one verse. First He says, “I promised,” but then you hear, “But now the LORD declares: ‘Far be it from me!’

Here are some additional examples that need no commentary:

- **Jeremiah 18:7-10** “If at any time I announce that a nation or kingdom is to be uprooted, torn down and destroyed, and if that nation I warned repents of its evil, then I will relent and not inflict on it the disaster I had planned. And if at another time I announce that a nation or kingdom is to be built up and planted, and if it does evil in my sight and does not obey me, then I will reconsider the good I had intended to do for it.”

God will reconsider? God says, “then I will reconsider the good I had intended to do for it?” I didn’t know He could do that. It seems like He’s reconsidered letting us have the Bible if you ask me.

-
- **Genesis 6:5-7** "The LORD saw how great the wickedness of the human race had become on the earth, and that every inclination of the thoughts of the human heart was only evil all the time. The LORD regretted that He had made human beings on the earth, and His heart was deeply troubled. So the LORD said, 'I will wipe from the face of the earth the human race I have created—and with them, the animals, the birds, and the creatures that move along the ground—for I regret that I have made them.'"
 - "I will wipe from the face of the earth the human race I have created."
 - "...for I regret that I have made them."
 - How about this one: "I gave them the Bible, and all they do is read the thing and brag about how much they know. I'm going to take it back."
 - **Exodus 32:9-14** - The Golden Calf: God declared His intention to destroy them and make a great nation out of Moses instead, but Moses interceded, and God relented. God relented. God relented.

In verse 9, Moses reasons with God and points out that if He destroys the Israelites after bringing them out of Egypt, CNN is going to spin that like He's a bad actor, and it will hurt Him in the polls. And in verse 12, he petitions God to change His mind.

- **Verse 12** "Turn from thy fierce wrath, and repent of this evil against thy people."
- And in verse 14, we read God's response:
- **Verse 14** "And the LORD repented of the evil which He thought to do unto His people."

The clear testimony of scripture here is that Moses convinced God to change His mind. God may have promised you that He was going to preserve your KJV Bible until you are raptured, but it seems as though He can turn around and say never mind if He chooses; He's sovereign like that.

- **1 Kings 21:17-29** "Then the word of the LORD came to Elijah the Tishbite: 'Have you noticed how Ahab has humbled himself before Me? Because he has humbled

himself, I will not bring this disaster in his day, but I will bring it on his house in the days of his son.”

- **Jonah 3:10** “When God saw what they did and how they turned from their evil ways, He relented and did not bring on them the destruction He had threatened.”

If the Bible can’t change, then why has it been changing since the beginning. Here is a list of all the covenants that God introduced throughout the church age. Each new covenant introduces new promises, new rules and new changes.

New Covenants

Most scholars agree that there are four covenants specifically for Israel and three for all of mankind.

Covenants for Israel

- Abrahamic
- Palestinian
- Mosaic
- Davidic

Covenants for all mankind

- Adamic
- Noahic
- New

My thesis is that God’s written decrees have been evolving since the beginning. Church leaders who represent the Bible as a static, fixed, immovable object are not thinking straight.

How can you say the Bible doesn’t change when it seems like God is cutting a new deal and changing the rules every time you turn around?

- **Abrahamic Covenant Genesis 17:1-21**
 - God promises land, numerous descendants, and blessings to Abraham and his descendants.
- **Palestinian (Land) Covenant Deuteronomy 30:1-10**

-
- God promises to regather Israel from exile and restore them to the land promised to their ancestors.
 - **Mosaic Covenant Exodus 19-24; Deuteronomy 5-28**
 - Covenant given through Moses at Mount Sinai, including the Ten Commandments and other laws for Israel.
 - **Davidic Covenant 2 Samuel 7:4-16**
 - God promises an everlasting dynasty to David's descendants and establishes Jerusalem as the eternal capital.
 - **Adamic Covenant Genesis 3:14-19**
 - God establishes consequences for Adam and Eve's disobedience, including pain in childbirth and toil in work.
 - **Noahic Covenant Genesis 9:8-17**
 - God promises never to flood the entire earth again and establishes the rainbow as a sign of this covenant.
 - **New Covenant Jeremiah 31:31-34; Hebrews 8:6-13**
 - God promises to forgive sins and write His law on the hearts of His people, fulfilled in Jesus Christ.

Promises can have time limits

This would be yet another way to suggest from scripture that the preservation promises could be conditional and subject to a time limit. Here are a few examples of promises with time limits or timetables that would determine when they would be activated or when they would end.

- **Genesis 15:13-14** “Then the LORD said to him, ‘Know for certain that for four hundred years your descendants

will be strangers in a country not their own and that they will be enslaved and mistreated there.”

- **Jeremiah 29:10** “This is what the LORD says: ‘When seventy years are completed for Babylon, I will come to you and fulfill My good promise to bring you back to this place.’”
- **Daniel 9:24** “Seventy 'sevens' are decreed for your people and your holy city to finish transgression, to put an end to sin, to atone for wickedness, to bring in everlasting righteousness, to seal up vision and prophecy and to anoint the Most Holy Place.”
- **2 Kings 20:5-6** “Go back and tell Hezekiah, the ruler of My people, ‘This is what the LORD, the God of your father David, says: I have heard your prayer and seen your tears; I will heal you. On the third day from now you will go up to the temple of the LORD. I will add fifteen years to your life.’”

My suggestion here is very simple. If Daniel 7:25, Amos 8:11 or Thessalonians 2:9 are predicting that the Bible would change in the last days, but its activation and understanding would be sealed until the time of the end according to Daniel 12, then whatever preservation promises are being relied upon up until that point would also have a time limit on them.

- **Daniel 12:4** “But you, Daniel, roll up and seal the words of the scroll until the time of the end.”

As I mentioned already, Daniel 12:4 is my primary proof text because “the words” that are sealed are the prophecy given in Daniel 7:25. The clearest wording that we have that this Bible change event was foretold is: “He will seek to change times and laws.” This prediction was then said to be sealed up until the time of the end.

How could anyone comprehend that Daniel 7:25 was alluding to miraculous Bible alterations until this event had occurred and been, in a sense, “unsealed.” Additionally, most Christians have assumed that the “time of the end” has meant until the end of time. To most Christians, the time of the end is after heaven and earth pass away.

In other words, the “sealing up,” or in this case the preservation of the word, would remain until Jesus comes or the White Throne Judgment. But it doesn’t say that. It says, “until the time of the end.”

All the different "time of the end" schools of thought have one thing in common: They all agree that it does not mean all the way to the end. In other words, "the time of the end" can certainly be whenever you are reading these words. The time of the end is probably right now.

If what I’m saying is true, then all bets are off; all promises of preservation have been rescinded. All of God’s promises are withdrawn the moment you land in hell, but that can happen here as well.

- Hosea 4:17 "Ephraim is joined to idols: let him alone."
- Jeremiah 15:1 Though Moses and Samuel stood before me, yet my heart would not turn toward this people.
- Ezekiel 8:18 "Therefore I will act in wrath; my eye will not spare, nor will I have pity. And though they cry in my ears with a loud voice, I will not hear them."
- Hosea 1:6 ‘Call her name No Mercy, for I will no more have mercy on the house of Israel, to forgive them at all.’"

When is the time of the end?

- **Pre-millennial:** This perspective has different flavors. You could be Pre-trib, Mid-trib, or Post-trib, but ultimately, you believe that Christ will come again before the millennium to establish His earthly kingdom.
- **Post-millennial:** After the millennial reign, when the gospel has spread over the world and the church has been brought into their everlasting condition, Christ returns to lead the church.
- **A-millennial:** After His resurrection, Christ established His kingdom, and He now rules over everyone and has conquered Satan. Amillennialists reject the idea that a millennium will actually occur on Earth, either before or after Christ returns. Rather, they see the millennium as a

period of time between Christ's two comings during which He reigns with His saints.

Satan's Little Season:

- This is what I believe because the evidence is overwhelming. We are now living in the time after the millennial reign.

- **Revelation 20:7**

"And when the thousand years are expired, Satan shall be loosed out of his prison, And shall go out to deceive the nations which are in the four quarters of the earth, Gog and Magog, to gather them together to battle: the number of whom is as the sand of the sea."

Doctrine of Consensus

My channel is specifically targeted at Christian truthers, and what I noticed after several years of being a content creator, is that the Christian Mandela Effect community had quickly become a swirling maelstrom of unbridled opinions. We were all acknowledging that the Bible was becoming unreliable, but this gave license for people to reject any scripture that didn't fit their narrative.

It is a fulfillment of the scripture that says,

- Judges 21:25 "In those days there was no king in Israel: every man did that which was right in his own eyes."

If someone didn't want to be accountable or accept what the Bible was teaching, they would simply say, "I just don't remember it that way. I believe that's a Bible change."

Normally, if you have a fellowship of believers, everyone has universally agreed to be in submission to the teachings. When a controversy arises, those who are skillful in the word can step in and quickly discern who is at fault, and then the expectation is that the offending party will repent and be in submission to the teachings. This type of black-and-white accountability is now out the window. It has become a proverbial free-for-all, with nominal Christians throwing off all restraint and accountability.

As disputes arose, I wrestled with how to resolve them. In this environment, how do you prove who's right? One answer is the doctrine of consensus.

What we found after many livestreams, polls, interviews, and correspondence is that the memories of all of humanity are generally the same. The memories are consistent within the community and consistent between the unconvinced and the Mandelites.

For instance, everyone remembers "the lion laying down with the lamb," and everyone remembers "mirror, mirror on the wall."

I started using polls to determine consensus within my community on a specific Bible change. If someone challenged whether or not something was a change, I would take a poll within my community. If eighty percent said that they remembered it a certain way, I would look at that as a very strong indication that it was in the Bible that way before it started changing. It's not absolute proof, but we don't really have many other options.

Over time, it became clear that this tendency for all of humanity to share the same memories was fairly reliable. It became a known quantity, and so the doctrine of consensus is one response that the Mandela Effect community identified as a tool in discipling believers moving forward.

The scriptures are being preserved, but not on paper. They are being preserved in the hearts of men. They have been written on the tablets of our hearts, and it is there that we go to find the eternal decrees given to the original authors to disciple the new believer in this hour. Having said that, we also identified certain anomalies within this consensus.

Reality bubbles & time tributaries

We found that in certain limited situations, certain people had one or two memories that were different from the majority, even though they shared the same memories as the majority on everything else.

As an example, there was one person who had a vivid memory of a lengthy debate in Bible school that took place thirty years ago. This person had a vivid memory of a debate about the wolf laying down with the lamb.

They were confident that it wasn't an implanted thought, and we believed them. Because this type of experience kept presenting itself, we were forced to acknowledge that this phenomenon contained a mystery within a mystery. It humbled us and forced us not to be dogmatic about what is or isn't a change, because in any scenario, the person you're disputing with could be experiencing one of these memory bubbles, or what we also called a timeline tributary.

Picture it like this: we're all on a raft on a big river, so we all share the same memories for the most part, but once in a while, somebody jumps off the raft and goes down a little side tributary for a while, but then they come back onto the main river with the rest of us again.

We realized that when trying to rely on consensus, we had to factor in the possibility of a reality bubble in our final analysis.

But let's not forget, if I've been studying the Bible and been in full-time ministry for forty years, and you just got born again six months ago, my memory is going to tend to be more reliable than yours if we're having a dispute. This acknowledgment of expertise brings me to the next observation.

The Doctrine of Pedigree – The Book of Eli

As Christians conceding that the Bible was changing, we began to ask questions like, "How are we going to disciple people if the Bible has become untrustworthy?" The first conclusion we came to was that there would have to be a shift from a cerebral, intellectual approach in our walk with God to a more prophetic, "voice-hearing" type of walk with God.

But because we were not abandoning the scriptures, we sought for a way to preserve them. It seemed as though the one possible way they could be preserved would be to find them in the memories of those Christians who had a history of advanced study in the scriptures.

This gave birth to the realization that in this hour, there would be a select group of people, who had distinguished themselves from all other Christians in that they had a pedigree of advanced exposure to the scriptures.

This pedigree could be a vital resource that could be relied upon to confirm the consensus of the entire community regarding what the original autographs had said. It's not that anyone is aspiring to some position of apostleship. We are not suggesting that there would be some type of Sanhedrin that is a governing body of other believers. This body would have no authority to dictate anything.

It's just the law of expertise being recognized and the reality that people who have dedicated themselves to certain topics will become experts in that field when solutions are required.

Bible Advisory Group

Realizing the need for a resource like this, I actually attempted to set up a Bible advisory group. I asked people who had at least twenty years of intensive Bible study to volunteer and make themselves available to answer questions to help resolve disputes. To qualify, each participant would be required to pass a competency test. I found two different Bible competency quizzes from Bible colleges and told them that if they passed with at least a seventy-five percent success rate, they would be included in the group.

Then, when the Mandela Effect community had a question of whether or not something was a change, I would be able to send out an email using polling software with a question. I would ask the advisory group, "How do you remember the scripture?"

And if eighty percent of them all remembered it the same way, we could rely on that as having a high probability of being accurate to the original writings.

This project failed for lack of participation, but it remains a viable option for the church to use in response to this very difficult situation.

If you've ever seen the movie *The Book of Eli* starring Denzel Washington, you will know exactly what I'm talking about here. It's a story about a post-apocalyptic world, where a group of good people had retreated to a safe place in an attempt to preserve all of the good that man had created.

Denzel's character lost the only remaining copy of the Bible in a fight, but thankfully, he had somehow managed to memorize most or all of the Bible.

He traveled on foot through this post-apocalyptic world to California, where he then dictated the Bible to them so that they could finally record it. That is exactly the place that we find ourselves in today.

The only place you are going to find a copy of the Bible that is accurate to the originals is in someone's head. Humans typically forget their differences and band together during natural disasters. In a similar way, the Bible changes will have a cohesive effect among believers as we are forced to band together to find consensus.

- **Acts 2:42** And they continued steadfastly in the apostles' doctrine and fellowship, in the breaking of bread, and in prayers.

The Abandonment vs. Adhesion Doctrine

After I had been creating content for a few years, I started noticing that some people were deciding to abandon reading the scriptures altogether. One listener called in from Australia and told me that he had been in the Word for forty years, and he didn't want the inspired word that was in his heart to be corrupted by the new words that were appearing in his Bible. I really couldn't find an argument against his decision, and I understood those who were taking that path.

Around the same time, I talked with another person who suggested that the Bible was becoming like a poisoned well.

That is when I realized that I was in the other camp on this topic. I realized that if the well was poisoned, I would need to get a water filter because if I didn't have water, I was going to die of thirst.

These revelations were the beginning of this idea of adhesion versus abandonment. I realized that some in the community were going one way while others were going the other.

Those of us who were clinging to the word however, found that we were struggling terribly when we read our Bibles. Many of us periodically threw our Bibles across the room in disgust because we felt so violated.

As we read our scriptures, we were constantly reminded that there was a home invader in our Bibles. The voice of a stranger kept inserting itself into our attempt to commune with God.

If I read Psalm 23, it didn't seem to have changed very much at all, while other passages are so foreign to me that I cannot comprehend how Christians and pastors don't notice.

At this point, I pretty much steer clear of unfamiliar parts of the Bible because there are new stories, characters, places, and doctrines being inserted into the text. If I'm reading an unfamiliar part of the Bible, I could be reading something that AI had inserted, and I wouldn't even know it.

And so, we focus on familiar parts of the Bible, and we try to find translations that don't seem to be as offensive when we read them. Some versions seem less offensive than others but you'll have to experiment for yourself. What I will present next are some of the ideas and statements that I have heard from people from both sides of this response. I will give you the pros and cons and let you decide.

The Doctrine of Abandonment

Author's Note: This is not my position.

Those that hold this view believe things like;

- “The level of corruption in the scriptures has reached a threshold that necessitates a complete abandonment of its study. Because the effect that an altered scripture will have on the disciple of Jesus Christ is still largely unknown, it is prudent to adopt a doctrine of abandonment in response to the scripture's gradual corruption.”

If the supernatural Bible changes are being allowed by God, we should not interfere by trying to preserve the scriptures.

Because the human memory is so unreliable, it is wholly inadequate to function as a repository for the inspired words given to the original authors. As a result, it is necessary to completely abandon the study of scriptures and rely wholly on the teaching ministry of the Holy Spirit.

The negative influences of an altered scripture are so insidious that only complete abandonment will protect the follower of Jesus Christ from its contagion. All followers of Christ should learn to receive all instruction and direction from the Holy Spirit exclusively and no longer seek the will of God through the written scriptures.

Discipleship from elder Christians may take place on an individual basis, but no organized attempt to provide such support should be sanctioned due to the unreliability of human memory and the scriptural warnings against outside or extra-biblical revelation that may creep in if human memory is relied upon to retain the accuracy of the original autographs.

Doctrine of Adhesion

Author's Note: This is my position.

Those that hold this view believe things like;

- “It appears that the level of corruption in the scriptures has not reached a threshold that necessitates a complete abandonment of its study. Many passages, although altered, seem largely unaffected. We can also use consensus and our memories in real-time to make corrections as we read.”

Because the effect of this on the believer is still largely unknown, I will continually pray for God's protection as I study because I have more faith that God can protect me than fear that the devil can deceive me.

- **Matthew 7:11** “If you then, being evil, know how to give good gifts to your children, how much more will your Father who is in heaven give good things to those who ask Him!”

Some suggested that if God was truly responsible for bringing this judgment, then we should not be working to counteract it or expose it. Our response to that is to point out that just because the supernatural Bible changes are being allowed by God, does not mean that we are opposing God if we seek to preserve the memory of the original autographs. Even though God sent the famine on Egypt, He still made provision through Joseph to provide for many.

-
- **Genesis 41:39** And Pharaoh said to Joseph, “See, I have set you over all the land of Egypt.”

Because human memory has proven to be very reliable in our own experience, we will seek to form a loosely held brain trust to attempt to provide an accurate consensus on certain scriptures as they come up. By necessity, there will be an increased interest for believers to seek discipleship from elder Christians.

Although there is strong agreement that a deeper reliance on the Holy Spirit will be necessary because of the Mandela Effect, the adhesion doctrine sees abandonment of scripture as premature, unnecessary, and ill-advised. Furthermore, abandoning the depth and breadth of the scriptures' teaching for a mystical reliance on God's voice alone seems naive if the Holy Spirit's interaction with mankind remains consistent with how He has interacted up until now.

The negative influences of an altered scripture are certainly insidious, but by observing certain practices, believers can sufficiently protect themselves from the ravages of an altered text, thereby minimizing or nullifying the contagion.

1. Reverse the changes using your and others' memory.
2. Only read passages that you are familiar with.
3. Only use translations that seem less altered.
4. Ask God to guide you and protect you in your study.
5. Participate in fellowships that are aware and outspoken about this event

Some have protested, “Does God expect us to draw from corrupted scriptures?” They have even asked, “If the Bible is filled with spells, would you encourage people to read it?” My response has been to point out that the peril from not consulting the scriptures is greater than abandoning them. Additionally, characterizing the changes as “spells” is exaggerating. Many changes are very subtle and don't sharply affect doctrine, so the saint is better served in asking God to show him the way through the minefield instead of going around it.

