

### **Objection: “The Bible can’t change because God preserves His word**

**Answer #1)** Yes but WHERE does God promise he will preserve it? It turns out that the scriptures clearly teach that preservation of the word will be stored in the hearts of God’s people and not on the pages of a book.

- **Promises that include WHERE it will be preserved**
  - Jeremiah 31:33, Hebrews 8:10, Hebrews 10:16, 2nd Cor 3:3 Psalms 119:89
- **Promises that DO NOT include WHERE it will be preserved**
  - Isaiah 40:8, Isaiah 55:11, Psalm 12:6, Psalm 119:89, Proverbs 30:5, Matthew 5:18, Matthew 24:35, John 10:35, John 17:17, 2 Timothy 3:16-17, Revelation 22:18-19

**CONCLUSION:** There are no scriptures that teach that the word will be preserved on the pages of a book. Instead, it clearly teaches that the word will be preserved in the hearts of His people. 2nd Cor 3:3 even teaches that preservation will be “not on tablets of stone” & “not with ink.” God’s chosen storage medium is you! Don’t reject this idea because of how impractical it would be. Read your Bible and refute it from scripture. I’m giving you sound doctrine, we are no longer interested in responding to decrees from religious demi Gods.

---

### **Objection: “The Bible can’t change because God preserves His word.”**

#### **Answer #1)**

It is assumed that the term “Bible,” “scripture” and “word” all mean the same thing, but is that true? Here are three (3) passages that clearly teach that the term word is pointing to the person of Christ and not the earthly manuscript.. And if the term “word” does mean your Bible or the scriptures, then the preservation promise may not be promising to preserve the Bible.

- John 1:1
  - "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and **the Word was God.**"

- Would you agree that John 1:1 defines the term *word* as “God” and therefore cannot be interpreted to mean the physical Bible? Because if it was, John 1:1 would say, "And the scripture was God." Can you hold up your KJV Bible and say “I hold in my hand, God”
- Revelation 19:13
  - "He was clothed with a robe dipped in blood, and **His name is called The Word of God.**"
  - Here’s another New Testament passage that is clearly defining the term word as something other than your physical Bible.
- Hebrews 4:12: "**For the word of God** is quick, and powerful, and sharper than any twoedged sword, piercing even to the dividing asunder of soul and spirit, and of the joints and marrow, and **is a discerner of the thoughts and intents of the heart.**"
  - Revelation 2:23: "And I will kill her children with death; and all the churches shall know that **I am he which searcheth the reins and hearts:** and I will give unto every one of you according to your works."
  - Hebrews 4:12 tells us the “the word” is a dicerner of the **thoughts and intents of the heart.** **And** Revelation 2:23 tells us that Jesus searches the heats and reigns. This is yet another passage that clearly indicates that the term word is a person and not a book.

CONCLUSION - The term “Word” clearly does not always mean your KJB Bible in English. It is describing something much more profound. Therefore, God’s promises to preserve His “Word” should not always be taken as a promise to preserve the physical scriptures or the Bible.

We do not read;

- “Heaven and earth will pass away but my **Bible** will not pass away.”
- “Thy **scripture** is forever settled in heaven”
- The flower fades the grass withers but the **Bible** remains forever

So, if there is no promise to preserve the Bible, please stop saying “my Bible can’t change because God preserves His word.” This is a un- researched, superficial word salad that is based on a reckless disregarding for semantics.