Biblical Paradoxes Identify Changes

Another way to help identify if a scripture has been changed is by taking note of anything in the text that seems inconsistent with the nature and character of God.

Although it is unfamiliar to many that the cock crowed twice before Peter denied Jesus thrice (Mark 14:72), it doesn't introduce any doctrinal change into the text. It simply modifies the familiar version, where Peter denies Him three times and then the cock crows once.

This is vastly different from a change like Leviticus 12:8 (KJV), where you now have scripture teaching that God's people can sacrifice reptiles.

Therefore, identifying biblical paradoxes has become another way that we are able to discern what constitutes an authentic change. We learned over time, that in addition to relying on our memories and consensus, we could also discern if a change had taken place if the passage introduced themes that were inconsistent with the known nature and character of God. You can see a long list of these in chapter 1 in the section entitled, "Proof texts for Satanic sermons."

Doctrine of Spiritual Authority

Despite all of these observations, there was still a lot of acrimony and disagreement among believers as to what constituted a Bible change. This disagreement was even more pronounced if it took place between the unconvinced and the Mandelite.

This troubled me and I began to seek God for his instruction on how I was to respond to this and how I could bring comfort to His people moving forward. I was confident and optimistic that God would not abandon us. I was mindful of His many promises to come to our aid when the chips were down. Scriptures that came to mind included

- Isaiah 59:19"When the enemy shall come in like a flood, the Spirit of the Lord shall lift up a standard against him."
- 1 Corinthians 10:13 God will always....make a way to escape, that ye may be able to bear it."

-
- Psalm 34:19 "Many are the afflictions of the righteous: but the Lord delivereth him out of them all."

My sense was that God was going to match energy with energy for all those that were not afraid to be persecuted for moving in power.

Unfortunately, nothing will bring you more persecution from Christians than believing God publicly for miracles on a regular basis. The Devil has been very successful at getting Christians to brand the real thing as fake and vice versa.

And so, of course, God began to challenge me to begin emphasizing healing, miracles, and prophetic words in my ministry.

It's not like I didn't already have enough controversy being the guy who says the Bible is changing. Even so, as I was complaining in prayer one day, the Lord reminded me of how the Pharisees treated Him because of His miracles.

He began to show me the many different examples throughout the Old and New Testament where conflicts were not resolved through debate or intellectual discourse, but through power encounters.

He began to show me the many different examples throughout the Old and New Testament where conflicts were not resolved through debate or intellectual discourse, but through power encounters.

Throughout the Bible, there are examples where people relied upon power demonstrations to convince their adversaries that they were correct. Since the authority of the written scriptures is being eroded, it is becoming increasingly necessary for God's people to be able to demonstrate the gospel in order to resolve disputes.

Examples include Elijah and the prophets of Baal (1 Kings 18:36-38), Elijah calling down fire on fifty soldiers (2 Kings 1:10-12), Peter with Ananias and Sapphira (Acts 5:1-10), Paul with Elymas (Acts 13:8-11), Moses with Pharaoh (Exodus 7:14-24).

As we mentioned before, the missionary seeking to convert the pagan in the jungle, cannot rely on his grasp of systematic theology to get the job done. He cannot convince them with sound doctrine and strict hermeneutical analysis.

He may know all of those things, but they aren't going to help him in that environment.

A bunch of talking is not going to convince the pagan because the pagan already believes in the supernatural realm. So, the pagan is typically not going to be very diplomatic; they are going to want to see proof. And so, the missionary calls for the sickest, blindest, most crippled person in the entire village and performs a miracle. God confirms His word with signs following, and the entire village is converted even before the missionary opens his mouth. At this point, all of the heavy lifting has been done, and it is simply a matter of bringing them to Christ.

And so it is with the Mandela Effect community. In this post-canonized scripture Church era, God will confirm the message that His Bible is changing with signs following. He is going to back the people that are acknowledging this with signs and wonders, words of knowledge, and miracles.

The church will no longer be led by scholars; it will be led by those who have broken out of the Matrix on all fronts. It will be led by those who hear His voice and have clear direction from the Holy Spirit. These bold ones may seem unqualified to the intelligentsia, but they will have God's ear in every encounter.

Without this type of tangible demonstration in public ministry, your doctrine is wrong. You cannot separate the preaching or teaching of the gospel from the demonstration of the gospel.

Everything that is to be done, and that will be done by God, is not clearly delineated in the scriptures. This is what Jesus meant when He said;

- John 7:17 "If any man will do his will, he shall know of the doctrine, whether it be of God, or whether I speak of myself."

It isn't until you step out in faith and commit God publicly that He instructs you on how to do what He's telling you to do.

More examples of power encounters to resolve disputes

- Exodus 7:10-12: Aaron's rod swallowed the rods of Pharaoh's magicians, demonstrating God's supremacy.

-
- Numbers 16:1-35: Moses, through God's intervention, caused the ground to swallow Korah and his followers who challenged Moses' authority.
 - Numbers 12:1-15: Miriam was struck with leprosy by God for challenging Moses' leadership.
 - 1 Kings 18:20-40: Elijah called down fire from heaven to consume the sacrifice, proving the power of the God of Israel over Baal.
 - 2 Samuel 6:1-11: Uzzah was struck dead by God for touching the Ark of the Covenant improperly.
 - 1 Samuel 15:10-35: Samuel delivered God's judgment against Saul for disobeying God's command, resulting in Saul losing his kingship.
 - Numbers 14:26-38: God declared that the Israelites who refused to enter the Promised Land would die in the wilderness.
 - 2 Kings 5:20-27: Elisha pronounced God's judgment on Gehazi for lying and greed, resulting in Gehazi being struck with leprosy.
 - Acts 5:1-11: Peter pronounced judgment on Ananias and Sapphira for lying to the Holy Spirit about their offering, resulting in their deaths.
 - Acts 12:20-23: Herod Agrippa I was struck down by an angel of the Lord and died because he accepted the people's worship as a god.

Is perceiving the changes a salvation issue?

Level 1 – Not noticing

Another question surrounding this issue is the unexplainable blindness demonstrated by the majority of Christians. To us, the inability to perceive these changes is more baffling than the phenomena itself. Because of this, some have suggested that those who cannot concede that this is happening have somehow lost their souls and salvation. They see it as too much of an indication that the Spirit of God has left them.

In this section, I will explore the idea of whether or not seeing the changes is a salvation issue. There is a fairly significant split in

the Mandela Effect community regarding the idea that if Christians are not able to discern and acknowledge that the Bible is supernaturally changing, then they are demonstrating that they are reprobate and are not saved.

I have conducted polls on this, and the response I received was that over seventy percent did not agree with this position; so I believe this idea is in the minority, and for good reason.

Christians who take this position have often invoked the term "reprobate" to describe those who don't perceive that their Bible is changing. Although I agree that a Christian's inability to recognize this is unfathomable, it does not seem to fit the biblical definition of reprobate in any way.

This term is mentioned four times in the Bible, and it is used in association with someone engaged in the most heinous sins. It is reserved for someone who continues in rebellion despite God's unvarnished threats against such behavior, not someone who hasn't noticed the Bible changes.

You don't become reprobate overnight. It takes place over a long period of time during which God gives many warnings. If they persist, they end up in a condition where they have no desire to even try to be reconciled with God. They are seized by absolute hopelessness that their spiritual condition could ever be improved.

I have only met one or two people in forty years that I would categorize as reprobate. There was one man that I met on the streets of New York when I was in the ministry there. He had a shopping cart that he lived out of, and he confessed to me that he knew the scriptures like the back of his hand, which he did.

He confessed that he was in the ministry for a long time but was engaged in secret sin and finally gave himself over to it. And what he told me turned my blood to ice water. He said, "John, I have no desire to be reconciled with God."

This spiritual condition is in stark contrast to the believer who is filled with the Holy Spirit, engaging with God on a daily basis in service and worship, and has a vibrant love affair with the Son of God. This type of faithful follower, however, is a victim of a lifelong program of MK-Ultra, trauma-based, mind control that has caused them to live in a state of willful ignorance.

It is a bewitchment, a form of hypnosis that somehow gets us to shut our brains off where we don't question.

This is the exact same blindness demonstrated by people who cannot accept that we are being lied to about 9/11, the revelation of the Flat Earth, or the idea that NASA is lying to us about everything.

This blindness that I just described is the same blindness that people have regarding the Bible changes. I don't think any who believe this is a salvation issue would assign those Christians to hell because they think the moon landing is real.

I don't believe that if you believe we went to the moon, you are going to hell, and I don't believe if you haven't noticed the Bible changes, you are going to hell either. Do the people who believe this think that they themselves were going to hell right up until the moment they saw the changes? I would think not.

I will say that Christians who do not perceive this are in great peril. They are on a slippery slope and are in danger of losing their salvation if they do not make a course correction. The threat is that they could eventually be seduced into forging an unholy alliance with many doctrines that are at odds with the nature and character of God.

This description of people who are reprobate does not describe devout believers who haven't noticed the Bible changes.

- Romans 1:28: "And even as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, God gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient."
- 2 Timothy 3:8: "Now as Jannes and Jambres withstood Moses, so do these also resist the truth: men of corrupt minds, reprobate concerning the faith."
- Titus 1:16: "They profess that they know God; but in works they deny him, being abominable, and disobedient, and unto every good work reprobate."

Level 2 – Being shown but still denying it

There is however, a second category of people who are in even more peril, yet I do not believe they have lost their salvation either.

That is the person who has been approached about this topic and has been given significant proof that it's happening, yet they have turned a blind eye to it.

There are a variety of defense mechanisms that people might deploy to avoid acknowledging this event, but they are all driven by willful ignorance. The reason I believe they have not lost their salvation is that the blindness they are exhibiting is typically coming from a pure motive. In their minds, they are contending for the faith. They are true believers who are offended by the suggestion that God's word is under attack, and so they are motivated to come to the defense of God's honor.

This is noble in the sight of God, and I believe it relieves them of God's judgment upon them.

All of us have been guilty of the same type of behavior in our lives. There have been times when I was aware that I was unwilling to consider something because it went against what I believed, only to be convinced at a later date that they were correct.

Many of you reading this have succumb to a normalcy bias or cognitive dissonance in the past, and the reaction to the Bible changes is no different.

Having said that, the willful ignorance of the unconvinced does seem to invite the judgment outlined in 2 Thessalonians 2, where God sends a strong delusion on anyone who engages in willful ignorance. I would simply point out that strong delusion is not good, but it doesn't mean you're going to hell.

I picture the first group as those who are in a plane having engine trouble, but this second group is in a nosedive.

Level 3 – Co-conspirator church leaders

The third group we will explore has figuratively hit the ground at 400 miles per hour and is going straight to hell when they take their last breath. That is the church leader, influencer, or pastor who has looked at this phenomenon, has concluded that it is authentic, but has made the decision to keep it from their flock. These unfortunate souls will be forced to lie to their followers to perpetuate the cover-up. They will use their influence to convince everyone around them that this is all just confusion and delusion, knowing full well that it isn't.

Their subterfuge will typically include calling us fools and charlatans, which is a clear violation of Matthew 5:22:

- **Matthew 5:22:** “But whoever says, ‘You fool!’ shall be in danger of hell fire.”

This decision by any church leader is an egregious abdication of their moral responsibility to warn of danger. Those engaged in this type of activity have forsaken their mantle as a shepherd and have become nothing more than a hireling.

They have become a premeditated co-conspirator with the mortal enemy of God. And if you are a co-conspirator with the enemy, what does that make you?

There may be some rare occasions where it would be ill-advised to disclose this to some group of people, but generally speaking, there is no rational excuse that can be given to justify a cover-up, especially when the reasons that drive this decision are typically selfish, like the Rich Young Ruler principle or maintaining the status quo.

This is a heinous crime which I believe is damnable. Church leaders must be willing to lose everything to stand in the truth in this hour. You need to have skin in the game on this one.

Impact to doctrine because of Bible changes

It would take countless generations of theologians poring over this topic to even scratch the surface of what this event means to doctrine.

How are we to reconcile the perception that God is abounding towards us by providing the sure foundation of Scripture, only to have it pulled out from underneath us in the mother of all rug pulls? We cannot assume that God is an Indian giver or is going back on His word. We cannot assume that God has been overwhelmed by the Devil or taken by surprise.

We can only acknowledge that it is happening and formulate our responses. We will have to accept God’s method for running His universe and soldier on without having our questions answered. How can you reconcile statements like, “the word that I have spoken, the same shall judge him in the last day” (John 12:48)?

How will that work if the word has become corrupted? Wouldn’t that make it unfair?

How will God judge righteous judgment if the people are basing their obedience on Scriptures that contain blasphemy?

These are some of the questions that seem unanswerable for the natural mind. The only possible explanation that I can think of is that God wants us to move our source for knowing right and wrong from the pages of the book to our hearts, much like we talked about with Abraham. This would seem to be a logical progression.

And what about the ramifications to discipleship if the Bible is changing? Many in the Mandela Effect community now struggle with the idea of telling a new Christian to go read their Bible. This is an example of what I mean when I say that we are now operating under a new set of rules.

I believe Revelation 22 describes one aspect of the ramifications: "Let him who is evil stay evil." The good news is that there are also positive ramifications. This event has awakened many of us to our stodgy intellectualism, and we are grateful to God for the wake-up call. We are not backsliding because of this; we are front sliding!

Chapter 7 – Misremembering

We're not misremembering...OK?

The idea that millions of people's Mandela Effect testimony can be explained by misremembering or delusion is easy to refute. And once I do, what do you have left?

If it's not misremembering or delusion, then what is your plan B? How are you going to try to push our supernatural testimony into a naturalistic box if it's not misremembering?

I have been ruminating on this for seven years now, and what I can assure you is you don't have a Plan B. If it's not misremembering, then the only possible explanation that's left is our testimony. And if that's true, you won't be in Kansas anymore.

You are on a plane with critical engine failure, and there is only one parachute left. Your parachute is called misremembering, but I am going to throw that parachute out the open door as you look on in horror. And once I do, you will be struck with the full weight of your situation.

That was your only hope to get off this plane alive. There is no other parachute on the plane. Long story short, if our testimony isn't misremembering, then it's almost certainly a phenomenon. The next three chapters are the coffin nail for the unconvinced.

If you are reading this with an open mind or you are among the normal people that are perfectly capable of admitting the obvious, then welcome to the family. But you are the exception in case you haven't noticed. For all of those that are on their high horse, I am about to bring all of your snarky, biting sarcasm to a grinding halt.

How I trained my puppy

I trained my little puppy not to pee on the rug by sticking her nose in the pee, giving her a little swat, dropping her on the newspaper, and then yelling HERE! I only had to do it three or four times before she started going on the newspapers.

Respectfully and with nothing but love in my heart, I am going to stick the recalcitrant noses of the unconvinced in so much searing logic, that even the most militant, preserved word KJV-only

Baptist will be forced to admit that misremembering is no longer an option. That is, if you have even a shred of integrity, and not one ounce of the rich young ruler principle in your heart.

The unconvinced always come at us with a tone. They always seem to feel perfectly comfortable talking down to us like we're six years old. Once they realize what we are suggesting, they rear up like an old schoolmarm with a big ruler in her hand. They are ready to slap us across the knuckles and give us a good talking-to. When they find out what we believe, the unconvinced will become agitated and then swoop in as if they are on a mission to seek and save that which is lost. In their minds, they are supremely confident that they can clear up all this confusion in just a few minutes.

They see themselves as imminently qualified to easily identify this for what it obviously must be. They are agitated that mature adults would even consider such foolishness, and they feel obligated to correct the record as quickly as possible. In their minds, it can't be what the Mandelites are suggesting; so it has to be misremembering.

Where is Fabio when you need him?

They see themselves like Fabio on the cover of romance novels, with their long blonde hair being brushed back by a gentle breeze.

Bare-chested, muscular, and confident, they picture themselves mounted on a high horse, looking down their nose from their exalted pedestal of logic and reason. And it is from this very secure place of supreme intellectual superiority they proclaim, "You're just misremembering."

But what you don't seem to realize is that by belching forth that breathtakingly arrogant decree, you are asking millions of adults from every socio-economic strata, across the entire flat stationary earth, to willingly accept the idea that we have all somehow been magically reduced to something akin to little children playing pin the tail on the donkey. You want us to accept that we are as helpless as a seven-year-old who is blindfolded after being spun around three or four times. Now we have no bearings of where we are in the room, and we are staggering around with both arms stretched out in front of us, groping for anything solid that we can hold onto.

Vague memories are not vivid memories.

Well, what I'm telling you in this book is, the answer is no. We do not consent to your desperate characterization. And if you cannot provide an explanation that would account for an untold number of people finding themselves in such an incapacitated state, then your opinions will be set aside as utter foolishness. If you cannot answer our direct questions and persist in making the same argument, it will be you and not us that will appear as fools in the court of public opinion.

Misremembering and dementia are not the same.

We refuse to be characterized as weak-minded boobs, low IQ knuckleheads who are so incapacitated that we can't tell the difference between simple misremembering of a co-worker's name and not being able to remember our own names.

These two things are not even in the same universe, but the unconvinced are continuously trying to smash them into the same category. This is a lie, and now that I have so eloquently made this distinction for you, you will need to decide what you are going to do next. Because your entire misremembering hypothesis hinges on ignoring this distinction to advance your position.

Do you believe that you have a strong moral compass? Do you have integrity? Because what I have seen is that Christians and church leaders continuously display a lack of integrity when it comes to this topic. They don't want it to be true, so they lie through their teeth and steamroll anyone claiming it is authentic.

Now that you understand the difference, I am calling you out if you persist in conflating the two vastly different experiences. If you choose to continue disseminating this false narrative that these two types of experiences can be treated in the same way, then you are nothing more than a liar in the sight of God.

Our testimony is that there are many things changing in our reality, and not all of our memories of these things are vivid. But the ones that are vivid are as vivid as our names.

And if these vividly remembered things change and no longer exist as we remember them, then our testimony is that there is no rational explanation for this experience.

If you woke up tomorrow and found out that grass has always been blue, would you be able to convince yourself that you had just been misremembering all this time?

If the answer is no, then what you are testifying to is that, in your opinion, the human memory is extremely reliable when it comes to vivid memories.

If your name is Joe

Here's a hypothetical situation to illustrate what it's like to experience the Mandela Effect. If your name is Joe, but you wake up tomorrow and somehow, for the first time in your life, your name is now Jim, what conclusion would you draw? All of your bank statements now say Jim, your credit cards, your birth certificate, and every part of your life reflects that your name is Jim and it's always been Jim. In this scenario, you don't have mental illness, and you're not under the influence of controlled substances or demonic delusion.

The question is, if you found yourself in that situation, would you conclude that you were just misremembering? Would you be capable of convincing yourself that you misremembered your own name your entire life? I don't think so. Wouldn't you be forced to conclude that you found yourself smack dab in the middle of some unexplainable phenomenon like we have?

Our testimony is that we are not confused about what we are experiencing. All though we have open minds, the idea that we are misremembering is unconvincing. We have been trying to warn you that you are deceived, but the unconvinced seem to be under a spell.

It's clear that the unconvinced are willfully ignorant and shamefully relying heavily on personal attacks to win this debate. And it is therefore our testimony that we want you to be quiet.

On behalf of all the adults in the room who have integrity, I would like to kindly ask all of the unconvinced to be quiet. You heard me.

The message of this book is that I, for one, am no longer willing to tolerate the uneducated insolence of the unconvinced or to watch you vividly lie to yourself in your effort to preserve the status quo, because I care about the people that God has entrusted to your care.

Two apes fighting

If you have ever watched two apes having a fight, they will posture back and forth by beating their chests. The first ape beats his chest, and the second one beats his even harder and lets out a blood-curdling roar. At this point, the only thing left for the first ape to do is to beat his chest and charge, so that's what I'm doing.

We have been going back and forth for seven years, beating our chests and growing louder and louder, but now it's time to charge and have some close contact interaction.

I'm not talking about getting into a physical fight with anyone. What I'm going to do is walk you through a very granular analysis of every aspect of your own objection to our testimony. The goal of these questions will be to lead you to the conclusion that it can't possibly be misremembering.

Then I will extend an open invitation to church leaders, Christian influencers, Bible scholars, Bible school professors, Christian authors, and the intelligentsia of the Christian world to meet us for an open discussion of our questions. It can be private, public, or both.

First Timothy 1:5 says, "The goal of our instruction is love from a pure heart." We do not seek division within the body but reconciliation. It is our community that has been vilified and castigated, not the other way around.

I use the analogy of the two apes fighting as a response to the vitriol that we have received. In seven years, we have only been able to get a few church leaders to even talk with us.

All we have seen are scathing sermons that categorize us as charlatans and wolves in sheep's clothing. My analogy of two apes fighting is only a response to the universally caustic response of the church to us.

We are seeking a sincere, scholarly response that is free from personal attacks, that is coming from someone that is projecting a genuine desire to follow the truth wherever it may lead them. I can assure you that has not been our experience.

We will ask you privately or in a public forum to answer our direct questions.

And if you are unable to provide a rational explanation for the observations regarding our testimony, then your objection will be overruled, and our testimonies will be entered into the court of public opinion.

If the unconvinced are correct, and the masses of people testifying that their reality is changing are simply misremembering, then they should be able to provide a rational response to our questions and lead us out of our delusion.

The survey will further illustrate that the only explanation for so many people being in that type of state would be some sort of outward force or agency. The primary reasoning behind that observation is that nothing like this has ever happened before.

It's not rational to suggest that this level of widespread hysteria could just crop up on its own or be caused by some organic contagious disease.

The curse causeless does not come.

Causation is also indicated due to the profound life impact that the Mandelite is experiencing. Those who acknowledge that the phenomenon is authentic typically experience severe relational problems, including divorce and estrangement from friends and family. It is irrational to suggest that this type of fallout could happen in the normal course of life from run-of-the-mill misremembering. If it's just misremembering, why is it devastating so many families and individuals?

This outside influence would have to be producing either a psychosis, where people are having false memories implanted in their minds, or a mass hysteria, where people begin having a distorted view of the frequency and vividness of their memories. As we look closely at this possibility, it will become apparent very quickly that this idea would be many times more irrational than simply admitting that there is an end times sign and wonder that is taking place.

The questions will also illustrate that the unconvinced share all of the same memories as the Mandelites. If the Mandelites are being influenced by an outward force, then so are the unconvinced.

And since it's very unlikely that the unconvinced are going to want to concede to that, I would imagine that they will be forced to abandon that line of thinking.

The science of statistical probabilities and surveys can get very complex. And after doing extensive research on all the parameters that would go into addressing all of the different conditions, I've decided to simply appeal to people's conscience and integrity. And so, the survey questions will be designed to guide you through a series of observations that I think most people would agree have an obvious answer.

Some may argue that these are leading questions that prove nothing. They will characterize them as trick questions and dismiss the validity of the survey. But that is why I decided to simply appeal to people's conscience and integrity.

Most people are coming to this debate with a very strong bias. They don't want the Bible to be changing, and they certainly don't believe it's theologically possible. They have a very adamant opposing viewpoint. However, what is also true in my observation is that very few actually understand the Doctrine of Preservation, which is what they're basing their position on.

Most also have a very naturalistic worldview, which contributes to their very strong bias against the possibility of this type of phenomenon. What we typically see from Christians and church leaders are superficial objections that have not really been thought through very well.

And what these survey questions will force you to do is to really consider your own argument. And once your argument has been completely vanquished, you will be forced to admit that trying to explain away our testimonies by suggesting that we are simply misremembering or delusional are not possible. So let's evaluate the arguments of the unconvinced.

Chapter 8 – Human Memory

Remembering

Misremembering is the primary explanation offered by the unconvinced to explain away our testimony. There are, however, a few other minor ideas that come up as well. I will address them here first.

- Delusion
- Government psyop
- Wolf in sheep’s clothing
- In it for the money
- Minimizing and laughing it off
- We’re just “biblically illiterate.”

Under the category of misremembering, there are several different subcategories that act as underpinnings to the misremembering hypothesis.

Subtopics to misremembering include:

- The human memory is unreliable
- Implanted thoughts
- Confabulation
- We are confused by misquotes from pop culture
- The telephone game
- Bible version confusion
- Modernization confusion

I’m just summarizing these here, I will cover these in detail in the next chapter.

Memory 101

Definition of remembering:

- “To bring to mind an awareness, to recall to the mind by an act of memory.”

I will not venture too far into the weeds on all the various theories of how we store and retrieve our memories. The study of the human memory gets very complicated, and it isn't necessary for what I am trying to accomplish here. We just need to have a general idea of how memory works for our purposes.

Memory has to do with the ability to recall something. It is the ability to bring things up from storage into your conscious awareness. There is short-term and long-term memory, and our experience is that our memories can be weak or strong. Some studies suggest that your brain retains every single thing you have ever been exposed to or experienced.

How else can you explain someone like Kim Peek, a mega savant that I will introduce you to in a moment? What we will examine is man's ability to recall things accurately and the reliability of such memories. We will also look at ways that we can measure the accuracy and reliability of human memory.

The Mandelita testimony is being disparaged based on a false perception of the reliability of the human memory, and once that is exposed, the reader can make a more balanced decision.

There are several observations and correlations that seem very apparent that I think we can all agree on.

One observation is that absent mental illness or influence by controlled substances, all of humanity shares about the same level of cognitive performance across a broad spectrum. To some degree, its reliability is a known quantity that is consistent throughout all recorded history. Forget about any studies you may have seen for a moment.

If you are fifty years or older, you could sit here for the next two weeks and run through your childhood and provide thousands of descriptions of people, places and events that took place in your childhood. The street you grew up on, names of people on that street, your school, its hallways, your bicycle rides, the park you went to as a kid. It's not all in there, but a lot of it is in there.

There are savants and there are low IQ individuals, but in between, there is a predictable and consistent level of performance across all cultures, ethnicities, and socioeconomic strata.

This is an important observation because we can use that as a known quantity in making assumptions or forming a hypothesis based on the scientific discipline of probabilities.

Human certainty

Another observation is that human beings have the capacity for certainty. This is an emotional state that humans experience regarding certain memories. When someone is certain their memory is correct, it means they are very confident that they are not misremembering. This certainty is experienced on a linear scale from someone saying, “I can’t remember,” to something like, “I would stake my life on it,” and everything in between.

What Determines Human Certainty?

Typically, there is a correlation between how certain someone is and the percentage chance that they are correct. This correlation is not always present, but it is most of the time. I’m not saying that if you are certain it’s impossible to be mistaken. You can feel very certain and still be very mistaken, but that experience is the exception, not the rule.

We see this finding in a peer-reviewed study entitled, “**What Determines Human Certainty?**”⁸ There were five hundred fifty-two participants in the study.

On page four of the study, in the section entitled “**Predictors of certainty,**” you have this finding to support what I am saying:

- “Local accuracy models have low dispersion, meaning that individuals with low local accuracy have low certainty and individuals with high local accuracy are highly certain. There are no cases where an individual is highly accurate and highly uncertain and no cases where an individual has low accuracy and is highly certain.”

⁸ <https://colala.berkeley.edu/papers/marti2016what.pdf>

This study provides compelling corroboration that the Mandelitte testimony has validity, regardless of how unlikely the unconvinced think this event might be.

Your naturalistic world view does not eradicate the findings of this report and its correlation to the Mandelitte testimony.

The study showed that a very high level of certainty always correlated to high accuracy, and a commonly observed characteristic within the Mandela Effect community is the level of certainty that people express about their experiences. You have millions of people who are implacable, adamantly testifying that there is no way they are misremembering.

This empirical evidence is compelling when you consider the sheer volume of people making this claim. One cannot simply sweep so many credible testimonies aside when the scripture teaches:

- 2 Corinthians 13:1 ... “In the mouth of two or three witnesses shall every word be established.”

The unconvinced try to discount our conclusion regarding the obvious dichotomy between what we remember and what now exists, and our conclusion is further validated when we observe that the unconvinced are having the same experience.

We wonder why the unconvinced are not the least bit astonished that they can now identify hundreds of familiar things in multiple categories that no longer exist the way they remember them. We ask them, “Was it always that way for you? Why doesn’t that register with you as unexplainable? There is an avalanche of misremembering unlike anything that has ever happened to you in your lifetime, but you are strangely unmoved by that.

Here are 10 simple examples from pop culture to illustrate:

Answers below:

1. What did Pavlov use to trigger the dogs to start salivating?
2. Grand Central _____
3. _____ Notes (Book summaries of textbooks) Hint “Clif”
4. The _____ of Dorian Gray
5. _____ mirror on the wall”

6. “Sex _____ the City”
7. “Interview with _____ Vampire”
8. “The spelling of “Oscar _____ (Hot dog people)”
9. “Smokey _____ (Forest fire mascot)”
10. “Life _____ like a box of chocolates”

Answers: 1) Metronome, 2) Terminal, 3) Cliffs, 4) Picture, 5) Mirror, 6) and, 7) the, 8) Meyer, 9) Bear, 10) was.

If I ask you what your name is, and you tell me, and then I ask you how certain you are that you’re correct, most people will say “I’m one hundred percent certain.” And in this case, the chances that you are correct are very high.

We can be certain or not so certain. As I said, we can be certain about something and be incorrect as well, but it is the exception, and this is confirmed by the study. Here are those results again.

- “There are no cases where an individual is highly accurate and highly uncertain and no cases where an individual has low accuracy and is highly certain.”

This means that, generally speaking, the more certain you are about a memory, the higher chance there is that you are correct and not misremembering. Memories that were created recently tend to be more vivid and therefore also tend to be more accurate; memories that were created a long time ago tend to be less vivid and therefore, less accurate.

Thinking is a process that in layman’s terms seems to fall into several categories:

1. I can’t remember
2. I’m trying to remember
3. I think I remember
4. I remember
5. I’m absolutely certain I remember, and I’m correct
6. I’m absolutely certain I remember, but I’m incorrect (I’m misremembering.)

Let’s start by focusing on the difference between numbers four, five, and six.

The first distinction that needs to be made in this debate is that there is a vast difference between a memory and a vivid memory.

Our collective experience and all of recorded history have shown us that we are not walking around with mid-level dementia, where we are misremembering most of the time. Think about it—do you misremember things most of the time? I don't. I misremember things sometimes or rarely.

How vivid memories are created

The vividness of a memory can be strong because of how many times it is repeated, but it can also be because of the emotional state that you were in when the memory was created.

Here's a study that indicates that the emotional state, not the number of exposures, can be the leading factor in how vivid a memory is:

Title: "Psychologists link emotion to vividness of perception and creation of vivid memories"

Date: August 20, 2012

Source: University of Toronto

- Summary: "Have you ever wondered why you can remember things from long ago as if they happened yesterday, yet sometimes can't recall what you ate for dinner last night?"

According to a new study, it's because how much something means to you actually influences how you see it as well as how vividly you can recall it later."

It is not our experience that we all live with a constant brain fog where we are bumping into walls, can't remember our times tables, and are constantly on the verge of needing someone to guide us around by the hand.

This is a dishonest characterization, but it's exactly what the unconvinced are trying to get us to accept to advance their agenda. These next few examples will help to dispel this perception and give you permission to believe in the reliability of human memory again.

Are you the great Kreskin?

Are you able to determine how vivid the memory of another person is? For example, I have two memories from childhood:

One is from a camp I went to when I was ten, and the other is from when I went camping with my family around the same time. Which one of those memories is most vivid to me?

Obviously, no one could know that except the person. You can try to suggest how one memory tends to be more memorable for this reason or that, but I agree with the apostle when he said:

- 1 Corinthians 2:11 “For what man knoweth the things of a man, save the spirit of man which is in him? even so the things of God knoweth no man, but the Spirit of God.”

And so, it is very offensive if a Bible believer says that they are certain that their Bible always said, “Judge not lest ye be judged,” only to have the unconvinced tell them, “Actually you are not certain.”

The unconvinced is saying, “I’m certain that you are not certain, and I’ll tell you what is happening on the inside of you instead of you. You’re just confused by misquotes from pop culture, the Planters peanut guy, and the telephone game.” But our testimony is the evidence. The burden of proof is on the unconvinced to make their case by responding to our direct questions.

Let’s see just how unreliable the human memory really is, shall we?

The brain is awesome

According to a 2010 Stanford study entitled, “New imaging method developed at Stanford reveals stunning details of brain connections.”

November 17, 2010 - By Bruce Goldman

Stephen Smith, PhD, professor of molecular and cellular physiology - Stanford

- “A typical healthy human brain contains about 200 billion nerve cells, or neurons, linked to one another via hundreds of trillions of tiny contacts called synapses.

-
- “Observed in this manner, the brain’s overall complexity is almost beyond belief, said Smith. “One synapse, by itself, is more like a microprocessor — with both memory-storage and information-processing elements — than a mere on/off switch.

In fact, one synapse may contain on the order of 1,000 molecular-scale switches. A single human brain has more switches than all the computers and routers and Internet connections on Earth,”

It doesn’t matter if they are savants

There are some people that have walked this earth that defy description when it comes to memory. Probably the most astonishing in recorded history was a man named Kim Peek.

Kim Peek (1951-2009)

He was born with a condition in which the bundle of nerves that connects the two hemispheres of the brain was missing. As a result, he was able to consume data as fast as he could see it and retain about 98% for the rest of his life. From the age that he could read, he would read one page with one eye and the other page with the other eye simultaneously.

He would flip through books as fast as he could turn pages and retain almost everything forever. He was in a class all his own. They called him a mega savant. He was called the human Google. Kim’s incredible mental abilities included the ability to remember;

- Complete Books: Kim could read and remember the content of entire books after just a single reading.
- Zip Codes: He could recall zip codes for every location in the United States.
- Calendar Dates: Kim could determine the day of the week for any given date in history.
- Historical Events: He had detailed knowledge of historical events, including dates and specifics.
- Geographical Facts: Kim could recall vast amounts of information about geography, including maps, routes, and locations.

- Phone Books: He could memorize and recall entire phone books.
- Sports Statistics: Kim had detailed knowledge of sports statistics, including scores, players, and game outcomes.
- Classical Music: He knew extensive details about classical music, including composers, compositions, and dates.
- Bible Verses: Kim could recall entire passages from the Bible.
- Shakespearean Plays: He had memorized the texts of Shakespeare's plays.
- Area Codes: Kim knew the area codes for every city in the United States.
- Birthdays: He could remember the birthdays of countless individuals.
- Astronomical Facts: Kim had knowledge of astronomical data, including constellations and celestial events.
- American Presidents: He knew detailed information about all the U.S. Presidents, including terms in office and historical contexts.
- Trivia Questions: Kim excelled in answering trivia questions on a wide array of topics.
- Bus Routes: He could memorize and recall intricate details about public transportation routes.
- World Capitals: Kim knew the capital cities of every country in the world.
- Scientific Facts: He had extensive knowledge of scientific facts across various disciplines.
- Weather Patterns: Kim could recall historical weather patterns and events.
- Movies and Actors: He had detailed knowledge of movies, including plot lines, actors, and production details.

Daniel Tammet,

Daniel is a British author and savant, and is renowned for his extraordinary mathematical abilities and memory. On March 14, 2004, he recited the value of pi (π) to 22,514 digits from memory with no errors. This remarkable feat took place at the Museum of the History of Science in Oxford, England. Here are some details about the event and Daniel Tammet's abilities:

- **Duration:** The recitation took approximately five hours and nine minutes.
- **Preparation:** Daniel prepared for this challenge by utilizing his unique synesthetic abilities, where he perceives numbers as shapes, colors, and textures, which helped him to memorize the long sequence of digits.
- **Method:** Instead of using conventional memory techniques, Daniel's synesthesia allowed him to visualize the digits of pi as complex landscapes and vivid images.

Let's put these two things in the same sentence, shall we? The human memory is unreliable, and Danny boy memorized and recited a number with 22,514 digits with no mistakes. BOOM! I guess the human memory is pretty reliable after all. Don't believe the hype.

Hyperthymesia,

Also known as highly superior autobiographical memory (HSAM), is a rare condition where individuals possess an extraordinary ability to recall detailed information about their personal experiences with remarkable accuracy.

World Memory Championships

Someone might say, "Well, those people are savants. That's not the average person." Well, these people are:

Here's a list of the first-prize winners of the World Memory Championships from every other year from 1999-2010, along with their achievements:

- 1999: Andi Bell - Memorized the order of thirty decks of shuffled cards.
- 2001: Andi Bell - Memorized three thousand eight hundred forty binary digits in thirty minutes.

- 2003: Ben Pridmore - Recalled the order of twenty-eight decks of shuffled cards.
- 2005: Ben Pridmore - Memorized three thousand nine hundred sixty binary digits in thirty minutes.
- 2007: Ben Pridmore - Memorized three thousand nine hundred sixty-nine binary digits in thirty minutes.
- 2010: Wang Feng - Memorized a shuffled deck of cards in twenty-four point twenty-two seconds.

How many people like this are Mandela Effected? Wouldn't their testimonies be hard to refute?

Would you be able to glibly tell them that they are just misremembering and lecture them about how this can all be explained away by the fact that the human memory is so unreliable? You say, "Well that's not long-term memory. That doesn't really prove anything." Well, how about this?

Evidence that the memory is reliable

Long term memory study⁹

Here's a long-term memory study to prove that the memory works just fine over long periods of time.

Results of the study showed that participants who were tested within:

- 15 years of graduation were about 90% accurate in identifying names and faces.
- After 48 years, they were accurate 80% for verbal and 70% visual.

They concluded that long-term memory has a potentially unlimited duration.

⁹ Fifty years of memory for names and faces: a cross-sectional approach. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General," www.simplypsychology.org By Saul McLeod,

Study indicates negative bias against memory

This article features a study that indicates that much of the research on the reliability of human memory is leading us astray. In an article entitled, “How accurate is our memory?”¹⁰

We read that a survey of the scientists conducting these memory experiments demonstrated a strong bias to pessimism regarding the human memory. In other words, the human memory is more reliable than the scientists are leading you to believe. The article stated;

- “In a survey describing the methods of our study, we asked memory scientists (and other academics) to predict the level of accuracy we would observe. Like memory accuracy itself, the perception of memory accuracy is important, particularly in the courtroom. Survey respondents were consistently highly pessimistic about the fidelity of memory, estimating the recall error rate to be over 50% (contrasted with our observed error rate of 5-7%). This suggests that experimental evidence for the malleability of memory may have led to an overcorrection in scientists’ views on memory accuracy in general. In other words – memory is more accurate than we thought.”