**Objection:** “You are confused by versions”

**Answer #1)** How could we be confused by versions if many of the changes are in every version

- **Answer #2)** Version confusion doesn’t address the 22 other types of Bible changes that have nothing to do with memory
  - Names with eighteen letters, word switches, new countries introduced, missing words, altered names, spelling, grammar, and syntax errors, changed stories and new stories, biblical paradoxes, cut-and-paste duplicates, ebonics, emojis, gibberish, and nonsense verses, duplicated words, massive run-on sentences, modern words, random capitalization and italics, blasphemy, sexual innuendo, ungodly themes.
- **Answer #3)** If we we’re confused by versions we all wouldn’t all be misremembering the same way, but we are.
- **Answer #4)** The poor memory hypothesis does not address the mountain of doctrinal paradoxes that now appear in both old and New testaments in every version.
  - 2 Kings 18:27 – People drinking their own piss and eating their own dung, Luke 19:27 – Jihad Jesus slaying any who refuses to follow Him, Isaiah 8:3 – Isaiah the prophet engaging in fornication with a prostitute, Mark 8:23 – Jesus spitting in people's faces to heal them, Leviticus 4:32 – Sacrificing female sheep, 2 Corinthians 11:8 – Paul robbing churches, Isaiah 60:16 – Sucking the breasts of kings, Psalm 137:9 – Smashing babies against rocks makes you happy, Luke 18:15 – Jesus touching babies, Ezekiel 23:20 – Genitals like donkeys and

emissions like horses, Zechariah 5:9 – Female angels or women with wings, Mark 14:51-52, John 21:7 – Streaking (Running naked), Exodus 12:23 – God Himself is killing the firstborn of Egypt, 2 Corinthians 11:4 – False teachers are to be tolerated

- **Answer #5)** Don't you know your Bible?
  - If "Jesus wept" was changed to "Jesus cried" in a few modern versions, would that be enough to trick you into thinking that all versions always said "Jesus cried."
- **Objection:** You are raising your memories above the authority of scripture.  
**Answer:** No, you are raising man's opinion above the authority of scripture.
- **Answer #1)** You're teaching as doctrine, the traditions of man by embracing theological necessity. Can you explain what Theological necessity is because it's the foundation of the doctrine of preservation?
  - Theological necessity teaches; Because God inspired the word or because God went to so much trouble to give us His word, of course He's going to preserve it. But is that a doctrine? The 10 commandments is a doctrine. Theological necessity is wishful thinking.

**Objection: You're confused by misquotes from pop culture, songs, poems and movies**

**Answer #1)** If someone on Facebook posted there is no God, would you suddenly and without even realizing it, become an atheist

**Answer #2)** So what if I see a misquote on Facebook, don't you know your Bible?

**Answer #3)** If everyone is misremembering the same way, and that is being caused by misquotes from pop culture, would you agree that there must only be

one misquote for each of the 15-20 scriptures that everyone seems to be misremembering the same way? Do you have any evidence to support that there is only 1 misquote in the entire world for 15 different passages.

**Answer #4)** Can you provide matching evidence for the 16 questions from our online quiz that show corresponding misquotes from pop culture that consistently appear across all major social media platforms (YouTube, Rumble, Twitter, Facebook, Reddit) simultaneously enough to affect such widespread and consistent changes in the memories of all pastors across all age groups, ethnicities and nationalities?

**Answer #5)** What is your expectation if you asked 10 seasoned commercial airline pilots to identify the names of 10 of their cockpit instruments? What is your expectation if you asked 10 seasoned doctors 10 simple anatomy questions? What is your expectation if you asked 10 KJV only seasoned pastors 10 simple KJV Bible quiz questions. Of course, everyone would expect that the pastors would also get all 10 memory question correct but you can watch pastor after pastor get all 10 wrong, how is that possible?

**Answer #6)** Considering that pastors spend an average of 3 hours a day exposed to the correct rendering of these 16 passages, why would occasional misquotes from social media or movies have a stronger influence on their long-term memory than all the correct influences? Why would one periodic influence be stronger than 7 repeated influences?

**Answer #7)** How do you account for the fact that pastors actively engage in critical thinking when being exposed to alleged misquotes and would immediately recognize errors in a song, a movie or print, and would not only not subconsciously adopt them and allow them to override their long term memory, but they would easily reject them for what they are; misquotes.

**Answer #8)** Given the diversity of cultural contexts and access to media worldwide, how would misquotes from a limited number of sources manage to uniformly alter the memory of an untold number of people across different regions and backgrounds?

**Answer #9)** Would you agree that God has preserved His word in relation to the pagan who has no access to any scripture whatsoever? If yes, then would you agree that God can preserve His word while simultaneously rendering it inaccessible?