Aging parent

If you believe that the Mandela Effect testimony can be explained because the human memory is unreliable, then why do you believe the aging parent that doesn’t recognize their own child has dementia? That’s the answer that I always get when I ask that question.

This observation demonstrates that the people trying to sell the idea that the human memory can’t be trusted really don’t believe it themselves.

¹⁰ <https://www.thesciencebreaker.org/breaks/psychology/how-accurate-is-our-memory>

This observation proves that people suggesting that the memory is unreliable haven't really thought their position through very well. They don't really believe that the human memory is unreliable, at least when it comes to vivid memories. If they thought it was unreliable they would say, "Oh yeah, parents with no mental illness forget who their kids are all the time. That's normal."

A parent not recognizing their own child's face is a catastrophic memory failure. Most memories of those in the Mandela Effect community are not that vivid, but some are.

This means that not all Mandela Effect testimonies can be categorized as misremembering. You can't categorize a parent failing to recognize their child as misremembering, and you can't categorize a Mandela Effect anchor memory as though it's misremembering, either. And as we discussed, there is no way for you to know whether someone's memory is vivid or not.

In a similar way, a KJV-only pastor getting ten out of ten simple KJV Bible quiz questions wrong is a catastrophic memory failure. He's not misremembering, he's not confused by misquotes from pop culture, he's not tripped up by a misquote from a 50-year-old sermon, he doesn't read other translations because he's a KJV-only guy, so he's not confused by other versions; it's not a modernization, because the quote is in the 1611 and every revision right up to his Bible. No! The reason that the KJV-only pastor gets ten out of ten KJV-only Bible quiz questions wrong is because his Bible has supernaturally changed. PERIOD.

10 pilots, 10 doctors, 10 pastors

I'm going to give you a hypothetical situation and then ask you for your expectation. Please remember that you are answering in the presence of the One that requires truth in the inward parts.

Note:

I believe Psalm 51:6 used to say, "requires", and now it says, "desires." Please be as honest and innocent in your answers as you can.

- Psalm 51:6 "Behold, thou desirest truth in the inward parts: and in the hidden part thou shalt make me to know wisdom."

There is a commercial airline pilot that has flown for one commercial airline for thirty years. His record is so impeccable that he is now a trainer of other pilots. He just had a physical and psych exam. He is in perfect mental and physical health. In this example he is not lying and is not under the influence of controlled substances.

What do you think the result would be if you were to give the pilot a quiz of ten basic instrument questions, asking him to simply identify the name of the instruments?

Example: What is the name of the instrument that allows you to fly level? The pilot answers, "The altimeter." My question is, how many of those ten simple instrument questions do you think he would answer correctly?

Is your answer ten out of ten, or close to it? Because that's what everyone says. He would have been grounded long ago if he couldn't even identify the instruments he uses to fly the plane.

Next, you have a doctor. This doctor completed medical school and went on to run a successful practice for 30 years. His record and reputation are so sterling that he is now chief of staff at the hospital. He also just had a physical and has no mental illness, and he does not drink or use drugs.

If you were to ask him ten basic anatomy questions such as "What is the medical term for the organ that covers the outside of the human body?" Do you think he could answer, "the epidermis?" Do you believe the doctor would answer ten out of ten correctly?

Finally, you have a KJV-only pastor. He graduated from seminary; he has been in full-time ministry for 30 years; he is president of the Bible school and is world-renowned for his command of the Scriptures.

He only reads the King James Bible and you are asking him questions from the KJV, so there is no possibility of him confusing what you are asking him with other translations, because he doesn't read other translations.

It's not a modernization because the changes that you are quoting to him are in the 1611, and every modernization up to the Bible on his desk.

So anomalies can't be a modernization issue or a version confusion issue. Every KJV Bible in the world will tell you the same thing; so it cannot be a misprint issue either.

He too has had a recent psych evaluation, and he is of sound mind. He is not under the influence of controlled substances; he's not lying or influenced by any foreign thing, like a mind-control weapon or viral infection.

Using only familiar passages like Matthew 7:1 "Judge not lest ye be judged," if you were to test his memory by asking him ten, fill in the blank questions, how many do you think he would get correct?

The observable, repeatable, undeniable reality is that the doctor would get ten out of ten correct, the pilot would get ten out of ten correct, and the KJV-only pastor will get between eight to ten out of ten wrong, every time, without exception, with one hundred out of one hundred pastors. And the only reason they wouldn't get ten out of ten wrong is that some of these changes have been around for a while now, and everyone is learning them and beginning to remember them the way they are now.

This observation is the most compelling proof that I think I can point to. This seems completely unequivocal, and I don't see any way that you can try to explain this away without committing intellectual suicide.

NOTE: Those numbers will vary depending on which examples you use, because now that the changes have been in the Bible for a few years, the changes are becoming familiar to them. However, there are always new ones as well.

So stumping nine or ten out of ten takes a little finesse, but I can do it every time. Don't take my word for it. Go take the quiz yourself at the back of the book and then go ask your pastor.

How is it that the doctor gets ten correct, the pilot gets ten correct, and the pastor gets ten wrong? And keep in mind that this is not happening with just one isolated scripture. It is as many as twenty that we can consistently stump seasoned pastors with. Very familiar passages. How is that possible?

Just to put this in perspective. If you were to ask the seasoned pilot in this example the ten basic instrument questions and he got them all wrong, what conclusion would you draw if you knew he wasn't under the influence and didn't have a mental illness?

He's certainly not just misremembering. Since mental illness or drugs are ruled out in this situation, the only explanation is that the instruments have changed. And if that same experience were happening to all pilots, then you would certainly know that something unexplainable was happening. I'm sorry this upends your doctrine, but what I have just laid out is not only irrefutable logic but also something you can verify for yourself.

Use the biblical paradoxes in chapter one or take the quiz in the back of the book and go ask some pastors the questions. Be sure to use the universal version unless they are KJV only.

The fact that it is repeatable with every pastor has to rule out mental illness or dementia because you would then have to suggest that all pastors have simultaneously been stricken with dementia, which, of course is ridiculous.

Maybe, as you have turned this over and over in your mind and looked at it from different angles, it has given you a flash of inspiration. So I'm going to pose the question to you one last time, in the hope that you will be able to either extricate yourself from your present position or rescue me and hundreds of thousands of devout Christians from our delusion.

If the pilot or the doctor gets ten out of ten wrong and it's not mental illness or drunkenness, then what would be the cause of that? Is there any rational explanation that you can offer why the pilot and the doctor would get ten out of ten wrong? Because they are the same as the pastor. They are content experts in their chosen vocation.

So many learned men of God have arrogantly scoffed at me, stating that such a thing is impossible. And I ask, "It sounds as though you have all the mysteries of the universe all figured out. Is that correct?"

I'm going to give you a little more time to search and grope through the recesses of your limited human intellect and try to come up with some rational explanation for why not just one pilot, but every pilot, and not just one doctor, but every doctor, would all get ten simple quiz questions wrong, just like the pastor.

You can't come up with anything because there's nothing left except a phenomenon. Of course, in order to admit the obvious, you would also have to admit that your doctrine is wrong about God's word being unchangeable. And since many will not do that without a fight, let's keep going.

100 families case study

Here's another hypothetical scenario to help illustrate how the unconvinced really don't believe what they're saying when they tell you that the human memory is unreliable.

Imagine you have a couple that has lived in the same house in a suburban neighborhood for twenty years. The next-door neighbor's name is Joe, and two doors down there's another guy named Jim. Now imagine that there are one hundred similar families living in one hundred different neighborhoods in this control study.

You've got a couple, and the next-door neighbor's name is Joe. They've lived next door to him for twenty years. Then, two doors down, is a guy named Jim. In this example, they talk over the fence now and then. They've helped each other out a few times, and they've been to a bunch of block parties together.

Most people know the next-door neighbor's name. But in this example, there's another guy down the street with a similar name. This could be a situation where you have an implanted thought, like the Planter's peanut guy and the Monopoly guy.

It's possible that even though the next-door neighbor's name is Joe, they keep confusing it with the guy down the street named Jim.

But what is your expectation? What is your life experience? If you lived next door to someone for twenty years, do you honestly believe that you would be such a bumbling idiot that you wouldn't be able to remember the name of someone that you lived next door to for twenty years?

Here's the million-dollar question: What is your expectation of how reliable human memory is? If you had to guess, out of the hundred different families, how many of them do you believe would honestly mix up the next-door neighbor with the guy down the street?

Do you think it would be one hundred percent? Do you think you would be continually calling the guy Jim by accident because there's a guy down the street named Jim, or are you able to store two pieces of data in your head? Come on!

Joe is next door, and Jim is down the street. How hard is that? How many people can you name that live around you right now with almost one hundred percent accuracy? Remember, this is after you've lived next to the guy for twenty years.

Maybe you would get mixed up one or two times in the beginning, but not after twenty years. Do you think fifty percent out of the one hundred families would get it wrong? Remember, this is after twenty years.

How about twenty-five percent? You can answer any way you want, but most people that I've asked have responded somewhere between five and twenty percent. You could do the same test yourself and probably get a similar result.

And so again, what this proves is that all of humanity holds human memory in high regard. Because if everybody believed that human memory was so unreliable that it can't be trusted, then when they were asked this question, they would say sixty percent to eighty percent would get it wrong. But I've never gotten that kind of response from anyone. And the reason no one would offer that answer is that our memories aren't that bad, and we all know it.

Again, the idea that misremembering or an implanted thought can explain why millions of people are having a testimony that everything in the realm is changing is a lie. It's not our experience. I know that there is an agenda to dumb down the population, so why not flood the scientific community with bogus studies that are trying to convince you that human memory is unreliable?

I showed you a study that proved a negative bias among scientists studying memory. Use your head. The unconvinced want you to believe that we are all operating with mid-level dementia to sell the idea that the Bible can't change, and it's just not our experience.

Let me say that again: The suggestion that the Mandela Effect testimony can be explained by misremembering is not only laughable, it's a lie.

The people proffering that hypothesis don't even believe it themselves. The people offering this explanation are lying to themselves, and they're lying to everybody around them.

Memory admissible in court

If human memory is so unreliable, why is it still the primary source of evidence in a court of law everywhere in the world?

18 U.S. Code § 3502¹¹

- “The testimony of a witness that he saw the accused commit or participate in the commission of the crime for which the accused is being tried **shall be admissible in evidence** in a criminal prosecution in any trial court ordained and established under article III of the Constitution of the United States.”

Definition of evidence

- “The available body of facts or information indicating whether a belief or proposition **is true or valid.**”

What this is telling us is that human memory is accepted in court as information that lets you know whether something is true or valid. If something is evidence, it is allowed to be considered as factual, true, and valid. You can trust it!

Eyewitness testimony is often the primary source of evidence used to convict someone. The person is found guilty because the witness remembers seeing them at the scene of the crime.

In a similar way, our testimony has been entered into the court of public opinion, and the verdict is that the Bible is changing.

Our testimonies are evidence because millions of witnesses remember seeing things in their Bibles a certain way that are now very different.

Memory is highly indelible

- “The memory is highly accurate and largely indelible, at least in the case of strong memories,”

¹¹ <https://law.justia.com/codes/us/2012/title-18/part-ii/chapter-223/section-3502/>

An article from the National Library of Medicine entitled “The Neuroscience of Memory,”¹² found that the human memory is “highly accurate and largely indelible” when it comes to vivid memories.

- “This distinction between the perception and reality of memory has important consequences in the context of the courtroom. In the legal system, like among the general public, it is generally assumed that memory is highly accurate and largely indelible, at least in the case of ‘strong’ memories.”

God commands us to remember

Do you believe God would command us to do something that we were incapable of doing? Neither do I, and God commands us to remember over and over in the Bible.

- 1 Chronicles 16:15 - Remember His covenant forever
- Isaiah 49:15-16 - Can a woman forget her nursing child?
- John 16:4 - But these things I have spoken to you, so that when their hour comes, you may remember that I told you of them.
- Deuteronomy 8:18 - But you shall remember the Lord your God,
- 1 Chronicles 16:12 - Remember His wonderful deeds which He has done,
- Deuteronomy 9:7-8 - Remember, do not forget how you provoked the Lord your God to wrath in the wilderness;
- 1 Corinthians 11:24-28 - And when He had given thanks, He broke it and said, “This is My body, which is for you; do this in remembrance of Me.”

¹²<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4183265/#:~:text=This%20distinction%20between%20the%20perception,cas%20of%20'strong'%20memories.>

Chapter 9 – Preparing for the quiz

In the next two chapters, I will remove the possibility that we are either misremembering or delusional, so that our testimonies can be entered into the court of public opinion.

Once misremembering and delusion are removed, the Mandelitte testimony will remain without objection. If you continue to insist that we are misremembering once it's been eliminated, then you will be like a five-year-old with your fingers in your ears going, "nah nah nah nah nah, I can't hear you."

If you are forced to agree that it's not misremembering, then you will be left with no rational explanation for our testimony. If you then admit, "I don't have any explanation for what you're experiencing," then your objection to our testimony will be removed.

I will not convince you that misremembering is not possible, it will be you that agrees that misremembering is not possible.

Alternatives to our testimony

The arsenal of objections that the unconvinced have relied on is quite limited. They realize suggesting that millions of people are suddenly misremembering everything around them for no reason isn't rational.

So they have to suggest a causation to make their argument sound plausible. Unfortunately for them, most have not thought past these initial accusations, so I will do it for them.

If you have integrity, I am quite certain that as you drill down on these ideas, it will become apparent that they are as unlikely as a snowstorm in the Sahara.

Here are some of the reasons that I have had hurled at me, in an attempt to explain this huge number of people claiming this experience.

Possible causes for delusion include:

1. Demonic delusion
2. Naturally occurring or spontaneous delusion
3. Viral/bacterial delusion

4. Chemical or energy mind control weapon delusion

Demonic delusion

The suggestion that we are delusional is usually linked to the idea that it is demonic in nature. This suggestion comes primarily from church leaders.

When the church leader learns that there is a significant number of professing Christians claiming that the Bible is supernaturally changing, they usually become infuriated and commonly go on rampages from behind their pulpits.

I don't think many of them have ever read Steven Covey's book, "The Seven Habits of Highly Effective People." One of the habits Mr. Covey identified was, "Seek first to understand, and then to be understood." I'm here to tell you, that after seven years of reaching out to church leaders on this topic, "that ain't happenin'."

There's very little grace or seeking to understand on the part of most church leaders. There's a crisis of depth, a rush to judgment and this superficial branding of an untold number of God's children as being demonically deluded. It's appalling!

There is no theological framework to support an untold number of Christians being pounced on by the devil for no reason. The Christian in complete armor would not be vulnerable to a demonic attack without causation, as it is written:

- Prov 26:2 "The curse causeless does not come."
- Jesus said "Behold, I give unto you power to tread on serpents and scorpions, and over all the power of the enemy and nothing by any means shall hurt you (Luke 10:19)

Unless you can provide some theological framework for how all the promises of God for the blood-washed saints have been set aside, then you can't just claim that an untold number of devout believers have been singled out by God to be demon-possessed without showing some causation.

This baseless accusation is coming from the same people that are trying to neutralize our testimonies by suggesting that we are biblically illiterate.

If you don't stop suggesting this, you had better withdraw your insinuation that you are biblically literate because anyone who tries to proffer the idea that some untold number of Christians can simply become demon-possessed for no reason is definitely not biblically literate.

So, what's the cause?

We already have a rational explanation with lots of corroborating evidence. We're not delusional just because you say so. You are going to have to prove it. If anything, this book is exposing that the unconvinced are the ones that are delusional.

Delusional means that you believe what's wrong and are resistant to facts. The definition of facts is basically things that are obvious, and what is going to be obvious to the entire world, is that the unconvinced have no rational explanation for what is going on. They are offering hail Mary arguments and name calling.

How does the delusion work?

If you really think about this idea, the demonic influence or delusion would have to be causing the Mandelite to have the wrong perception about hundreds of things that they are familiar with. The delusion would have to trick the Mandelite into believing things have changed when they really haven't.

It would essentially manifest as a psychosis. The demonic delusion would cause millions to see things in their memory that are not how they are in real life. The delusion would also have to convince the Mandelite that they were not misremembering. Those two elements would need to be present in the delusion.

A better term for this delusion theory would be an implanted thought theory or a mass mind control weapon theory that is beaming fake thoughts into millions of people's heads.

In other words, we see the Monopoly guy in our minds as having a monocle that he never had.

If the unconvinced are correct, this theory would manifest by having demons forcing implanted thoughts or false memories of hundreds of different things into the minds of millions. This would be the same if it were caused by some sort of technology or virus as well.

The causation could vary, but the logistics would be the same. This is the only way that I can think of to explain how a delusion would actually work.

The only problem with this brilliant hypothesis is that the unconvinced also remember the Monopoly guy having a monocle. The unconvinced remember, “mirror mirror on the wall,” and the lion lying down with the lamb, and every other thing that we say is changing. The unconvinced have all the same memories that we have. Therefore, if the Mandelites are demonically deluded and being mind-controlled by a horde of demons or a techno beam, then so are the unconvinced.

Here are two studies that prove that the unconvinced and the Mandelites are experiencing the same thing with the same memories.

Study # 1 – Conducted by YouGov

Title: “Measuring the Mandela Effect:¹³ Polling Finds That Many Americans Misremember History and Culture”

- Respondents: one thousand U.S. adult citizens
- How: Online
- Peer-reviewed: No
When: August 23-26, 2022

A summary of findings from the YouGov study includes:

- Respondents were given nine questions, and five of the nine questions showed a strong statistical anomaly. Over fifty percent chose the incorrect answer. The other four were also above the average for a sample of this size, with an average of thirty-five percent of these iconic lines or familiar images incorrect. Example: Six hundred twenty out of one thousand remembered “Luke, I’m your father.”

¹³ <https://today.yougov.com/entertainment/articles/43634-measuring-mandela-effect-false-memory-yougov-poll>

Poll # 20 – Conducted by The Brain Bridge Lab Study

- Title: “The Visual Mandela Effect as Evidence for Shared and Specific False Memories Across People”¹⁴
- Respondents: One hundred
- How: Online
- Peer-reviewed: Yes
- Published: Accepted March twenty-eighth, 2022, for publication in Psychological Science
- Authors: Deepasri Prasad, Wilma A. Bainbridge

Findings

- “Memories are consistent” - People have consistent, confident, and widespread false memories (accurate memories) of famous icons. It adds to a growing body of evidence showing consistency in what people remember—by demonstrating new evidence that there is also consistency in what people misremember. Conversely, the false features reported from the Visual Mandela Effect (VME) are specific and consistent across people.
- People have certainty - Visual inspection of these plots showed that eight images fell below the distribution, where these images had low accuracy but high familiarity and confidence ratings.
- “Strong effect” (means very vivid) - “We found that there really is a strong effect where people are reporting a false memory for an image they’ve actually never seen because you’ve never seen Pikachu with a black tip on the tail,” Bainbridge says, referring to a common false memory of the Pokémon character.

¹⁴ <https://socialsciences.uchicago.edu/news/new-research-shows-consistency-in-what-we-misremember>

There you have it. A peer-reviewed study that will be published in a prestigious publication in the psychology community has stated its findings. After a controlled examination of the Mandela Effect, they have concluded that both groups share the same memories. Therefore, if the Mandelite is delusional based on false memories, then so are the unconvinced.

The study stated:

- “The researchers haven’t yet been able to pinpoint a single reason for why this happens, but they have eliminated a few possibilities. So far, the researchers have found that people tend to remember and forget the same things.”

Both groups have the same memories. If we are delusional, then so is the unconvinced.

Additionally, I can help these poor scientists find their way. They mentioned that they haven’t been able to pinpoint a single reason why this is happening. No, and you won’t pinpoint a single reason until you become a full-blown conspiracy theorist kook nut job living in your mom’s basement. That’s where you need to go to pinpoint the reason. You will only pinpoint the reason when you are living on pita pockets while trafficking in the dark corners of the internet in your mom’s basement, nowhere else.

The unconvinced can’t possibly expect us to believe that millions of people have just gone crazy without some external influence. This observation is exacerbated by the fact that nothing like this has ever happened before.

There is no record in history where millions of people were claiming that their reality was shifting or morphing. There are isolated events that would appear to be a mass delusional event, but when you look at the details of these few events, they typically involve a handful of people for a very short amount of time.

There certainly is no event ever recorded where people testified that their reality was changing or that they had vivid memories of many things that do not exist as they vividly remember them. The point here is that if you have no explanation for the cause, then our explanation for the cause is the most likely explanation.

A few examples of these so-called mass delusion events from history are:

- The Dancing Plague of 1518 – It lasted two months and involved fifty to four hundred people.
- The Writing Tremor Epidemic of 1892 – It lasted two weeks and involved nineteen people. The Writing Tremor Epidemic of 1892 – A localized phenomenon that primarily affected a group of nineteen schoolgirls in a town in the Netherlands.
- The Tanganyika Laughing Epidemic, 1962 – Lasted about six to eighteen months. Estimates suggest that around one thousand individuals were impacted by the laughing fits.
- The Monkey Man hysteria in India lasted for about two weeks and was localized to the city of Delhi in 2001. Residents reported sightings of a mysterious, monkey-like creature that allegedly attacked people during the night.

So, even though there are events in history that may be deemed similar, in that people characterized them as mass delusions, they cannot be compared to the Mandela Effect. This has been going on for over seven years and involves millions of people.

This is a very important observation because if the event has no precedent, then whether you believe it's misremembering or not is irrelevant. Either way, you have a phenomenon on your hands.

An entire forest doesn't just fall over without a big wind, and in the same way, millions of people don't just start acting crazy and making claims like this without a cause. You can't have a naturalistic event like misremembering that has no natural explanation.

The definition of a phenomenon is an event that has no rational explanation. It's like someone driving down the highway straddling the divider line. You need to pick a lane. You're going to have to decide whether it's naturalistic misremembering, or unexplainable phenomenon.

You can't have it both ways. Once you admit that your argument collapses.

You will be forced to either become a fanatical, lying tyrant that refuses to let go of their pet doctrine or humble yourself and finally be convinced that this is happening.

Detectives look for inconsistencies or holes in the story of suspects to try to crack a case. I can't prove that our testimony is true, but I can create a hole so big in the misremembering or delusion theory that you can drive a truck through it.

I'm quite certain that I will be able to cast enough doubt on the ideas of the unconvinced that most people will be able to give themselves permission to consider our evidence.

Additionally, if the unconvinced are correct, there shouldn't be any changes in the frequency of reports of people misremembering things. But, of course, there is a massive increase.

- **The testimony of millions**
 - Hundreds of unique channels on social media exclusively cover this topic. Some channels have as many as two hundred thousand subscribers.
- **Google analytics**
 - A search for the term “Mandela Effect” shows that the graph goes straight up in July of 2017, indicating a sharp increase in the number of people searching for this term.
- **Scientists conducting new studies**
 - There are no studies prior to 2017 on the topic of the Mandela Effect. This seems to indicate that the phenomenon is new and that reports of misremembering have increased.
- **Major magazines, news and movies**
 - There are no magazine articles, books, videos, or movies prior to 2017 on the topic of the Mandela Effect. This seems to indicate that the phenomenon is new and that reports of misremembering have increased.

There are many more indicators, but this is enough. Nothing like this has ever happened before in recorded history, so this is proof that there is a recent increase in the number of reports of people misremembering. If everything was as it always was, this would not be the case. The Mandelites have empirical evidence that their testimonies are true; the unconvinced do not have any evidence that their argument is true.

Government psyop

What's funny about the government psyop idea is that anyone making it would have to be a thirty-third-degree conspiracy theorist kook nut job.

I'm quite certain that the majority of rational souls who have ever uttered these faithful words would shudder to consider themselves in such an ignoble fashion.

I've heard preachers say that those who claim the Bible is changing are deceived by a government psyop. My response to that is, "How are we deceived?" Usually, when this hypothesis is offered, there is no explanation for how it could be carried out.

When I see this kind of irresponsible treatment of this important topic, I always feel that there is a genuine lack of integrity being displayed. In other words, the preacher knows that the majority of believers don't believe the Bible is changing, and they don't want to believe it. He knows there is a strong bias against it, and he knows that all he has to do is throw any scrap of red meat on the floor, and all his followers will pounce on it without doing any research on their own. There's no need to provide any kind of well-thought-out argument. It's enough to just inject any seemingly plausible causation, and it will be accepted en masse.

When they try to suggest that they have used photoshopped tricks on the internet, we point out that the changes to our reality exist in the real world as well. Are you saying that the government went around and changed all the signs on the JCPenney stores, because we all remember "JCPenny" spelled without the "e"? Did the government change all the Ford logos on the engine blocks of every Ford automobile in the world, because we all remember it without the little pigtail?

And there are a lot of mechanics and classic car enthusiasts who would stake their lives on that one.

At that point, they have no further explanation, and the narrative stops as they move to an alternate theory.

Wolves in sheep's clothing

Unfortunately, it's very common for church leaders to label us as heretics and the lowest form of scum right out of the gate. This wholesale branding would include people like the seventy-five-year-old grandma who contacted me and said, "John, this King James Bible has been sitting on my lap for fifty years, and I'm telling you it never had the word 'Bank' in it. The people didn't put their money in the bank. My Bible has changed."

Is this dear mother of Zion a wolf in sheep's clothing, a charlatan, and a deceiver because she believes that?

I understand that if someone is suggesting that Loonie Lucy has his nasty self all up in your perfect, flawless, inspired, delivered, preserved, authorized, KJV-only Bible, and he's in there rearranging the furniture, it's not going to win them any popularity contests.

At first glance, I can understand how what we are suggesting would appear to be a frontal attack upon God's good name. However, after seven years of listening to church leaders formulate a response to our testimony, I believe the consistent, heavy reliance on personal attacks betrays a weakness in their argument.

I'm quite certain that many church leaders realize that there's a lot of meat on the bones in what we are proposing, and they don't really have good responses for the things that we are pointing out. And so, we've observed that most Christians, and especially church leaders, typically haul out the big guns from jump street and throw us right into the fiery furnace without so much as an appeal.

It is very common for church leaders to go from zero to sixty on us, and begin assigning us to the seventh circle of hell for saying this is happening. Terms like false prophet, charlatan, deceiver, and of course, a wolf in sheep's clothing are very commonly invoked by anyone trying to refute our testimony.

I've been covering this for seven years, and mostly what we get from church leaders is nothing. Church leaders have been strangely silent on this topic, except for a few brave souls who have decided to address it.

If they don't use ad hominem attacks to attack the messenger, they typically treat it like it is superficial and ridiculous.

There's no respectful, scholarly treatment of our claims; it's just laughed off like it's nonsense to be ignored. It's a "nothing to see here, move along" type of response.

Going into the eighth year of covering this however, we are starting to see larger and larger ministries being forced to deal with this because people are noticing and our numbers are swelling rapidly.

Many of their own people are beginning to ask questions. So they can no longer ignore it. One fairly large YouTube minister mentioned that the talk he did on the Mandela Effect garnered the largest response from his viewers of any topic he had ever covered.

Biblically illiterate

The last hypothesis that I will refute is the suggestion by the unconvinced that we are biblically illiterate.

This argument suggests that we simply don't know our Bibles and we're just confused and mixed up. This is a variation on the character assassination approach, but it's even more irrational because it's trying to suggest that biblical literacy has anything to do with our testimony being valid.

Maybe I'm weird, and I just like to memorize only five scriptures my entire life. Other than that, I never read the Bible. I would essentially be biblically illiterate. However, when it came to these five scriptures, my testimony would be just as valid as any Bible school president.

What's in question here is not people's biblical literacy but their mental health. If you could prove that millions of people claiming the Bible is changing have a history of mental illness, then you might have a point.

The fact that we may or may not have attended the Bible school of your choice has nothing to do with the validity of our testimony. The testimony that sends a man to prison for life can come from an atheist or a Bible school president; there is no difference. You don't have to have a comprehensive theological background to have memorized John 3:16 or any other number of passages.

The other problem with this idea is that it is presumptuous to suggest that they could somehow know the theological pedigree of an untold number of Christians. How could they possibly know if someone is biblically literate or not without giving them a competency test? What does that even mean anyway? Who decides what it takes to be biblically literate? Is it you? I think anyone suggesting this is actually, “God illiterate,” and should have Ichabod tattooed across their forehead (1 Samuel 4:21).

How do they know the daily disciplines of millions of people and what Bible knowledge they have accumulated over a lifetime?

Has the unconvinced installed cameras in all our homes so that they can observe that we don’t have a discipline of prayer and study in the Word every morning?

It appears this claim is just a shameful case of bearing false witness on an untold number of people. They are just presuming that everybody making this claim is an unlearned backslider, but how would they know?

Of course, as we mentioned in earlier chapters, you would have to make the biblically illiterate argument work with a renowned Bible scholar like Dr. Paul Grafton Holt. Good luck doing that.

This litmus test of biblical literacy isn’t even a biblical concept anyway. The Bible actually indicates the opposite to be true:

- Jesus pointed out that the Pharisees were blinded by their knowledge (John 9:39).
- The people took note that the apostles were unlearned men, but they had been with Jesus (Acts 4:13).
- Paul pointed out that there were not many learned among them (1 Corinthians 1:26).

I’m not going to dignify this attack vector by trying to defend my own pedigree. I think the evidence speaks for itself, and God’s people will need to make up their own minds, whether our evidence is compelling enough.

Subtopics to misremembering

The following ideas represent the underpinnings that seek to provide credibility to the argument that we’re just misremembering.

What's ironic is that many of the pastors who have pointed to these concepts to bolster their argument are the same pastors who preach against Christians, drawing from psychology to address their problems in life.

Yet, they certainly didn't hesitate to head on over to *Psychology Today* to acquire some serious psychobabble to sprinkle into their "Mandela Effect is from hell" sermon.

The Human memory is unreliable

One of the key underpinnings of the misremembering hypothesis is the idea that the human memory is unreliable. It's imperative that the unconvinced are able to sell the "memory is bad" pitch if they're going to be able to close the deal.

As we have shown in previous examples, none of them actually believe that themselves. Let me state that again: There are very few people who believe the human memory is unreliable when it comes to vivid memories.

Implanted thoughts

When you start to drill down on how the misremembering idea would work, you realize it quickly falls apart on closer examination. The first supporting idea that doesn't work is the implanted thought theory.

This idea posits, "Your reality isn't changing, you're just a little mixed up." We are told, "You're confusing the Planters peanut guy with the Monopoly guy."

The problem here is that this theory doesn't work if you try to apply it to hundreds of examples. In other words, am I also confusing the Planters peanut guy with the Snow-White movie where the witch says, "Mirror, mirror on the wall"?

In order for this theory to work, you would need a Planters peanut guy surrogate for each example of a reported Mandela Effect, and there are hundreds. You would need hundreds of different surrogates, each corresponding specifically to hundreds of different examples.

The fact is that they don't exist.

The similarities between the Planters peanut guy and the Monopoly guy are evident, but there isn't a similar movie to, *Snow White and the Seven Dwarfs*, where you have some character looking into a mirror and saying, "Magic mirror on the wall, who's the fairest of them all?"

There isn't another children's show like *Mr. Rogers*, where he says the iconic line differently that ends up confusing millions of people. If you can't produce a unique surrogate for each and every Mandela Effect, then you can't apply the implanted thought theory to the whole phenomenon.

Each time I eliminate a specific underpinning of your assertion, I effectively remove another Jenga block from your tower of lies. And when I'm done, your Jenga pile of systematic theology will collapse into a glorious scramble of confusion. And your only hope to rise from this tower of Babel intervention will be to return to a childlike dependence on the clear direction of the Holy Ghost.

Confabulation

Experts in the mental health industry agree that confabulation is very rare among people with no history of mental illness. Confabulation, or fabricating memories without intending to mislead, is more common in people with a history of mental illness or neurological disorders.

According to the United Brain Association,¹⁵ "confabulation is a common occurrence in individuals suffering from severe neuropsychiatric disorders such as Wernicke-Korsakoff Syndrome, which is commonly linked to chronic alcoholism and causes substantial brain damage."

Additionally, according to the Brain Injury Association of America,¹⁶ "Confabulation can arise from traumatic brain damage, which impacts the brain's executive functioning and memory processes."

¹⁵ <https://unitedbrainassociation.org/brain-resources/wernicke-korsakoff-syndrome/>.

¹⁶ <https://www.biausa.org/public-affairs/media>

Here is a hypothetical example of real confabulation. Tell me if this is something that you have ever experienced, much less on any type of a regular basis.

Example: A person gives a detailed account of a recent visit to an amusement park with their family. They describe it in detail, including specifics about the rides and attractions. However, their family never made the trip; it's all in their imagination.

But they aren't lying; they actually believe it and are able to somehow cobble together the facts from different sources, and they believe it themselves. People experiencing this are completely delusional.

Are you seriously going to try to apply a condition like this to millions of people with no background of mental illness? Am I to understand that you have a habit of telling people that you went on imaginary adventures to theme parks? Do you do things like that very often? Do you just have large stretches of time that you can't account for?

Come on people! This is not our experience. Does anything like this ever happen to the average person? I guess it's possible on a very small scale. You may be able to point to minor examples of how the brain will fill in gaps in a story with information that didn't happen. But this idea cannot be relied on to explain the adamant testimony of millions of people regarding hundreds of different data points.

The medical and scientific community state that confabulation is rare in people without mental illness. So the likelihood of this suddenly happening at epidemic levels to millions of people across hundreds of different things is virtually impossible. I think I have successfully removed implanted thought and confabulation as viable reasons to explain the entire phenomenon. Can we have implanted thoughts or confabulation? Yes. Can it be used to rationally explain the entire phenomenon? No!

Misprints from publishers

In order to rely on misprints as an explanation for this event, it would have to explain how the incorrect versions of the scriptures got into the minds of virtually everybody in the world.

If the misprints are the cause of this controversy, then the passages that we're quoting from memory would have had to be in the Bible at some point. But these passages that we all remember are nowhere to be found.

“Judge not lest ye be judged” does not appear in the 1611 Cambridge version or any of the revisions that came after it. You can't find it in any Bible version of any kind in any century. This is true with the “lion laying down with the lamb, the Lord giveth and the Lord taketh away,” and a whole host of other familiar passages that we all remember. It can't possibly be misprints causing the confusion because the things that we remember don't exist in any Bible anywhere. So, the misprints idea is dead on arrival.

Misquotes from pop culture & the telephone game

As irrational as this idea may seem, it is actually one of the most common reasons given by the unconvinced to explain away our testimony.

This is so comical and desperate it reminds me of people who have two minutes to live and are bargaining with the Grim Reaper. I've noticed that it typically comes later on in the interaction as a desperation answer to our very rational questions.

It comes when a Bible believer is painted into a corner. Once they incorrectly answer ten out of ten familiar Bible scriptures, they realize something strange is taking place. As they search in their mind for a way to explain what is happening, they invariably latch onto the telephone game idea as a way to explain what is happening.

This desperate attempt to explain a statistically impossible event has been offered up to me by the most learned men and women of God.

There's really no logical answer as to why the entire world remembers “Judge not, lest ye be judged,” but the unconvinced is sure going to try to come up with one. There's no logical explanation as to why the entire world remembers the “lion laying down with the lamb” and “The Lord giveth and the Lord taketh away.”

They know within themselves that these passages are excruciatingly familiar, but the “Normie” spell has got them by the throat and won’t let go. No amount of evidence will convert a Normie. The Normie has to begin to question OfficialDUM before the scales come off.

Their body language is telling me that they feel broadsided, but they are trying not to show it. They’re sort of shaking their heads and trying to get their bearings when I lay the bombshell question on them that makes them feel like the actor who just forgot his lines on opening night.

I ask them, “How do you explain that everyone in the world is misremembering these very familiar passages the same way? You misremembered them, and I can do that with one hundred pastors. How do you explain that?”

Well, he or she knows that you can’t explain that. It’s unexplainable. They’re usually speechless at this point. So I press them by pointing out: “Everyone, virtually without exception, gives the same fill-in-the-blank answer to those questions, and at least twenty other ones as well. Everyone not only retrieves it themselves from their own memory; they retrieve it in the same way.”

They are not picking it from a multiple-choice question option. It is already in their long-term memory. How did it get there? How have these three examples and twenty others become so securely emblazoned in so many people’s minds?

After seven years of doing this, I can put money on the answer that I’m going to get and never lose a dime.

It’s at this point, after being bludgeoned into reality by a relentless onslaught of searing logic that the Bible school president, the pastor of a large church, or a Christian author and member of the elite intelligentsia of the body of Christ will sheepishly ask me, “John, have you ever heard of the telephone game?”

I’m sorry, but even as I’m writing these words, I’m laughing out loud at how ridiculous and ironic this is. Remember, the people that will utter these faithful words are the same ones that are going to belittle us by suggesting that we are biblically illiterate. Which I assume means that they consider themselves imminently qualified.

But this seems to be a bit of a quandary.

How can you claim that you and all of those with a Bible school degree are qualified to handle the Holy Writ, while at the same time suggesting that you yourself are confused and bamboozled by “misquotes from pop culture”?

How can you claim to be so superior in your theological pedigree when I can stump you with numerous Bible quiz questions that a first-year Bible school student should know? Are you biblically literate if your command of the scriptures can be so easily overwhelmed by something like the telephone game or misquotes from pop culture? Something is rotten in Denmark.

I’m not even talking about the rank-and-file believer. I’m talking about the most devout, learned patriarch-level individuals walking around today. I’m talking about the Jedi Knight-level content expert that has devoted their lives to studying this book. I can stump them because the thing is changing right under their nose, and they are suffering from something that psychologists refer to as “change blindness.” This is the phenomenon where people fail to notice changes to their environment that are taking place gradually.

Well, how could even one scripture like, “Judge not lest ye be judged,” possibly be incorrectly repeated enough times through a song, a tapestry, or a misquote from a preacher to overcome what we are reading in our Bibles every day? What it says in the KJV Bible is, “Judge not that ye be not judged,” not “Judge not lest ye be judged.” If the unconvinced is correct, the first one would be the one that is being reinforced every time we:

1. Read our Bibles
2. Read our daily devotional
3. Listen to Christian messages, sermons, or materials
4. Listen to Christian music
5. Attend church services
6. Sing hymns and worship songs
7. Attend Bible studies
8. Meditate on the Bible
9. Read Christian books, articles, or blogs

10. Memorize the Bible

I'm appealing to your humanity. I'm begging you to get off the treadmill that you are on for just one moment. I'm putting the smelling salts of objective probabilities under your sanctified nose and begging you to look at what I'm showing you. This is irrefutable logic. What you are suggesting is impossible.

This can't happen. Do you understand? You can't have devout men and women of God who wear out the same Bible over a decade, having their memories overwhelmed by misquotes from pop culture. They don't live in pop culture; they live in the book. Stop it. Stop it right now.

Think about it. The Bible is one of the few books that anyone ever commits to memory. And we don't just do this once. We memorize the Bible throughout our entire life. We know our personal Bible like the back of our hand. I don't care how many times I saw the wolf dwelling with the lamb on social media. Every time I saw it there, I would say to myself, "I know that's a misquote; that's not what my Bible says." It wouldn't somehow hypnotize me and brute-force overwrite what I am over here committing to memory every day.

We don't just read the Bible; we memorize the Bible. We study the Bible. We go to Bible studies with other people. We have study aids, concordances, dictionaries, and software to help us analyze the Bible. We listen to other people talk to us about the Bible. We listen to songs that quote the Bible and meditate on the Bible. And no, the majority of songs are not misquoting the scriptures.

The average Christian will have a minimum of five influences that regularly repeat and continually reinforce the memory of the scripture that appears in the Bible, not the misquote from pop culture. A devout Christian will have as many as ten regular influences that are reinforcing the passage the way it appears in the Bible, not the misquote from pop culture.

I can easily stump any pastor who hasn't looked into this already, ten out of ten or close to it, every time. These are content experts who are even preparing sermons on top of the other influences

I mentioned, and you are going to try to convince us that all of those influences are going to be overwhelmed by some misquote that appears on Facebook somewhere.

And keep in mind, you are saying that this is happening with multiple scriptures, not just one. You are trying to convince us that this is why a pastor will tell me, “Judge not lest ye be judged,” and the lion is lying down with the lamb every time. Does this pastor spend twelve hours a day on Facebook? He would certainly need to for your idea to have any validity.

And that’s assuming that if you did scour social media, you would actually be able to find this supposed plentiful supply of misquotes, which, of course, you can’t. This whole narrative is a lie that is being foisted on the rank-and-file believer by church leaders who have an agenda to protect their ministries.

Think about it. What are they more exposed to? Is it some preacher who misquoted it fifty years ago and went through the whole world like the telephone game, or would it be the daily, weekly, monthly, yearly, and lifelong disciplines of the devout believer?

If someone misquoted “Judge not lest ye be judged” incorrectly fifty years ago, there would have to be a huge advertising budget to keep broadcasting that misquote enough times through enough different media outlets to overcome all the repeating disciplines of true disciples.

You would then need to have twenty other preachers misquoting twenty other passages that also had huge fifty-year monthly advertising budgets to accomplish what is being suggested. Can you see how ridiculous and desperate this is? It’s a joke.

Additionally, most devout Christians don’t watch TV, listen to secular radio, or especially use social media. Even if there was some well-funded conspiracy to brainwash the Christian world into having false memories, it wouldn’t work on most true believers.

The idea that a misquote fifty years ago would somehow have enough airplay to overcome all the consistent, relentless exposure that Christians have to the scriptures is preposterous. What’s in our Bibles is what should be coming out of our mouths when we take a quiz like this, and it’s not.

The only rational explanation is that there is an end-times prophecy being fulfilled, and it is the mother of all signs and wonders. The reason everyone says “Judge not lest ye be judged” is because that is what was always in their Bibles.

Having ninety percent of people misremember the same way is an unexplainable statistic. That is what is happening with hundreds of different things in our reality, not just a few cherry-picked scriptures. This is not some cleverly devised attack on the scriptures by the Devil; it is a warning to Wakeuporelse from God.

Visit alteredbible.com

Chapter 10 – The Quiz

Estimating the number of Mandelites

By analyzing the number of social media channels dedicated to this topic, Google Analytics, media coverage, new studies, and the ability of some channels to attract over two hundred thousand subscribers, I estimate that total number of people claiming that this is a phenomenon is three to five million. Certainly, hundreds of thousands of professing Christians

Rules of engagement

Please answer the questions as they are asked. Although you can provide whatever commentary you want, if you attempt to sidestep these very specific and carefully worded questions by refusing to answer, changing the subject, or using bravado and anger to try to overpower the interaction, you will be perceived as lacking integrity, having an agenda, and not really interested in the truth.

If you don't agree with the premise of the question, then make your argument. But if you sidestep the question, we will not move on until you answer the question. All the questions, building one upon another, are relevant to dismantling the objections of the unconvinced. Let's begin.

We are not misremembering

- Do you believe that the Mandela Effect is a real phenomenon but it's not affecting the Bible, or do you believe that the entire event has a natural, rational explanation and there is no phenomenon whatsoever?
- Do you believe any of the following:
 - The moon landing was faked
 - 9/11 was faked
 - Chemtrails are not contrails
 - The earth is flat and stationary
 - Illuminati runs the power structure
 - There reptilians in the power structure

- Sun is simulated
- Approximately how much time have you spent researching the claims of the Mandela Effect community?
- Approximately how long and how intensely have you been studying the Bible?
- Which version of the Bible do you typically use?
- If what you believe on any important topic was wrong, would you want to know it?
- Would you agree that it is highly unusual, that millions of people from all over the world are claiming that their reality is changing, for the first time in recorded history? If no...why?
 - If no – Do you think one hundred reasonable men would find that unusual?
- Would you agree that it would be highly unusual for someone to have a very run-of-the-mill experience like misremembering someone’s name at a Christmas party, and then go create a social media channel about it?
- The Mandela Effect community claims that what they are experiencing is an unexplainable phenomenon. Is that what you understand them to be saying?
- Would you agree that the testimony of the unconvinced is that the Mandelites are not experiencing a phenomenon.
- Would you agree that the unconvinced are stating an opinion and not a fact when they say that the Mandelite is misremembering?
 - If yes to previous question - would you agree that for something to be considered a fact, it would need to be supported by empirical evidence, without which it would only be conjecture?
- Would you agree that if there are millions of people claiming that the Mandela Effect is real, it would be disingenuous to minimize the scope of the event by characterizing it as “a few crazy people that is being amplified by the internet”?

-
- Would you agree that all the trees in a large forest do not typically just fall over without some sort of causation?
 - In a similar way, would you agree that an event like this that has never happened before in recorded history, involving millions of people over a seven-year period, would also require some sort of causation?

If no, why?

- Would you agree that at this time, you may be able to offer a variety of hypotheses what the cause might be, but you cannot produce any evidence what that cause would be?
 - Note: Answering misremembering, delusion or confusion to the previous question is not an answer, so I will rephrase the question.
- Would you agree that a virus, mind weapon, or demonic attack causing delusion would be examples of a cause for the event, whereas delusion or misremembering in and of themselves would not? You have to explain how millions suddenly became delusional for your argument to appear rational.
 - Would you agree that since you have no evidence to prove the cause of this event, you are forced to admit that the cause of this event is unknown to you?
 - Would you agree that being able to rationally explain the cause of this event represents the foundation for formulating a strong argument?
 - Would you agree that without understanding how or why your hypothesis was formulated, that your argument lacks credibility?
 - Would you agree that if you cannot provide a rational explanation for the cause of this event, that it reveals that your assumption is unsupported?
 - Would you agree that the force of your argument is diminished because the Mandelita has a verifiable explanation for the cause of our experience and you do not?

The cause can't be natural and supernatural.

According to dictionary.com the definition of phenomenon is:

- “A fact or situation that is observed to exist or happen, especially one whose cause or explanation is in question.”
- Would you agree that when the unconvinced suggests that the Mandelites are misremembering, that they are trying to suggest that there is no phenomenon?

- Misremembering = No phenomenon

- Would you agree that if you suggest that the Mandelite is simply misremembering, but at the same time you are admitting that the cause of the mass event is unknown to you, your position embraces a known and unknown cause at the same time?

- Misremembering = (known cause / natural)

- Phenomenon = (unknown cause / supernatural)

Would you agree that it is irrational to suggest that the testimonies of Mandelites could be caused by a naturalistic cause like misremembering and a supernatural or unexplainable cause at the same time?

- Would you agree that if you try to maintain two diametrically opposed positions, your argument would appear to be conflicted and unconvincing?

Objection overruled.

- Based on the last question, would you agree that if you are going to be taken seriously, you would need to decide what the basis of your argument is going to be? I.e. Naturalistic or phenomenon
- Do you believe the cause is misremembering (naturalistic) or phenomenon (unknown)? Which one are you going to pick?
- Answer #1: I choose misremembering.
 - Then you still haven't explained to us what is causing the mass event; therefore, your argument is unconvincing and it is overruled.
- Answer #2: I choose an unknown cause.

-
- Then you agree we are not misremembering, and the misremembering argument is overruled.

Memory is reliable.

Do you agree with the finding from the study entitled “The Neuroscience of Memory” that found:

- “The human memory is highly accurate and largely indelible, at least in the case of strong memories”?
- A study entitled “Long-Term Memory in Psychology: Types, Capacity & Duration”¹⁷ found that:
 - “People were ninety percent accurate in identifying names and faces after fifteen years.
 - And eighty percent accurate for verbal and seventy percent for visual memory after forty-eight years.

Would you agree that this is further proof that human memory is extremely reliable?

- Would you agree it is unlikely that God would command His children to do something if they were incapable of doing it?
- Since God would not command us to do something that we were incapable of doing, and since God commands us to remember eight different times in the Bible, would you agree that these commands from God indicate that human memory must be reliable?

I’m going to give you a hypothetical situation to help gauge your opinion regarding the reliability of the human memory. In this example, the subject is not under the influence of any controlled substance, and they are not lying.

- If you went to your aging parent, and for the first time in your life, you found that they didn’t recognize you, what conclusion would you draw?

¹⁷ <https://www.simplypsychology.org/long-term-memory.html>

- Continuing from the previous question, and assuming you answered mental illness, why didn't you say misremembering?
- Would you agree that you chose mental illness over misremembering because you believe that human memory is so reliable when it comes to vivid memories like recognizing your child, that the only explanation for a parent not recognizing the face of their own child would be mental illness?
- Would you agree that you just admitted that you believe human memory is extremely reliable when it comes to vivid memories?

The memory can't be reliable and unreliable.

- Sometimes people misplace their keys, and sometimes elderly people have dementia and can't even recognize their own children anymore. One is misremembering, and the other is a catastrophic failure of the brain system. Would you agree that these two experiences are in two very different categories?

If your parent didn't recognize you and they kept wandering off, and you took them to the doctor, and the doctor told you that they were just misremembering, would you feel like the doctor was misdiagnosing your parent's condition?

- The testimony of the Mandelita is that some Mandela effect memories are as vivid to us as the faces of our children. Is it possible that you are misdiagnosing how vivid our memories are, just like the doctor in the previous question?

Vividness of memories

- In your opinion, what are the elements that go into determining whether a memory will be vivid or not?
- Would you be surprised to find out that according to a study¹⁸ on how memories are formed, it is not repetition,

¹⁸ <https://www.utoronto.ca/news/how-you-see-it-how-you-dont>

but the emotional state that the person was in when the memory was created that can be the primary contributor to how vivid the memory will be?

- Study Summary: “According to a new study, it’s because how much something means to you actually influences how you see it as well as how vividly you can recall it later.”
- Since an emotional state can be the primary contributor to how vivid a memory is, would you agree that there would be no way for someone to be certain about how vivid another person’s memories are?
 - 1 Corinthians 2:11: “For what person knows the thoughts and motives of a man except the man’s spirit within him?”
- Since you agreed that the human memory is extremely reliable when it comes to vivid memories, would you agree that it would be disingenuous for you to then suggest that the human memory is unreliable when trying to refute the Mandelita testimony simply because it helps support your narrative?
- Would you agree that humans have the capacity to experience an emotional state regarding memories called certainty?
 - When you read scriptures that say:
 - Romans 8:37: “I am sure that neither death nor life...”
 - Psalm 46: “Be still and know that I am God...”
 - Philippians 4:6: “Be anxious for nothing...”
- Would you agree that the ability to have certainty plays a vital role in the life of the believer to stand on the promises of God?
- Would you agree that God gave you this faculty and expects you to rely on it?
- Do you believe that the Mandelites are relying on a strong sense of certainty that their memories are correct when offering their testimony that something unexplainable is happening?

- If I told you that I had two memories from childhood, would you be able to tell me which one is the most vivid to me?
- Would you agree that if you asked one hundred healthy, normal adults what their name is, there is a very good chance that not even one person would misremember their own name?
- Would you agree that you have experienced having certainty about things many times?

Certainty of Memories

A study entitled “*What Determines Human Certainty*”¹⁹ showed a direct correlation between certainty and accuracy. Here is a quote:

- “Low certainty always correlated with low accuracy, and high certainty always correlated with high accuracy, always.”
- Would you agree that generally speaking, the higher your certainty is about a memory, the higher the probability it is that your memory is correct?
 - Example: If I ask you what your name is, your certainty is high, and there is a very high probability that you will get it right.
- Would you agree that generally speaking, five witnesses testifying against a suspect in a murder trial is more convincing than if the prosecution only has one witness?
- Would you agree that if the five witnesses were very certain about what they saw, it would be more convincing than if they all testified that they were not quite sure if they could identify the suspect?
- Based on the last two questions, would you agree that generally speaking, the more witnesses you have and the

¹⁹ <https://colala.berkeley.edu/papers/marti2016what.pdf>

more certain the witnesses are about what they experienced, the more probable it is that they are correct?

- Since there are a very large number of Mandelites claiming a very high certainty about their memories, would you agree that the chances that they are correct about reality changing are higher than if there were only a few people and their certainty was low?
- Based on the last question, would you agree that it would then be disingenuous to simply dismiss the testimony of millions of people, all of whom are testifying to having one hundred percent certainty about some of their Mandela effected memories?
- Would you agree that it would be disingenuous for you to assert that the Mandela Effect is impossible given that you profess to trust the Bible which contains things that are just as difficult to comprehend as the Mandela Effect?
- Since it is impossible for you to know how vivid someone else's memories are, would you agree that a Mandela Effect memory could be to that person as vivid as a parent's memory is to the parent when recognizing their child?
 - In the absence of an objection, testimonial evidence is entered into a court as true and valid
 - The objection of the unconvinced has been invalidated by the fact that you cannot provide a rational explanation for the cause of the event.
 - There is such a large number of these testimonies
 - They universally include extremely high certainty

Final Question #1

- Since you concluded the aging parent's memory failure could only be explained by mental illness, and you agree that there is no way for you to know how vivid a Mandelite's memories are, and the Mandelites claim that some memories are as vivid as our children's faces, would you then agree that unless you can prove that millions of Mandelites have mental illness, the cause for

millions of Mandela Effect testimonies could not rationally be categorized as misremembering, in the same way that you could not categorize the aging parent not recognizing their own child as misremembering?

Final Question #2

- Would you agree that if the cause for millions of Mandela Effect testimonies is not misremembering, then the most likely alternative is that there is an end times sign and wonder that is causing reality to change and the Mandelites are correct?

We Are Not Delusional

If you are suggesting that we are delusional, then in order to appear rational, you would need to provide an explanation of how we are delusional. Christians suggesting that other Christians are crazy because they claim supernatural things are happening is nothing new. Let's not forget Rhoda.

- Acts 12:15 “They said to her, “You are out of your mind!” But she kept insisting that it was so. They said, “It is his angel.”
- Would you agree that if the unconvinced are correct and nothing has changed in the environment, then whatever is causing the Mandelites to believe their reality is changing would all be taking place in their minds?
- Would you agree that if we as an example believe the Monopoly guy had a monocle, when he never did, then the only way the delusion could operate would be if false memories were being implanted into our minds?
- Would you agree that since peer-reviewed studies have proven that both the Mandelite and the unconvinced share the same memories, and the unconvinced claim that the Mandelite is delusional based on implanted false memories, then by default, the unconvinced would then also have to be delusional?
- Would you agree that if both groups share the same memories, then both groups would need to be considered delusional, and as a result, the hypothesis of the unconvinced would be nullified because you can't be

delusional and then suggest that you are more rational than another group with the same delusion that you have?

- Do you have an alternate hypothesis of how the Mandelites could be experiencing delusion?
- Would you agree that if you don't have an alternate hypothesis, that my reasoning regarding the delusional argument is sound and has eliminated the possibility that the Mandelite testimony can be explained away by delusion?
- Would you agree that if you cannot provide a rational rebuttal to the logic of the two observations regarding misremembering and delusion, then you can no longer in good faith rely on these alternative explanations and they would need to be abandoned?
- Would you agree that if you abandoned these alternative explanations, that there is no other logical explanation for the testimony of the Mandelite except that reality is morphing?
- Would you agree that if reality is morphing, then it is highly probable that the Bible is included in the things that are changing?

Various questions:

- Jeremiah 8:8: If the Bible cannot be maliciously changed, then why does it testify that it was probably maliciously changed by the scribes?
 - Jeremiah 8:8: “How can you say, ‘We are wise, And the law of the LORD is with us’? Look, the false pen of the scribe certainly works falsehood.”
- If the Bible cannot change, then why are there people in the New Testament continuing to follow old rules because they were unaware that the Bible had changed?
 - Galatians 2:14: “But when I saw that they were not straightforward about the truth of the gospel, I said to Peter before them all, ‘If you, being a Jew, live in the manner of Gentiles and not as the Jews, why do you compel Gentiles to live as

Jews?” Other examples: Acts 15:1-2 and Acts 6:11-14

- Would you agree that if there was a pie chart that represented all knowledge and all mysteries, the slice that represents what you know and fully understand of all knowledge would be so small that it would not even register as a slice? It would be a line, and that line would be so thin that it would be imperceptible without a microscope?
- If you cannot provide a detailed explanation down to the quantum level about the mechanics and logistics of a miracle like Philip being translated or the Pharaoh’s magicians turning wood into a snake, would you agree that it would be arrogant to make sweeping declarations about how impossible the Mandela effect would be?
- The unconvinced will often try to explain away our testimonies by suggesting that we are all just biblically illiterate. Dr. Paul Grafton Holt has four theological degrees and has written twelve academic theological books. He has written a book called *The KJV Bible and the Quantum Effect*. His position is that the Bible is being supernaturally changed. Would you agree that if the biblical literacy argument does not work with him, then it does not work at all?

Flip Flops

- Can you provide a rational explanation for how the entire Mandela effect community claims that we all saw four things in pop culture change, and then change back again about six months later?

The Pilot, the Doctor, and the Pastor

- In the absence of dementia or outward influences, explain why your expectation is that the pilot and the doctor would both get ten out of ten simple quiz questions correct, but the pastor will get ten out of ten wrong every time?
- Please explain what could cause a thirty-year veteran pilot to get ten simple instrument questions wrong if he was not under the influence and had no mental illness.

-
- Is this the first time this Noe/Noah rendering has come to your attention? What possible explanation can you offer to explain why the translators would choose “Noe” in Matthew and “Noah” in 1st Peter when:
 - It is the same Greek word
 - And the same transliteration
 - It is the same version
 - In the same New Testament
 - Most Christians do not remember this ever being in their Bibles this way

Testimonial evidence validated by removing objections

Dictionary definition of evidence

- Oxford English Dictionary - Evidence is “something that furnishes proof”
- Collins Dictionary - Evidence is “one or more reasons for believing that something is or is not true”
- Dictionary.com - Evidence is “anything that you see that causes you to believe that something is true or has really happened”

Legal definition of evidence²⁰

Evidence is “an item or information proffered to make the existence of a fact more or less probable. Evidence can take the form of testimony, documents, photographs, videos, voice recordings, DNA testing, or other tangible objects.”

- Would you agree that the legal definition of evidence includes “testimonial evidence”?

²⁰ <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>

- Would you then agree that the testimonies of the Mandela effect community, therefore, qualify for the legal definition of evidence?
- Would you agree that based on the legal definition of testimonial evidence, the testimonies of the Mandela effect community would then be defined as “information that makes the existence of a fact more or less probable”?
- Would you agree that just because the testimonial evidence of an untold number of Christians is something that you do not believe is possible, it is shameful to mercilessly dismiss so many people’s testimony as meaningless unless you can provide a rational explanation for them?
- Would you agree that since testimonial evidence is credible, and the definition of evidence includes people’s testimony, the testimony of millions of Mandelites would therefore represent a credible source of proof that cannot just be dismissed in the absence of any credible objection?
- Would you agree that if you had a child who was falsely accused of murder, you would rely heavily on their testimony to determine whether you believed them?
- Would you agree that if you were with them at the time of the murder so that you could provide an alibi, but the jury found out that you were a Trump supporter, and as a result, they found you not to be a credible witness, and as a result, your testimony was set aside, causing your child to go to prison for life, you would feel that a grave injustice had been done?
- Would you agree that your strong bias against the Bible changing may be diminishing your ability to properly consider the validity of the Mandelite testimony?
- Would you agree that if you were called to school because your child was accused of stealing another student’s cell phone, but your child swore that they did not do it, and you could see in their eyes that they were not lying, and they had no history of doing such things, their testimony would be very compelling evidence that they did not do it?

-
- Would you agree that the testimonial evidence of an eyewitness in court cannot simply be dismissed because the defense attorney informs the judge that he does not like the evidence and has decided that it should not be entered into the court as evidence?
 - Would you agree that the testimonial evidence of an eyewitness in court cannot be stricken from the record due to an objection unless the judge determines that there is a valid reason for the objection and then agrees with the objection by stating, “objection sustained”?
 - Would you agree that if a judge overrules an objection to someone’s testimony, the result is that the testimony gets entered into the court as evidence?

Final question:

- Would you agree that since we have effectively removed misremembering and delusion as options to explain away our testimonies, and our testimonies fit the legal definition of evidence, which means it is true and valid, and you cannot dismiss testimonial evidence without a valid objection, our testimonies have a very high probability of being correct?

Chapter 11 - Summary of all the proofs

Here is a summary of most of the conclusions that we covered in the book. If this doesn't satisfy you, then I don't think I can help you. At this point in our journey, I think it's fair to suggest that we have unequivocally established that:

1. **We are not misremembering** - You cannot conflate misremembering and catastrophic memory failure like dementia into the same category. Based on the aging parent example, at least in the case of vivid memories, you cannot rationally suggest that Mandelites are misremembering any longer. Anyone who continues to do that after taking the quiz and providing no alternate explanation is a liar.
2. **The human memory is reliable.** - Similarly, if you answered the aging parent example by saying they had dementia, you proved you don't believe that human memory is unreliable. If, after answering the question like that, you continue to undermine our testimony by suggesting that human memory is unreliable, you will be a liar. The human memory is reliable, and you admitted it.
3. **Study shows negative bias in scientific community** – Additionally, it seems clear that we are being lied to about the reliability of memory.
4. A study entitled “How accurate is our memory?”²¹ found that the scientific community is extremely biased toward the idea that human memory is unreliable. You are going to have to rely on your wits if you are going to know the truth.
5. **Long-term studies** – Long-term studies prove unequivocally that human memory is reliable. And so, it is prudent for us to use common sense and consider our own experience regarding the reliability of human

²¹ “How accurate is our memory?”

<https://www.thesciencebreaker.org/breaks/psychology/how-accurate-is-our-memory>

memory. We don't consent to scientists with an agenda who are gaslighting us and contradicting what is obvious for all to see.

6. **One hundred families** – The hypothetical case study with one hundred families in one hundred different neighborhoods illustrates that the majority of people believe that human memory is very reliable. This example can be repeated by anyone, and it will demonstrate that most people do not believe that even fifty percent would misremember their next-door neighbor's name after twenty years. Most people answer somewhere between five and twenty percent. So, no one really believes that human memory is unreliable.

7. **God commands us to remember** – Reliability is also supported by the observation that God commands us eight different times to remember. He wouldn't tell us to do something we were incapable of doing.

8. **Memory is used as evidence in court** – The reliability of human memory is clearly established by the fact that it is the number one source of evidence in all court proceedings throughout the entire flat earth.

9. **The scientific community agrees that memory is reliable** – The findings of an article appearing in the National Library of Medicine also states that human memory is highly indelible.

10. **God's word teaches memory is reliable** – God tells you that He did not give you the spirit of fear but of power, love, and a sound mind. The unconvinced are telling us our mind isn't sound, and God is telling us our mind is sound.

11. **Testimonial evidence is reliable** – Anyone's testimony is legally considered true and valid if it's entered into evidence. Good luck trying to impugn the character of millions of people that you don't even know.

12. **Delusion is not the answer** – You can't claim delusion without being under delusion yourself because I proved that both groups are sharing the same thoughts. Your arguments don't have any credibility if you are delusional yourself.

13. **Implanted thought** – You cannot suggest that the entire phenomenon can be explained by the implanted thought theory. The suggestion that we’re confusing the Monopoly guy with the Planters peanut guy would only be limited to that one example. I’m not confusing the Planters peanut guy with “Luke, I am your father.” You would need a surrogate Planters guy for every Mandela Effect example, and you don’t have one, so that theory is out the window.

14. **Confabulation** – According to medical experts, confabulation is limited almost exclusively to people with severe mental disorders. Confabulation is out the window.

15. **Modernizations & version confusion** – In Chapter two, I eliminated the possibility that the Bible changes could be caused by modernizations or version confusion. It would seem as though the noose is tightening around your systematically theologized neck, and you are realizing that your doctrine of preservation has more holes in it than Swiss cheese. Please, drink some kava kava tea and relax; God hasn’t fallen off His throne.

16. **Telephone game** – By far, the most desperate and implausible attempt to explain away our verifiable testimony is that millions are simply confused by misquotes from pop culture. We are being asked to believe that millions of people are all getting their wires crossed in the exact same way by some massive worldwide version of the telephone game gone wrong. The only way that this would be possible is if we had actually shifted to an alternative universe where the scientific discipline of probabilities didn’t exist. Grow up!

17. **Government psyop** – This is another one that you would have to don the tin foil hat for. And this one is so ironic because you have Normies becoming full-blown Conspiracy Theorists by accusing us of being tricked by a government psyop. Think about how much of a Conspiracy Theorist kook you would have to be to suggest this. But the government psyop idea is impossible because the government can’t go around and change the

Ford logo on cars everywhere and hang different signs on all the JCPenny stores (JCPenny).

18. **Biblically illiterate** – Biblical literacy has nothing to do with someone’s ability to have a vivid memory about any number of scriptures, and Dr. Paul Holt shuts the door on this idea, anyway.

19. **Filthy lucre** – If I’m in this for the money, then I’m not doing a very good job at fleecing my “gullible” listeners. I am giving my books away for free, my channels are not monetized, and I don’t sell anything to my listeners. I’ve lost everything to answer this call. I’m not in it for the money. If you want to point to any donations that I receive and insinuate that I am just doing this to line my pockets, then you would have to impugn all ministries that receive donations.

20. **Charlatan** – If you are going to accuse someone of being a premeditated liar, then you had better have some solid proof. If you don’t, you will be bearing false witness against a public minister of the gospel, and God will have His way with you in due time. Besides, you can’t claim that millions of people are in it for the money or are wolves in sheep’s clothing. Even if you eliminate me, you still have everyone else to contend with.

The Bible can’t change, and that’s final!

At this point, there will still be some who will just blurt out, “I don’t care about your trick questions or your little theories. The Bible can’t change, and that’s final.”

I understand this reaction and can only assure you that although the physical words on the page can change, that which was given to the original authors will not.

That which is stored in our hearts will not change. Cognitive dissonance, normalcy bias, and denial are powerful defense mechanisms that keep us safe, but if you ignore all of this evidence and decide to pull the covers over your head on this topic, you will be anything but safe.

If you refuse to provide the Mandela effect community with a rational alternative to our sound reasoning and you have no answers for what I have laid out in this book, then I suggest that

you just retreat and remain quiet. All I'm going to do in a debate is insist that you provide a rational answer to the observations I just laid bare in front of you.

I have spent the last seven years of my life exploring this topic. Now I have taken the time to compile this book for God's people, and I am giving it away for free. If you aren't willing to even invest a few hours reading the book before you start assigning me to hell or blurting out superficial conclusions like "The Bible can't change," then I will not engage you any further.

I will get the same questions or comments twenty times a day, and the answers are not simple, so I will not waste time with anyone who does not at least read the material first before engaging me. My first question in every encounter will be, "Did you read my book?" If you say yes, my next question is going to be, "Did you take the quiz?"

If you say yes, I'm going to ask, "Do you believe we are misremembering or confused by misquotes from pop culture?" And we will go from there.

If you have a way to refute any of my conclusions; I'm all ears. In the absence of that, I think it's clear that our testimony stands unchallenged in the court of public opinion. And as a result, a lot of people owe us an apology!

If you have no rational alternative to our testimony, then you have no business labeling us as charlatans, deceivers, wolves in sheep's clothing, deceived, crazy, delusional, or anything of the sort.

Where do we go from here?

As I asked God what I could possibly say in closing remarks, without hesitation, up from my heart and mind came the words, "Hebrews 11." I recognized this to be God speaking to me as He has so many times before.

Hebrews 11 is the Word of the Lord for the church in this hour. This chapter gives the New Testament believer his or her marching orders in the post canonized scripture Church era.

It encapsulates what is to be emphasized and provides the answer to what we are all obviously asking. How are we going to do Christianity without a Bible?

The answer is to first recognize that whatever these people did to be counted worthy to receive such recognition, they did it without a Bible.

There doesn't seem to be any demographic for those that are included. Although some were extraordinary like Moses, many were common folk and there were even some like Rahab, who was a harlot by trade, and her act of faith incorporated lying through her teeth to get the job done.

Paul the apostle is not even mentioned in Hebrews 11, so it was not through theological mastery that one was recognized in this august gathering of champions.

After his conversion, Paul recognized the folly of relying on the accumulation of knowledge as a means to impress God. So he provided his bio and then proceeded to compare all his accomplishments to something as vile as excrement.

- Philippians 3:4-6 ..."If any other man thinketh that he hath whereof he might trust in the flesh, I more: Circumcised the eighth day, of the stock of Israel, of the tribe of Benjamin, a Hebrew of the Hebrews; as touching the law, a Pharisee; Concerning zeal, persecuting the church; touching the righteousness which is in the law, blameless."
- Philippians 3:8 "Yea doubtless, and I count all things but loss for the excellency of the knowledge of Christ Jesus my Lord: for whom I have suffered the loss of all things, and do count them but dung, that I may win Christ."

Hebrews 11 gives us everything we need to know to walk with God in this new dispensation.

The characteristics of those in Hebrews 11

Here is a summary of the things that seemed to exemplify what these people did to get God's attention.

First of all, everything they did was by faith which by definition means they didn't have all the information on which to base their decision. This seems to be at polar opposites to what is modeled in many churches in America. A systemized Christianity that regularly disparages anyone attempting to "exercise" their faith and move in power.

We are told emphatically that the exercise of faith is the most important characteristic of the New Testament saint.

- Hebrews 11:2 NIV “This is what the ancients were commended for.”

The statement, “without faith it's impossible to please God,” means that simply reading about God is not exercising faith. Faith has a voice, and faith takes action. Faith commits God publicly to do things without any regard for what people might think. Faith very often doesn't feel like faith. It often feels like you have no idea what you are doing.

- “Abraham obeyed God and went without knowing where he was going. (Vs 8)

Here are some other characteristics of faith:

- They didn't love the world (“They were longing for a better country, a heavenly one. Vs 16)
- They took risks
- (Abraham offered his son Vs 17)
- They did not fear man
- (Mose's parents were unafraid of the king's edict vs 23)
- They followed God into dangerous scenarios
- (The people passed through the red sea as on dry land vs 29)
- They were willing to execute God's unconventional instructions (They walked around the walls of Jericho seven times vs 30)

Many of the things that are cited in Hebrews 11 as acts of faith, were actions the people took. They were not intellectual accomplishments or personal development achievements.

They were things like:

- Conquering kingdoms
- Shutting the mouths of lions
- Raising the dead.

It seems that these people were taking a lot of action. They endured a lot of suffering, pain, torture, persecution and loss.

It would seem that exercising faith involves being willing to experience a lot of pain. I'm just going by what is written.

They committed God publicly at the risk of being embarrassed. The last thing that we are told is that they were steadfast to the end, even though they never received answers to their prayers in some cases. In our case, we do not lose faith simply because God in His infinite wisdom is allowing the Lano unto our feet and the light unto our path to be taken from us.

But like the Saints of old, we will not be moved (Psalm 16:8). We will not turn to the right or to the left (Proverbs 4:27). We will not be denied because it is the Father's good pleasure to give us the Kingdom (Luke 12:32). We will accept good and evil from the hand of the Lord, (Job 2:10) and we will see in this judgment, the greatest blessing that we've ever experienced in our walk with God.

Many of us have experienced a renaissance and an awakening that would have never taken place if it had not been for this event. Do not think for one moment that this will cause a great falling away. Although this event will be disruptive to the status quo, it will also be a catalyst for one of the greatest in gatherings of new souls and revival for God's people that church has seen in a long time.

This book doesn't have to end. This is only the beginning of our friendship. Join us for regular live streams where you can interact with other believers as we explore these challenging topics and worship the Lord together. You are not alone!

Contact us to find out how you can be more involved in helping us get this free book into the hands of as many people as we can.

Here is a list of all of those included in the Hebrews 11 list. As you read them, put yourself in their position and imagine that it is you doing exactly what they did.

- Abel - Son of Adam and Eve - Offered God a better sacrifice than Cain did (Genesis 4:4).
- Enoch - Descendant of Adam - Was commended as one who pleased God and taken up to heaven without dying (Genesis 5:24).

- Noah - Builder of the Ark - Built the ark by faith to save his family from the flood (Genesis 6:14).
- Abraham - Father of the Hebrew nation - Obeyed God by leaving his homeland and was willing to sacrifice his son Isaac (Genesis 12:1).
- Sarah - Wife of Abraham - Bore a child, Isaac, in her old age, by faith in God's promise (Genesis 21:2).
- Isaac - Son of Abraham - Blessed Jacob and Esau concerning things to come, by faith (Genesis 27:27-28).
- Jacob - Son of Isaac - Blessed the sons of Joseph and worshiped as he leaned on his staff, by faith (Genesis 48:15-16).
- Joseph - Son of Jacob - Spoke about the exodus of the Israelites and gave instructions concerning his bones, by faith (Genesis 50:24-25).
- Moses - Leader of the Israelites - Left Egypt and led the Israelites out of bondage, by faith (Exodus 3:10).
- Rahab - Prostitute of Jericho - Welcomed the spies in peace and helped them escape, by faith (Joshua 2:1).
- Gideon - Judge of Israel - Defeated the Midianites with a small army, by faith (Judges 6:14).
- Barak - Military leader - Defeated the Canaanite army under the guidance of Deborah, by faith (Judges 4:6).
- Samson - Judge of Israel - Defeated the Philistines, by faith (Judges 16:30).
- Jephthah - Judge of Israel - Defeated the Ammonites after making a vow, by faith (Judges 11:30-31).
- David - King of Israel - Slayed Goliath and led Israel, by faith (1 Samuel 17:45).
- Samuel - Prophet of Israel - Anointed kings and served as judge, by faith (1 Samuel 3:20).

Chapter 12 - Mandela Bible Quiz

Universal Quiz

Changes appear in most versions

Matthew 26:52

- Then said Jesus unto him, Put up again thy sword into its place: for all who _____ the sword shall _____ the sword.
 - Matthew 26:52 (NIV) “for all who **draw the sword** will die by the sword.”

Genesis 3:15

- And I will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between thy seed and her seed; it shall _____ thy _____, and thou shalt _____ his _____.
 - Genesis 3:15 (NIV) –he will **crush** your head, and you will **strike** his heel.”

Proverbs 16:18

- Pride goeth before _____, and a _____ spirit before a _____.
 - Proverbs 16:18 (NIV) – “Pride goes before **destruction**, a haughty spirit before a fall.”
(Pride goes before a fall)

Matthew 18:20

- For where two or _____ are gathered together in my name, there am I in the midst of them.
 - Matthew 18:20 (NIV) – “For where two or **three** gather in my name, there am I with them.” **(more)**

Hosea 4:6

- My people _____ from lack of knowledge.

- Hosea 4:6 (NIV) – “my people are **destroyed** from lack of knowledge. (**perish**)

Matthew 8:28–34

- How many demoniacs did Jesus encounter, and what was the name of the place where this happened? He was referred to as the mad man of _____.
- Matthew 8:28–34 (NIV) – “When he arrived at the other side in the region of the **Gadarenes**, two demon-possessed men coming from the tombs met him. (**Mad man of “Gadara” – There was always only one mad man, not two**)

Luke 6:49

- But he that heareth, and doeth not, is like a man that without a foundation built an house upon the _____; against which the _____ did beat vehemently, and immediately it fell; and the ruin of that house was great.
- Luke 6:49 (NIV) – “is like a man who built a house on the **ground** without a foundation. The moment the **torrent** struck that house, it collapsed and its destruction was complete.” (**sand / streams**) NOTE: Matthew 7:26-27 is what we all remember

Genesis 28:14

- Thy descendants will be like the _____ and all the _____ of the earth will be blessed.
- Genesis 28:14 (NIV) – “Your descendants will be like the **dust** of the earth, and you will spread out to the west and to the east, to the north and to the south. All **peoples** on earth will be blessed through you and your offspring.” (**stars of the heavens / all the families of the earth**)

2 Corinthians 12:10

- Therefore I delight in weaknesses, in insults, in distresses, in persecutions, in difficulties, in behalf of Christ; for when I am _____ then _____ strong.
 - 2 Corinthians 12:10 (NIV) – “For when **I am weak, then I am strong.**” (**I am weak / then He is strong**) This is not what people remember, it doesn’t make sense and you know it. Stop lying to yourself!

King James Only Quiz

Questions

Genesis 3:18-19

- Thorns also and thistles shall it bring forth to thee; and thou shalt eat the herb of the field; _____ shalt thou eat bread, till thou return unto the ground; for out of it wast thou taken: for dust thou art, and unto dust shalt thou return.
 - Genesis 3:18-19 – “Thorns also and thistles shall it bring forth to thee; and thou shalt eat the herb of the field; **in the sweat of thy face** shalt thou eat bread,” (**by the sweat of thy brow**)

Hebrews 6:1

- Therefore leaving the _____ of the doctrine of Christ, let us go on unto perfection; not laying again the foundation of repentance from dead works, and of faith toward God.
 - Hebrews 6:1 – “Therefore leaving **the principles** of the doctrine of Christ, let us go on unto perfection;” (**Elementary principles**)

Job 1:21

- “Naked came I out of my mother's womb, and naked shall I return thither: the LORD _____ and the LORD _____ away; blessed be the name of the LORD.”
 - Job 1:21 – “Naked came I out of my mother's womb, and naked shall I return thither: the LORD **gave**, and the LORD **hath taken** away; blessed be the name of the LORD.”

Luke 5:24

- “But that ye may know that the Son of man hath power upon earth to forgive sins... I say unto thee, Arise, and take up thy _____, and _____.”
 - Luke 5:24 – “I say unto thee, Arise, and take up thy **couch**, and **go into thine house.**”
(bed / and walk)

Matthew 7:23

- And then will I profess unto them, I never knew you: depart from me _____.
 - Matthew 7:23 – “I never knew you: depart from me, **ye that work iniquity.**” (ye workers of iniquity)

2 Thessalonians 2:8–9

- And then shall that _____ be revealed, whom the Lord shall consume with the spirit of his mouth, and shall destroy with the brightness of his coming: Even him, whose coming is after the working of Satan with all power and _____.
 - 2 Thessalonians 2:8–9 “And then shall that **Wicked be** revealed, whom the Lord shall consume with the spirit of his mouth, and shall destroy with the brightness of his coming: Even him, whose coming is after the working of Satan with **all power and**

signs and lying wonders,” (Wicked one /
and lying signs and wonders)

Romans 7:24–25

- wretched man that I am! who shall deliver me from the body of this death? _____.
 - Romans 7:24–25 (NIV) – “What a wretched man I am! Who will rescue me from this body that is subject to death? **Thanks be to God, who delivers me through Jesus Christ our Lord! (Thanks be to God through Jesus Christ)**

Matthew 7:1

- Judge not, _____ judged.
 - Matthew 7:1 – “Judge not, that ye be not judged.” (**Lest**)
- Matthew 9:17
Neither do men put new wine into old _____; else the _____ break, and the wine runneth out, and the bottles perish.
 - Matthew 9:17 – “Neither do men put new wine into old **bottles**: else the **bottles** break, and the wine runneth out, and the bottles perish.” (**wineskins**)

John 8:32

- And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall _____ you free.
 - John 8:32 – “Then you will know the truth, and the truth will **make** you free.” (**set**)

Romans 8:28

- And we know that all things work together for good to them that love God, to them who are _____ according to *his* purpose
 - Romans 8:28 – “And we know that all things work together for good to them that love

God, to them who are **the** called according to *his* purpose.” (called not “**the called**”)

Revelation 5:8

- And when he had taken the book, the four beasts and four and twenty elders fell down before the Lamb, having every one of them harps, and golden vials full of _____, which are the prayers of saints.
 - Revelation 5:8 “and golden vials full of **odours**, which are the prayers of saints. (**incense**)

Mark 5:27–28

- When she had heard of Jesus, came in the press behind, and touched his garment. For she said, If I may _____, I shall be whole.
 - Mark 5:27–28 – “For she said, **If I may touch but his clothes, I shall be whole.**”

Hebrews 2:3

- How shall we escape, if we neglect _____ which at the first began to be spoken by the Lord, and was confirmed unto us by them that heard him.
 - Hebrews 2:3 – “How shall we escape, if we neglect **so great salvation;**” (**So great a salvation**)

Genesis 7:9

- There went in _____ unto Noah into the ark, the male and the female, as God had commanded Noah.
 - Genesis 7:9 – “There went in **two and two** unto Noah into the ark, the male and the female, as God had commanded Noah.” (**Two by two**)

Luke 3:16

- I indeed baptize you with water; but one mightier than I cometh, the latchet of whose _____ I am not worthy to unloose.
 - Luke 3:16 – “the latchet of whose **shoes** I am not worthy to unloose:” (**sandal**)

James 1:25

- But whoso looketh into the perfect law of liberty, and continueth therein, he being not a forgetful hearer, but a doer of the _____, this man shall be blessed in his deed..
 - James 1:25 But whoso looketh into the perfect law of liberty, and continueth therein, he being not a forgetful hearer, but a doer of the **work**, this man shall be blessed in his deed. (**doer of the word**)

John 12:24

- Verily, verily, I say unto you, Except a _____ of wheat fall into the ground and die, it abideth alone: but if it die, it bringeth forth much fruit.
 - John 12:24 – “Except a **corn** of wheat fall into the ground and die, it abideth alone: but if it die, it bringeth forth much fruit.” (**grain**)

John 1:41

- He first findeth his own brother Simon, and saith unto him, We have found the _____, which is, being interpreted, the Christ.
 - John 1:41 – “We have found the **Messias**, which is, being interpreted, the Christ.” (**messiah**)

Mark 12:14

- Is it lawful to give tribute to Caesar, or no? ... Why tempt ye me? bring me a _____ that I may see it.

- Mark 12:14–15 – “...Is it lawful to give tribute to Caesar, or not? ... But he, knowing their hypocrisy, said unto them, Why tempt ye me? bring me a **penny**, that I may see it.” (**denarius**)

Psalm 69:9

- For the zeal of thine house hath _____, and the reproaches of them that reproached thee are fallen upon me.
 - Psalm 69:9 – “For the zeal of thine house hath **eaten me up**; and the reproaches of them that reproached thee are fallen upon me.” (**Consumed me**)

Exodus 2:3

- And when she could not longer hide him, she took for him _____, and daubed it with slime and with pitch, and put the child therein; and she laid it in the flags by the river’s brink
 - Exodus 2:3 – “And when she could not longer hide him, she took for him an **ark of bulrushes**, and daubed it with slime and with pitch, and put the child therein; and she laid it in the flags by the river’s brink.” (**Basket**) **ALSO** – “when she could **NOT** longer hide him,”...really? Do you honestly believe that’s just that old pesky KJV language?

Isaiah 1:13

- Bring no more vain oblations; incense is an abomination unto me; the new moons and sabbaths, the calling of assemblies, I cannot away with; it is iniquity, even the solemn _____ .
 - Isaiah 1:13 – “I cannot away with; it is iniquity, **even the solemn meeting**.” (**solemn assemblies**)

1 Peter 4:8

- And above all things have fervent charity among yourselves: for _____ shall cover the multitude of sins.
 - 1 Peter 4:8 – “And above all things have fervent charity among yourselves: **for charity shall cover the multitude of sins.**” (Love will cover...)

Acts 20:26-27

- Wherefore I take you to record this day, that I *am* pure from the blood of all *men*. For I have not _____ to declare unto you _____.
 - Acts 20:27 – “For I have not shunned to declare unto you **all the counsel of God.**” (the full counsel of God)

James 3:3–4

- And if we put bits into the mouths of horses, that they may obey us, we turn about their whole body. Behold also the ships, which though they be so great, and are driven of fierce winds, yet are they turned about with a very small _____, whithersoever the governor listeth.
 - James 3:3–4 – “yet are they turned about with a very **small helm**, whithersoever the governor listeth.” (rudder)

Psalms 137:3-4

- For there they that carried us away captive required of us a song; and they that wasted us *required of us* mirth, *saying*, Sing us *one* of the songs of Zion. How shall we sing the _____ in a _____?
 - Psalm 137:4 – “How shall we sing the **LORD'S song in a strange land?**” (songs of Zion in a foreign land)

John 7:1

- After these things Jesus walked in Galilee: for he would not walk in _____, because the Jews sought to kill him
 - John 7:1 – “After these things Jesus walked in Galilee: for he would not walk in **Jewry**, because the Jews sought to kill him.”
(Judea)

Luke 17:31

- In that day, he which shall be on the housetop, and his _____ in the house, let him not come down to take it away.
 - Luke 17:31 – “In that day, he which shall be upon the housetop, and his **stuff** in the house, let him not come down to take it away.”

Cut and paste jobs in the Bible

Note: Remember that all of history has changed so it isn't proof that this can all be explained if you find all kinds of commentaries, articles and writings about these mirror image duplicates.

The bottom line is this is probably the 1st time in your entire Christian life that you are finding out that there are numerous mirror image passages in the Bible.

I know I'm not the only one just finding out in my 60s that there are huge cut and paste jobs in my Bible. Be honest with yourself, did you know these huge mirror image passages were in your Bible?

- John 3:14-16 And as Moses lifted up the serpent in the wilderness, even so must the Son of Man be lifted up, that **whoever believes in Him should not perish but have eternal life**. For God so loved the world that He gave His only begotten Son, that **whoever believes in Him should not perish but have everlasting life**.

There is too much text to display, you'll have to look them up yourself. They're not identical but many of them are close.

- 1 Chronicles 16:8-22 and Psalm 105:1-15

-
- 1 Chronicles 16:23-29 and Psalm 96:1-8
 - Psalm 14 and Psalm 53
 - Psalm 18 and 2 Samuel 22:
 - Isaiah 2:2-4 and Micah 4:1-3:
 - 2 Kings 18:13 and Isaiah 36:1
 - Exodus 20:1-17 and Deuteronomy 5:6-21:

Chapter 13 - The vision

Wakeuporelse PMA is a Tax exempt 508(c)1 - Private Ministerial Association operating in the private. We have been providing a biblical analysis of the Mandela effect since 2017.

Contact

Contact our offices if you are interested in inviting John to speak and minister at;

- Your church or event
- Interviews on your podcast or social media channel
- Send emails to
 - wakeuporelse@proton.me,
 - pleasewakeuporelse@gmail.com,
 - www.wakeuporelse.com

The vision – “The People Need to Know.”

Fellowship

Wakeuporelse will always be a Christian fellowship for the truther community. It is a place for believers that are leaving the matrix and long to fellowship with others that are waking up to the deceptions of this realm. Listen, learn, and interact with others during our regular live streams.

Outreach

The Supernatural Bible Changes book is available for free on www.alteredbible.com and is the cornerstone of a larger campaign to notify, instruct and support the last days church regarding the supernatural Bible changes.

The vision that God has given us is to approach;

- Christian Denominations
- Bible Colleges
- Bible Publishing Houses
- Christian Media

-
- Pastors
 - Large Ministries
 - Christian authors, podcasters, content creators, influencers

We intend to contact key decision-makers in the various Christian institutions listed above to request a formal presentation. We will use a variety of compelling evidence including signed and notarized affidavits of prominent church leaders that testify to the authenticity of this event as leverage to secure our appointments and overcome objections.

Expecting to be denied, we will follow the instruction of Christ in Matthew 18:15 to escalate our interaction and insist that they meet with us.

Matthew 18:15

Vs 15 – “Go and tell him his fault between thee and him alone.”

Vs 16 – “But if he will not hear thee, then take with thee one or two more.”

Vs 17 – “And if he shall neglect to hear them, tell it unto the church: but if he neglects to hear the church, let him be unto thee as a publican.”

We will hire a Christian social media marketing firm to manage our “awareness” campaigns. If I am denied an audience, we will instruct the marketing firm to begin posting targeted advertising to the constituents of the organization. If it’s a Bible school, we may also visit the school and personally hand my book to every professor and as many influential students as I can.

If you tell your story often enough, eventually it falls to the right people. The Bible changes are not hard to discern if you don’t have an agenda.

With this approach, we will quickly identify champions within the organization that will go to the leadership from within. I will call back a few weeks later and request a meeting again, and this time it will be different.

The leaders of the organizations listed above have a fiduciary responsibility to disclose this to their congregations, their

students, their followers and their customers. The people need to know.

Although the unveiling of the Bible changes represents one of the most disruptive events in the church age, it can be the catalyst of a world-wide reformation that will touch the lives of an untold number of people. This is a redemptive judgment by God that is alerting us to the pharisaical lifeless intellectualism that many of us have fallen into.

God is like the parent that feels unloved by their teenager, because they keep looking at their cell phone when they are with us. Eventually, the disrespect becomes so intolerable, that we take the cell phone away until they can learn to control themselves. As parents, we want them to learn to value their time with us and show us the respect that is due to us as their parents. For many, our Bibles have become like our children's cell phones to God. Our Bibles and all our knowledge have become enough for us, and we have become content with an outward show of religion.

I understand that none of these leaders are going to want to meet with me, and if they do, they are not going to want to go public and warn everyone that it's happening. We have eye witness testimony that certain denominations know it's happening, and they have given express instruction not to mention it to their congregations. If this is the case, we intend to go around them.

Here are three examples of men of God that went around other people that were representing God.

- Jeremiah 28: Jeremiah opposed the false prophet Hananiah
- 1 Kings 22: Micaiah opposed Ahab's prophets
- Matthew 21-23: Jesus opposed the religious leaders.

This is the purpose of our mission. God has always had His witness in Babylon. We have a strategic plan to penetrate this religious matrix, and make such a loud noise that everyone in Christendom will be talking about it. God's people need to be able to decide for themselves.

Master Mind Support Group

We also have a strategy to support those courageous church leaders that concede that this is happening and go public with their position. We will bring support and training to these leaders and will provide a weekly master mind call to help shorten their learning curve. This will be an invite only weekly meeting and is reserved for church leaders, Pastors, influencers, C Suite executives in Christian organizations, board members of denominations and Bible colleges, Media leaders, Bible school faculty etc. This will be customized training for leaders with Q&A in every session.

If you are described above and would like to register for this important resource, please visit our website and choose the “mastermind” tab to learn more and register.

www.wakeuporelse.com

Donate

Since the 508 (c) 1 operates in the private;

Make checks payable to

John Kirwin (Not Wakeuporelse)

Mail a Check:

- John Kirwin
- PO Box 78362
- Charlotte NC 28271

Donate electronically

www.wakeuporelse.com/donate

* Credit Card / * Cash App / * Venmo / * Paypal / * Crypto /

* Zell / **NOTE:** Email first if you are sending alt coins – I will send you the correct crypto alt coin address.

We need volunteers

- Intercessory prayer warriors

- Researchers
- People to visit Bible schools to hand out my book
- Social media ambassadors to post and respond

We are a by faith ministry

I do not sell anything to my followers, my books are free, my channel is not monetized so I'm not doing this for the views, I do not have a "premium" subscription-based channel for paid listeners and I have no tent-making business on the side. I am not independently wealthy. After 7 years of doing this, I told God "I quit" in June of 2024. However, in the same day, God supernaturally made it clear that He didn't want me to quit. His communication to me was so supernatural that it vanquished any reservation about continuing on this path.

There was no way, I was going to go against such a clear directive from heaven. During this interaction, He told me, "Take nothing for your journey, neither staves, nor scrip, neither bread, neither money; neither have two coats apiece." I agreed.

So I do this by decree of the throne. I have lost everything for this message. My wife divorced me and my kids think I'm nuts. I have written this book and made it available for free so that no one will be deprived of it for lack of funds. But the vision is always bigger than the man. If you'd like to go with me on this journey, contact me by email, and let me know how you would like to be involved or just send the pennies from heaven. Designate on the gift what you want the funds to be allocated for and that's where it will go.

Also contact me if you are an intercessor or if you'd like to volunteer. I need people to provide introductions and broker phone calls with key decision makers. We are going to go on campus and confront the professors and give them the book. This is an equipping ministry, so I'm looking for people to get involved. It's also a support group for Christian truthers and it's an outreach to the church.

The goal of this outreach is to get the free book into the hands of 10 million people. To see our outreach plans visit:
www.wakeuporelse.com/outreach

Chapter 14 - Resources

Our social media platforms

www.wakeuporelse.com

www.alteredbible.com

www.youtube.com/@wakeuporelse

www.rumble.com/c/wakeuporelse

www.twitter.com/wakeuporelse1

www.facebook.com/wakeuporelsePMA

www.bitchute.com/profile/2r9ZMF65GINc

Email

wakeuporelse@proton.me

Newsletter

Stay connected in case we get banned

www.wakeuporelse.com/newsletter

Podcast

The Conspiracy Theorist Survival Guide (Podcast)

Available on most platforms

<https://www.wakeuporelse.com/podcast>

Book#1

“The Conspiracy Theorist Survival Guide” (Book)

A guidebook for persecuted Truthers”

- “If your journey down the proverbial rabbit hole has cost you friends and family, destroyed your marriage, convinced loved ones that you are crazy, and has made your life a veil of tears, this book is for you!”

Available in - Paperback / Audio / E-Book

Paperback available on;

- Amazon

- Banes & Noble
- Most outlets

Download for free here

alteredbible.com

Reviews

- **Ruthee Reynolds**
 - "Literally one of the most important books to have...This could change the course of your life!"
- **Creation Keeper**
 - "This book is incredibly well written; it is informative and encouraging, it made me cry and laugh."
- **Been Rejected**
 - "You will find rest for your abused soul in this book."
- **AK4USA**
 - "Couldn't put it down! Very informative and sometimes hilariously funny."

Book#2

“The Mandela Effect Supernatural Bible Changes and the doctrine of the preservation of scripture” (Book)

Available in – Paperback / Ebook an Amazon

Download for free here

alteredbible.com

Reviews

- Julee Schneider
 - This book is a must read. John Kirwin is incredible!
- truthfulone

-
- This is a must have book. I keep it as near as I do my Bible. Any question you can think of regarding the Mandela Effect IS covered here. The index makes things easy to find. If you are a believer and are not sure that this is, or can happen, this book is for you. If you have mentioned Bible changes to your pastor or church friends and they look at you sideways, this book is for you. Finally a book that validates the truth seeker. Great to give to friends who know God's word.
 - **Amazon Customer**
 - This is a very detailed and thoughtfully put together work, that clearly explains what's going on with the Bibles, especially the King James. For those with the eyes to see, if God is leading you to study this subject, this book will explain everything you need to know in an easy to understand and rational way.

Tax free status

Section 501(c)(3) of the Tax Code is the section that describes charitable organizations that are exempt from paying federal income tax, including churches. Section 508 of the Code, which is entitled "Special rules with respect to section 501(c)(3) organizations," requires any organization created after October 9, 1969 that seeks 501(c)(3) charitable exemption to notify the Internal Revenue Service and apply to obtain an official recognition, but exempts those organizations described in Section 508(c) from the filing requirement.

Section 508(c)(1)(A) exempts churches, their integrated auxiliaries, and conventions or associations of churches, while subsection (B) exempts charities that are not private foundations and normally have gross receipts of not more than \$5000 a year. Basically everybody who wants 501(c)(3) status, except churches, has to file a Form 1023 or 1023-EZ to be recognized as a 501(c)(3) charity by the IRS.

The religious organizations mentioned in (A) are also exempt from filing an annual Form 990 series tax information return.

The small nonprofits that don't have to file for recognition of exemption under 508(c)(1)(B) nevertheless have to file a tax information return, normally the Form 990-N electronic postcard. They will automatically lose their exempt status (even though the IRS may not know that they exist) if they fail to file for three consecutive years.